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For more information, contact our dedicated Customer and Stakeholder Relationship Management team.
In 2020, SNOMED International released its 2020-2025 Strategy. The annual work plan to implement the Organization’s Strategy identified the need to revisit the value proposition for key stakeholder groups as well as illustrating the case for investment in SNOMED CT for Nations, health systems, vendors, etc., globally.

Amid competing priorities and scrutinized budgets, government decision makers are looking for qualitative and quantitative evidence to support their investments, including achieved outcomes and benefits narratives. Following from the value propositions, SNOMED International has developed an innovative yet succinct Case for Investment in both the organization and its products. A thoughtful collection of SNOMED CT case studies also delivers real world examples of SNOMED CT across its varied uses.

Building off the business case work completed in 2014, SNOMED International complements updated stakeholder value propositions with the evidence that SNOMED CT adds measurable value to a broad range of primary and secondary processes that use SNOMED CT encoded data to deliver improved patient outcomes.

As we know, the healthcare industry is ever evolving. The future opportunities for SNOMED CT will be driven by new healthcare data sources and new healthcare technologies. From a health care industry-wide perspective new data sources and technologies such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, personalized and precision medicine, etc., are seen as significant.

SNOMED CT is well positioned as a best-in-class clinical reference terminology to enable the semantic interoperability and knowledge representation of massive and diverse sets of data required by this next evolution in health care delivery.
Executive Summary
SNOMED International
Strategic Directions for 2020 to 2025

Our Vision
By 2025, Clinical Terminologies will be used globally, which will result in better health and improved patient outcomes, supported by one language of health.

Products and Services Goals
01 SNOMED CT Evolution
02 Terminology Integrator
03 SNOMED CT Value Proposition

Adoption Goals
01 SNOMED Adoption & Consumption
02 SNOMED Implementation Support

Innovation Goals
01 Emerging Technologies
SNOMED CT

What is it?

• It is the most comprehensive, multilingual, clinical healthcare terminology in the world.

• It is a resource with scientifically validated clinical content that is released globally, twice per year.

• It enables the consistent representation of clinical content in clinical information systems, health data and analytics platforms, and interoperability solutions.

• It is mapped to other international standards.

• It is adaptable to each country’s requirements.

• It is in use in more than eighty countries.
Viral pneumonia is linked through a set of ‘is a’ relationships, that represent a poly-hierarchy of sub-types. Viral pneumonia ‘is a’ infective pneumonia. Infective pneumonia ‘is a’ infection, and similarly infective pneumonia ‘is a’ respiratory disease. SNOMED CT also links concepts to the applicable part of the body, or a finding site. For example, the viral pneumonia finding site is the lung. Finally SNOMED CT links concepts to a causative agent. For example, the viral pneumonia causative agent is a virus.
SNOMED CT

Is a Core Reference Clinical Terminology

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Terminology Standards content volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># terminologies / # content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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</tr>
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<td>1</td>
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</tbody>
</table>

Note: This diagram is intended to be reflective of SNOMED CT as a core reference terminology. It does not include all the national extensions of SNOMED CT (e.g. Australian Medicines Terminology with 100,000 concepts) that further expand the SNOMED CT hub-and-spoke model.
SNOMED CT
A Core Reference Clinical Terminology – the Australian Example

SNOMED CT-AU
• Australian edition of SNOMED CT
• Includes the Australian Medicines Terminology and >90 reference sets
• Used in all healthcare sectors/settings
• Used for clinician health record documentation
• Released on a monthly basis

Where is SNOMED CT used?

**Research**
Conducting clinical research, laboratory research and scientific research.

**Management Analytics**
Conducting trend & comparative analysis and health system value analysis.

**Data Entry and Integration**
The recording and integration of SNOMED CT in clinical information systems and health data & analytics platforms.

**SNOMED CT–embedded Clinical Information Systems, Health Data & Analytics Platforms and/or Interoperability Solutions**

**Clinical Information Sharing**
The electronic exchange of clinical data and documents among Care Providers along the continuum of care, often using interoperability solutions.

**Point-of-Care Analytics**
Creating historical summaries, doing point-of-care reporting and using clinical decision support.

**Population Analytics**
Conducting trend & comparative analysis, pharmacovigilence and clinical audit.
SNOMED CT
What is unique about it?

Unique Features

- Core Reference Terminology
- Comprehensive & Granular
- Semantic Network
- Broad Use Context
- National Mandate
The Value of SNOMED CT for Stakeholders
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The Theoretical Underpinning
SNOMED CT Value Framework

SNOMED International has used the Delone and McLean IS Success Framework as the theoretical underpinning for the development of the value propositions.

The rationale is that the pathway to realizing the full value of SNOMED CT is when it is embedded in a computer system, typically a clinical information system, a health data & analytics platform, or an interoperability solution. The logic is that SNOMED CT improves the information quality in these systems, and when coupled with other features, increases user adoption and satisfaction.

SNOMED CT Value Framework

- System Quality
- Information Quality
- Service Quality

Clinical Information System, Health Data & Analytics platform or Interoperability Solution

System Use

User Satisfaction

Net Benefits

The extensive use of clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions enables the achievement of key benefits, in this case, Better Health and Improved Patient Outcomes, as reflected in the SNOMED International Vision.
An End-to-End Perspective

SNOMED CT Value Framework

**Collaboration Partners**
Provide best-in-class clinical knowledge, classification and interoperability solutions to extend the value of SNOMED CT

**Researchers and Knowledge Producers**
Use a data/analytics platform to create data, information, evidence and knowledge for point-of-care analytics, population analytics, management analytics and research used by Policy Makers, Care Providers and others

**Care Providers**
As a team, provide clinical interventions throughout the Patient Journey to achieve Improved Patient Outcomes

**Patients/Citizens**
Through self-care and working collaboratively with their Care Providers to achieve Better Health

**Policy Makers**
Provide policy direction and oversee the management of a High-Value Health Care System

**Net Benefits**
- Better Health
- Improved Patient Service and Patient Health Outcomes
- Increased Health System Value

**Members**
Holder of the national SNOMED CT license that enables free use of the clinical terminology by all stakeholders within the country

**Implementers**
With a vision for high quality clinical information, they successfully deploy SNOMED CT, usually as part of vendor clinical information system, health data & analytics platform and interoperability solutions deployments.

**Vendors**
Sell and deploy SNOMED CT embedded clinical information systems, data/analytics platforms and interoperability solutions to support Care Providers and Patients/Citizens throughout the Patient journey, as well as Researchers and Knowledge Producers in their analytics and research activities.

**The Patient Journey**

---

**SNOMED CT**
The global language of healthcare

---
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SNOMED CT Stakeholder Landscape

Policy Makers
SNOMED CT allows Policy Makers to be more informed when making policy and management decisions, accelerating their analytical and decision-making process.

Members
SNOMED CT allows Members to ensure that high-quality clinical information is available to all stakeholders in their country, as required.

Patients/Citizens
SNOMED CT enables patients/citizens to control their health information and be knowledgeable about their health and their self-care options. SNOMED CT also enables a collaborative relationship with their Care Providers to receive the best care available.

Care Providers
SNOMED CT allows Care Providers to improve patient outcomes by being knowledgeable about their patient’s health and their options for care. This allows for a more informed and collaborative relationship with patients when making critical care decisions, and to provide the best care possible, in association with the rest of the care team.

Collaboration Partners
Professional Associations who contribute clinical knowledge to ensure that the evolution of SNOMED CT maintains its clinical integrity. Standards Organizations contribute their artefacts so that together with SNOMED CT the ‘one language of health’ can be created.

Researchers and Knowledge Producers
SNOMED CT allows Researchers and Knowledge Producer to create ‘the one language of health’ and accelerate data, information, evidence and knowledge creation. Supporting a wide range of analytics and research activities, use of SNOMED CT benefits the decision-making of policymakers, care providers, patients/citizens and other health care stakeholders.

Vendors
SNOMED CT allows Vendors to sell their products using a global standard that is deployed in over 80 countries, opening new markets for their software products.

Implementers
SNOMED CT is the most comprehensive, scientifically validated, health care terminology available globally, allowing Implementers to collect data once and reuse it for a diverse range of clinical, analytical and research purposes, and enabling them to support the information needs of a variety of stakeholders, including care providers, policymakers, patients/citizens and others.
SNOMED CT Case for Investment

The Case for Investment identifies why a country would invest resources to implement SNOMED CT.

1. What value does a country or healthcare entity desire from a clinical terminology?

2. What potential value does SNOMED CT provide to a specific country or a healthcare entity?

3. What demonstrated value has SNOMED CT provided to a country or a healthcare entity in the past?

4. What are the future opportunities for SNOMED CT?

5. Why would a country or a healthcare entity invest resources to implement SNOMED CT?
SNOMED CT
Case for Investment

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

“SNOMED CT is the best available core reference terminology for cross-border, national and regional eHealth deployments in Europe”

ASSESS CT 2016

Cimino

- SNOMED CT is “fit for purpose” as a clinical terminology using the Cimino criteria
- SNOMED CT meets all the AHIMA data quality requirements
- SNOMED CT meets the clinical terminology suitability requirements of the E.U. community
- SNOMED CT is professionally managed and maintained

AHIMA

ASSESS CT
SNOMED CT
Potential Case for Investment

Cost savings from improvements in inpatient nursing time, lab tests, drug utilization, length of stay and medical records; plus outpatient transcription use, chart pulls, lab/radiology tests, & drug utilization.

Reduced bed days, workdays missed, as well as increased deaths avoided and life years gained from immunizations and cancer screening.

Reduction in adverse drug events, inpatient bed days, and deaths avoided from CPOE use.

The Benefits Cost Multiple and the Internal Rate of Return from investing in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions.

The average annual increase to a nation’s GNI as a result of the investments in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions.
The estimated cost of implementing a SNOMED CT license in the USA for the 15-year study period was USD$87M.

Potential mean savings of USD$58.25 billion per year in improved inpatient and outpatient services.

Over 750,000 reduced bed days, approximately 85,000 reduced workdays missed and over 2,200 deaths avoided from immunizations, and over 3700 deaths avoided and 50,000 life-years gained from cancer screening.

A reduction of over 1.1 million adverse drug events and 6.4 million bed days, as well as 8,300 deaths avoided from CPOE use.

A Benefits to Cost Multiple of 1.8 - 4.1 and an Internal Rate of Return for the same investment of 10-41% from investing in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions.

The average annual increase to U.S. GNI as a result of the investments in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions was 0.23% or USD$30.71 billion.
SNOMED CT
A Demonstrated Case for Investment: Real World Use

Veterans Health Administration: the cost benefit analysis of the SNOMED CT-embedded VistA system, as well as the benefits derived from the Veterans Health Information Exchange (VHIE).

Kaiser Permanente: the benefits derived from a SNOMED CT-embedded HealthConnect clinical information system and patient portal, as well as analytics and research.

AEHRC and CSIRO (Australia): a look into the current and future possibilities for SNOMED CT use in artificial intelligence.

North York General Hospital: the benefits obtained from a SNOMED CT-embedded eCare clinical decision support system.

Honghu Public Health Surveillance (COVID-19): a description of the SNOMED-CT-embedded Honghu Hybrid System that supported policy makers and public health officials with COVID-19 surveillance and control.

Barts NHS Trust and the East London Health and Care Partnership: the benefits derived from a SNOMED CT-embedded Cerner clinical information system and a regional EHR and data & analytics platform.

OHDSI: the SNOMED CT-embedded OMOP CDM, and the benefits obtained from research projects using the OHDSI research collaborative.

University of Cambridge Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust: the benefits derived from a SNOMED CT-embedded eHospital clinical information system, patient portal and a health data & analytics platform.

University of Nebraska Medical Centre: the benefits obtained from the SNOMED CT-embedded i2B2 data warehouse and its use for clinical and translational research.

Northern Queensland Primary Health Network and the Mackay Hospital and Health Service: an economic evaluation of the Mackay SNOMED CT-embedded HealthPathways implementation.
Patient Outcome Benefits across the varied applications of SNOMED CT

**Patient Service Outcome Improvements**
- Patient (Panel) Management
- Health Record Management
- Diagnostic Tests
- Patient Safety
- Infection Control
- Referral Management
- Population Health
- Data Sharing
- Efficiencies and Cost Savings

**Patient Health Outcomes Improvements**
- Patient Safety
- Infection Control
- Population Health
- Analytics and Research
The healthcare industry is ever evolving. The future opportunities for SNOMED CT will be driven by new healthcare data sources and new healthcare technologies. From a health care industry-wide perspective the following new data sources and technologies are seen as significant.

1. **Huge Interoperable Longitudinal Cohorts** - Over the last 20 years, national cohorts (e.g. UK Biobank), have amassed huge populations with genomic, laboratory, and lifestyle assessments as well as longitudinal follow-up on health outcomes. The breadth and depth of data is staggering, as is the opportunities for discovery.

2. **Diversity and Inclusion** - With a growing depth of data, we have an opportunity to replace adjustments for race and ethnicity with more specific measures.

3. **Big Data and AI** - AI approaches in medicine have been limited by the (un)availability of large, commonly structured datasets. Looking forward, biomedical datasets will become increasingly ready for analyses.

4. **Routine Clinical Genomics** – Moving forward, whole genome approaches will become a routine, early step in the understanding, prevention, detection, and treatment of common and rare diseases.

5. **Electronic Health Records** – Many site-based and national research cohorts now use EHRs and other health data to provide up to decades of disease and treatment information that can be repurposed for research. This use will continue to expand.

6. **Phenomics and Environment** – Continued growth of research and clinical uses for different ways to measure clinical phenotypes, exposures, and lifestyles.

7. **Privacy, Trust and Return of Value** - The utility of precision medicine is dependent on broad participation, and broad participation of large populations requires trust, protection of privacy, and a return of value to the participants.
SNOMED CT

Why would a Country Invest in SNOMED CT?

Desired Value
SNOMED CT is a best-in-class, core clinical reference terminology that is well-designed, comprehensive, serves multiple uses, is widely adopted, and enables improved patient outcomes – it clearly passes the bar for the value that a country desires from a clinical terminology and as such makes for a Strong Case for Investment.

Potential Value
Through the modelled deployment in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions SNOMED CT has clearly shown strong potential value and as such makes for a Strong Case for Investment.

Demonstrated Value
The ten Case Studies clearly demonstrate the value that SNOMED CT can bring when used in clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions, and again make for a Strong Case for Investment.

Future Value
Future opportunities, especially in personalized, precision medicine and research, using advanced technologies, are a perfect fit for SNOMED CT, which in turn bolsters its Strong Case for Investment.

\[
\text{Desired Value + Potential Value + Demonstrated Value + Future Value} = \text{Strong Case for Investment}
\]
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Strong Case for Investment in SNOMED CT

1. Desired Value
2. Potential Value
3. Demonstrated Value
4. Future Opportunities
There is a demonstrated STRONG CASE FOR INVESTMENT in SNOMED CT
SNOMED CT: The case for Investment
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Our 41 Members represent 30% of the world's population
SNOMED International

About

SNOMED International\(^1\) is a not-for-profit organization that owns and maintains SNOMED CT, the world’s most comprehensive clinical terminology. SNOMED International plays an essential role in improving the health of humankind by determining standards for a codified language that represents groups of clinical terms. SNOMED CT enables healthcare information to be exchanged globally for the benefit of patients/citizens, care providers and other stakeholders.

With SNOMED CT, users can record patient data more accurately, exchange patient data both within the health care team and with patients, both locally and across borders, to improve patient outcomes. Further, stakeholders can use SNOMED CT in health data and analytics platforms for clinical analytics, population analytics, management analytics, clinical research, applied research, and other research activities to improve health care.

SNOMED International strives to determine the best global standards for health terminologies and to engage with the global healthcare community to improve SNOMED CT to better serve the clinical information needs of a diverse range of health care stakeholders.

---

1. SNOMED International is the trading name of the International Health Terminology Standards Development Organization (IHTSDO)
National Members are the key to the continued evolution and use of SNOMED CT.

SNOMED International has witnessed the growth in its Member base from 28 Members at the start of 2015 to 41 Members, as of July 2021. Incremental to the Member base are affiliate licensees, which expands the use of SNOMED CT into more than eighty countries globally.
SNOMED International sustainably produces a global clinical vocabulary and other services that enables the clear exchange and analysis of health information for all.
By 2025 Clinical Terminologies will be used globally, which will result in better health and improved patient outcomes, supported by one language of health.
SNOMED International
Strategic Directions for 2020 to 2025

**Products and Services**

Goals

01 SNOMED CT Evolution
02 Terminology Integrator
03 SNOMED CT Value Proposition

**Adoption Goals**

01 SNOMED Adoption & Consumption
02 SNOMED Implementation Support

**Innovation Goals**

01 Emerging Technologies
SNOMED International recently released its Corporate Strategy for 2020 to 2025. It focuses on achieving 3 Goals:

**Products and Services Goals**
- **SNOMED CT Evolution** - Evolve SNOMED CT to best serve improvements in patient outcomes and meet the needs of the integrated health and social care systems in a sustainable fashion.
- **Terminology Integrator** - SNOMED CT will continue to be a hub that supports, facilitates and integrates terminology standards and classifications to help enhance and streamline the health and care ecosystem.
- **SNOMED CT Value Proposition** - Extend the SNOMED CT value proposition to highlight improvements in patient outcomes and determine and validate all stakeholder benefits including integrating remuneration, research, public health information flows and semantic interoperability into the value proposition of SNOMED CT.

**Adoption Goals**
- **SNOMED CT Adoption and Use** - Increase adoption and use of SNOMED CT for members, suppliers, researchers and other SNOMED CT users by ensuring it is pragmatic, effective and verifiable.
- **SNOMED CT Implementation Support** - Provide sustainable and approachable products and services to support the implementation of SNOMED CT.

**Innovation Goals**
- **Emerging Technologies** - Leverage emerging technologies (e.g. Data Science, Analytics, AI, Genomics, Precision Medicine) to deliver value for stakeholders and drive efficiencies both at the point of care, across the organization and the evolution of the product.

1. SNOMED International is the trading name of the International Health Terminology Standards Development Organization (IHTSDO)
SNOMED CT

What is it?

• It is the most comprehensive, multilingual, clinical healthcare terminology in the world.

• It is a resource with scientifically validated clinical content that is released globally, twice per year.

• It enables the consistent representation of clinical content in clinical information systems, health data and analytics platforms, and interoperability solutions.

• It is mapped to other international standards.

• It is adaptable to each country’s requirements.

• It is in use in more than eighty countries.
SNOMED CT

What is it?

SNOMED CT content is represented by three components:

1. **Concepts** – there are over 350,000 clinical concepts (e.g. clinical findings, diagnostic procedures, and pharmaceutical products). The concepts are organized into hierarchies and linked through relationships into poly-hierarchies.

2. **Descriptions** – is the unique name given to the concept plus any synonyms used to describe the concept (e.g. myocardial infarction (disorder) is the unique name and synonyms include cardiac infarction, heart attack and so on).

3. **Relationships** – is an association between two concepts (i.e. knowledge representation) that is defined in a manner that a computer can process it.
SNOMED CT

What is it?

SNOMED CT consists of coded concepts that are linked and logically related (e.g. ‘is a’ statements, and attribute relationships like ‘finding site’ and ‘causative agent’). This feature allows the meaning of information recorded in clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions to be processed by a computer (e.g. you can query the patient population for the number of cases of viral pneumonia with finding site lung plus causative agent virus).

Viral pneumonia is linked through a set of ‘is a’ relationships, that represent a poly-hierarchy of sub-types. Viral pneumonia ‘is a’ infective pneumonia. Infective pneumonia ‘is a’ infection, and similarly infective pneumonia ‘is a’ respiratory disease. SNOMED CT also links concepts to the applicable part of the body, or a finding site. For example, the viral pneumonia finding site is the lung. Finally SNOMED CT links concepts to a causative agent. For example, the viral pneumonia causative agent is a virus.
SNOMED CT

What is it?

SNOMED CT concepts include: clinical findings (e.g. diagnoses, signs and symptoms); surgical, therapeutic and diagnostic procedures; observables (e.g. heart rate); body structures; organisms; substances; pharmaceutical products; physical objects; physical forces; social context; specimens and other types of information needed to be recorded in a clinical information system and is subsequently used by health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions.
SNOMED CT
But... What is It Really

The SNOMED CT concepts are organized into hierarchies. There are 19 SNOMED CT parent concepts, so 19 SNOMED CT hierarchies.

‘IS A’ statements connect concepts within a hierarchy. Using our example, viral pneumonia is a infective pneumonia in the clinical finding concept hierarchy.

Attribute relationships (e.g. finding site, causative agent) connect concepts among the nineteen different concept hierarchies. For example, the finding site for infective pneumonia (i.e. in the clinical finding concept hierarchy) is the lung (i.e. in the body structure concept hierarchy). So in this case, the finding site relationship links the two concept hierarchies.

But... for most people, this is still quite difficult to understand.

The Genealogy Analogy
Tracing our ancestors back through time through the use of Family Trees provides an excellent way to understand how concepts, hierarchies and relationships work. Using a Genealogy Analogy, see Appendix 1 here for a more detailed description of the benefits derived from using concepts and relationships in a family tree.

1. SNOMED International is the trading name of the International Health Terminology Standards Development Organization (IHTSDO)
SNOMED CT

It Is…. A Core Reference Clinical Terminology

• Several names are given to systems of standardized terms or concepts, such as SNOMED CT. It can be referred to as a terminology, a vocabulary, or a lexicon. These names are all synonymous.

• The semantic network features of SNOMED CT are the same as what exists in ontologies. While there is some debate about whether SNOMED CT is in fact a pure ontology, it is certainly a terminology built on an ontological foundation (i.e. it looks and acts like an ontology).

• Ideally, controlled terminologies (vocabularies) should have twelve features, as outlined in the seminal article by Cimino. SNOMED CT does in fact adhere to all the twelve features, some of which allow it to be differentiated from other classification systems (e.g. ICD-10 has only four of the twelve features present). So, as a terminology, SNOMED CT is fit-for-purpose.

• The Assess CT Study defined four types of terminologies: reference terminologies, core reference terminologies, aggregation terminologies and user interface terminologies (see Glossary of Terms). They concluded that SNOMED CT is a reference terminology. However, given its a primordial role in the clinical terminology ecosystem (e.g. broad concept coverage and its integration to other terminologies) Assess CT also designated SNOMED CT as a core reference terminology (see overleaf).

SNOMED CT
Is a Core Reference Clinical Terminology

No agreement in place

Agreement in progress

SNOMED CT Collaboration Partner

LEGEND

SNOMED CT

Content Volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># terminologies / # content</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10,001 - 20,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,001 - 40,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40,001 - 60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60,001 - 80,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80,001 - 100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,001 - 200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200,000+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300,000+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This diagram is intended to be reflective of SNOMED CT as a core reference terminology. It does not include all the national extensions of SNOMED CT (e.g. Australian Medicines Terminology with 100,000 concepts) that further expand the SNOMED CT hub-and-spoke model.

CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR FURTHER DISTRIBUTION

FULL REPORT
SNOMED CT

A Core Reference Clinical Terminology – the Australian Example

SNOMED CT-AU

• Australian edition of SNOMED CT
• Includes the Australian Medicines Terminology and >90 reference sets
• Used in all healthcare sectors/settings
• Used for clinician health record documentation
• Released on a monthly basis

Where is SNOMED CT used?

**Clinical Information Sharing**
The electronic exchange of clinical data and documents among Care Providers along the continuum of care, often using interoperability solutions.

**Data Entry and Integration**
The recording and integration of SNOMED CT in clinical information systems and health data & analytics platforms.

**SNOMED CT–embedded Clinical Information Systems, Health Data & Analytics Platforms and/or Interoperability Solutions**

**Management Analytics**
Conducting trend & comparative analysis and health system value analysis.

**Research**
Conducting clinical research, laboratory research and scientific research.

**Population Analytics**
Conducting trend & comparative analysis, pharmacovigilence and clinical audit.

**Point-of-Care Analytics**
Creating historical summaries, doing point-of-care reporting and using clinical decision support.
What is unique about it?

- Core Reference Terminology
- Comprehensive & Granular
- Semantic Network
- Broad Use Context
- National Mandate
SNOMED CT

What Is Unique About It?

1. **Core Reference Terminology** – SNOMED CT is integrated to over 20 other clinical terminologies and classification systems. It is the core reference terminology in the clinical terminology ecosystem.

2. **Content** – For terminologies “content is king”. SNOMED CT, with over 350,000 concepts is the most comprehensive and fine-grained clinical terminology. It has 3-4 times more content than the next largest clinical terminologies (e.g. LOINC, RxNorm, ICD) which target narrower sub-domains (e.g. lab & radiology test results, medications or diseases).

3. **Semantic Network** - SNOMED CT has a machine-readable, semantic network, as does RxNorm (a drug knowledge base), whereas other terminologies like LOINC and ICD-10 do not. (note: WHO has indicated that their new ICD-11 product may have semantic network features however, there has been no indication when this will be available). The semantic network feature of SNOMED CT differentiates it from other clinical terminologies, especially when used for analytics and research.

4. **Broad Use Context** – SNOMED CT is commonly used for documenting problem lists, procedures, clinical findings and diseases in clinical information systems; data that is used in most clinical processes throughout the patient journey. Further, the same SNOMED CT-coded data is also used for interoperability, analytics and research purposes.

5. **National Mandates** – SNOMED CT is mandated for use in many countries, most notably the U.S.A. (e.g. Meaningful Use), the U.K. (e.g. NHS policy that all GP systems will use SNOMED CT) and Australia (e.g. SNOMED CT is the preferred national solution for a clinical terminology that is endorsed by the Australian Health Ministers’ Advisory Council).

---


Understanding how different stakeholders provide and obtain value from SNOMED CT is critical to its continued evolution.
By 2025 Clinical Terminologies will be used globally, which will result in better health and improved patient outcomes, supported by one language of health.
Interpreting the SNOMED International Vision for Stakeholders

The vision is outward-looking so refers to clinical terminologies generally.

The vision sees a continuation of the ecosystem of clinical terminologies, where SNOMED CT is an integral part. As a core reference terminology SNOMED CT has a leading role within the ecosystem, but by itself cannot be the ‘one language of health’.

The vision is an integrated hub-and-spoke model for clinical terminologies, with SNOMED CT being a hub. As a result, there is a need for strong collaboration among the various sponsors of the different clinical terminologies and classification systems to enable the integration required.

The vision is also about ‘better health and improved patient outcomes’. Improved patient outcomes include both ‘improved patient service outcomes’ as well as ‘improved patient health outcomes’.

Better Health is viewed as a patient/citizen perspective on health and Improved Patient Outcomes is seen as the health care system perspective (e.g. the view of care providers and policy makers) on health.
SNOMED CT

SNOMED CT and Patient Outcomes

• All the contemporary definitions, including the World Health Organization, define patient health outcomes as “the change in a patient’s health status as a result of a health care intervention or set of interventions”.

• Conceptually, this global definition of patient health outcomes can be described simply by the SNOMED CT concepts as “the change in clinical findings or observations (i.e. change in a patient’s health) as a result of surgical, therapeutic and diagnostic procedures, pharmaceutical or biologic products, and/or physical objects (i.e. types of interventions)”.

• As a result, SNOMED CT can be used to measure patient health outcomes, both for individual patients/citizens and populations... the direct link between SNOMED CT and the measurement of patient health outcomes already exists.

• Spain Case Study: Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre in Madrid, Spain¹ took the ICHOM² Breast Cancer Outcomes as a minimum data set and expanded it to the needs of the hospital. The combined use of ISO 13606 and SNOMED CT has allowed the standardized definition, structure and meaning of 259 clinical and PROM data elements. The hospital, in turn uses this data for breast cancer, health outcomes analytics and decision making.

2. ICHOM is the International Consortium for Health Outcomes Measurement. See ICHOM breast cancer outcomes at https://www.ichom.org/portfolio/breast-cancer/
SNOMED CT
SNOMED CT and Patient Outcomes

- The direct link between SNOMED CT and the measurement of patient service outcomes (e.g. access and productivity benefits) also exists today.

- The published literature shows that the deployment of SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions do have a positive impact on patient service outcomes (e.g. reduced GP office visits, reduced emergency visits, reduced hospitalizations/re-hospitalizations, and reduced costs).

- **New Zealand Case Study:** In 2007, the Canterbury District Health Board³ was not a high-performing health system. Canterbury DHB has demonstrated that it now has comparatively low rates for emergency use, acute medical admissions, the average length of stay for medical cases, as well as rates of acute readmission. To achieve this, Canterbury took a whole systems approach to aggressively manage the interface between community services, primary care and hospital services. The introduction of SNOMED CT-embedded systems and processes⁴ (e.g. integrated care pathways, health data & analytics platform, systems interoperability), in addition to other key strategies, were critical enablers to the supporting this transformation.

⁴ Gullery C., “Integrated Health Care and SNOMED”, James Read Memorial Lecture, SNOMED International EXPO, Kuala Lumpur, 2019
SNOMED International has used the Delone and McLean IS Success Framework as the theoretical underpinning for the development of the value propositions.

The rationale is that the pathway to realizing the full value of SNOMED CT is when it is embedded in a computer system, typically a clinical information system, a health data & analytics platform, or an interoperability solution. The logic is that SNOMED CT improves the information quality in these systems, and when coupled with other features, increases user adoption and satisfaction.

**Net Benefits**

The extensive use of clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions enables the achievement of key benefits, in this case, **Better Health** and **Improved Patient Outcomes**, as reflected in the SNOMED International Vision.
SNOMED CT
Maturity Matrix

The SNOMED CT maturity model consists of five levels, where each level, provides for increased value from the use of SNOMED CT.

- It is important to note that having SNOMED CT-coded data embedded in a clinical information system, a health data & analytics platform or an interoperability solution is necessary for SNOMED CT to function.

- Conversely, clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions need clinical terminologies like SNOMED CT for them to function effectively.

- However, it is only when health organizations implement SNOMED CT at level 3 and above of the SNOMED CT Maturity Matrix that the SNOMED International vision of ‘... better health and improved patient outcomes, supported by one language of health’ can be fully realized.
Central to the SNOMED CT value framework is the creation, use and sharing of high quality data, information, evidence and knowledge to support health and health care action & improvement.

**SNOMED CT enables:**

- **Care Providers, Patients/Citizens** along with **Researchers and Knowledge Producers** to create data, information, evidence and knowledge.

- **Care Providers, Patients/Citizens, and Policy Makers** to use and share the data, information, evidence and knowledge to effect action and make improvements in health, patient outcomes and health system value.

- **Vendors, Implementers, Collaboration Partners** and **Members** to support the afore-mentioned stakeholders by enhancing SNOMED CT and deploying it for use in clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions.
An End-to-End Perspective
SNOMED CT Value Framework

**Collaboration Partners**
Provide best-in-class clinical knowledge, classification and interoperability solutions to extend the value of SNOMED CT

**Researchers and Knowledge Producers**
Use a data/analytics platform to create data, information, evidence and knowledge for point-of-care analytics, population analytics, management analytics and research used by Policy Makers, Care Providers and others

**Care Providers**
As a team, provide clinical interventions throughout the Patient Journey to achieve Improved Patient Outcomes

**Patients/Citizens**
Through self-care and working collaboratively with their Care Providers to achieve Better Health

**Policy Makers**
Provide policy direction and oversee the management of a High-Value Health Care System

**Members**
Holder of the national SNOMED CT license that enables free use of the clinical terminology by all stakeholders within the country

**Implementers**
With a vision for high quality clinical information, they successfully deploy SNOMED CT, usually as part of vendor clinical information system, health data & analytics platform and interoperability solutions deployments.

**Vendors**
Sell and deploy SNOMED CT embedded clinical information systems, data/analytics platforms and interoperability solutions to support Care Providers and Patients/Citizens throughout the Patient journey, as well as Researchers and Knowledge Producers in their analytics and research activities.

**Net Benefits**
- Better Health
- Improved Patient Service and Patient Health Outcomes
- Increased Health System Value

**The Patient Journey**

- **Health Data & Analytics Platforms**
- **Clinical Information Systems**
- **Interoperability Solutions**

**Integrate with SNOMED CT**

- **GMDN**
- **LOINC**
- **ICD-10**
- **DICOM**
- **Inserm**
- **SNOMED CT**

**The global language of healthcare**
The End-to-End Perspective Explained

**Members** hold the national license for SNOMED CT making the clinical terminology free for use in their respective countries.

**Vendors and Implementers** embed SNOMED CT in the interoperable clinical information systems that are used by Care Providers in different care settings as they provide quality care and achieve improved health outcomes for their patients.

**Patients/Citizens,** can view their clinical information to achieve better health through information empowerment, opportunities for self-care, care provider collaboration, as well as health record portability and sharing.

**Researchers & Knowledge Producers** use interoperable SNOMED CT-embedded health data and analytics platforms to create information, evidence and knowledge that is used by Policy Makers, Care Providers, Patients/Citizens and other stakeholders for their respective decision making.

**Policy Makers** provide policy direction and management oversight of a health care system and want to see the improvements in net benefits that SNOMED CT-embedded information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions can bring.

**SNOMED International** works with **Collaboration Partners** to refine, extend and integrate the SNOMED CT product with their own expertise, classifications schemes and standards.
SNOMED CT Stakeholder Landscape

Policy Makers
SNOMED CT allows Policy Makers to be more informed when making policy and management decisions, accelerating their analytical and decision-making process.

Members
SNOMED CT allows Members to ensure that high-quality clinical information is available to all stakeholders in their country, as required.

Patients/Citizens
SNOMED CT enables patients/citizens to control their health information and be knowledgeable about their health and their self-care options. SNOMED CT also enables a collaborative relationship with their Care Providers to receive the best care available.

Care Providers
SNOMED CT allows Care Providers to improve patient outcomes by being knowledgeable about their patient’s health and their options for care. This allows for a more informed and collaborative relationship with patients when making critical care decisions, and to provide the best care possible, in association with the rest of the care team.

Collaboration Partners
Professional Associations who contribute clinical knowledge to ensure that the evolution of SNOMED CT maintains its clinical integrity. Standards Organizations contribute their artefacts so that together with SNOMED CT the ‘one language of health’ can be created.

Researchers and Knowledge Producers
SNOMED CT allows Researchers and Knowledge Producers to create ‘the one language of health’ and accelerate data, information, evidence and knowledge creation. Supporting a wide range of analytics and research activities, use of SNOMED CT benefits the decision-making of policymakers, care providers, patients/citizens and other health care stakeholders.

Vendors
SNOMED CT allows Vendors to sell their products using a global standard that is deployed in over 80 countries, opening new markets for their software products.

Implementers
SNOMED CT is the most comprehensive, scientifically validated, health care terminology available globally, allowing Implementers to collect data once and reuse it for a diverse range of clinical, analytical and research purposes, and enabling them to support the information needs of a variety of stakeholders, including care providers, policymakers, patients/citizens and others.
SNOMED CT makes it easier for data to be portable from one system to another. Designed by clinicians for clinicians. Enables a unique partnership with technologists. Clinicians have the flexibility to record information in a language and in a level of detail they prefer. Clinicians are able to adjust their practice based on data analysis using SNOMED CT both at a research and delivery level.

**SNOMED CT helps (X) achieve (Y) by doing (Z)**

An example using the existing value proposition for Care Providers.

SNOMED CT helps Care Providers (X) achieve improved patient outcomes (Y) by enabling them to collect and use detailed clinical data, share clinical data and documents with colleagues, and use advanced point-of-care analytics (Z).

**Care Providers**

SNOMED CT allows you to provide the best care possible, in collaboration with the rest of the care team.
Using SNOMED CT accelerates the data analysis and decision-making process for Policy Makers... decisions about policies, funding, programs, services, procedures, treatments, and health system use... so that patient outcomes and health system value can be improved. Policy Makers rely on Researchers and Knowledge Producers to undertake the population and management analytics and the research necessary to support them, making more informed policy and management decisions.

The SNOMED CT Value for Policy Makers:

• SNOMED CT allows you to be more informed when making policy and management decisions.

• SNOMED CT accelerates your analytical and decision-making process.

Data Points for Policy Makers

1. Countries using SNOMED CT were able to conduct data analysis, develop mitigation strategies, and report on COVID-19 in a consistent manner, starting at the end of January 2020, long before the WHO declared COVID-19 a global pandemic.

2. As a result of implementing a SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information system the University of Cambridge Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust was able to reduce Sepsis mortality by 42%, thereby saving 64 lives in 2018.

3. SNOMED CT-enabled order sets allowed the North York General Hospital in Toronto, Canada to save over CAD$31 million during a six-year period by eliminating errors through proper medication reconciliation.
The national licensing of SNOMED CT allows Members to ensure that high-quality clinical information is available to all stakeholders (e.g. Care Providers, Patients/Citizens), as required. Members make sure that SNOMED CT is easily accessible and free-to-use within their country for a wide variety of patient care, system management, analytics, research and interoperability purposes. Members have full access to the SNOMED CT product set, including regular updates, translation or localization assistance, as well as education and tooling to support local Implementers. Finally, Members can impact the future direction of SNOMED CT by being fully engaged in SNOMED International activities, requesting and prioritizing changes to the SNOMED CT product and by interacting with the other Member countries through forums, Expos and other events.

Data Points for Members

1. At the time of publication, forty countries hold national Member licenses for SNOMED CT, enabling collaboration and learning opportunities.

2. Since inception in 2007 there has been a 25% annual growth in the number of new SNOMED International Members.

3. The Case for Investment for SNOMED CT deployments shows a benefits to cost multiple of 1.8 to 4.1 times, and an Internal Rate of Return of 17% to 51%.
Collaboration Partners contribute their best-in-class clinical knowledge to ensure that SNOMED CT maintains its clinical integrity. Collaboration Partners also provide coding schemes (e.g. ICD-10), interoperability products (e.g. HL7 FHIR) and other artefacts to integrate with SNOMED CT and together create ‘the one language of health’, which is of immense value to all stakeholders.

SNOMED International has integrated SNOMED CT with ten globally-adopted standards, including five different ICD classification schemes, the Global Medical Device Nomenclature, LOINC, ICNP (International Classification for Nursing Practice), Orphanet (rare diseases), and MedDRA (regulatory information for medical products).

The SNOMED CT Value for Collaboration Partners:

- Professional Associations contribute clinical knowledge to ensure that the evolution of SNOMED CT maintains its clinical integrity.
- Standards Organizations contribute their artefacts so that together with SNOMED CT can create ‘the one language of health’.

Data Points for Collaboration Partners

1. SNOMED International has already developed collaborative relationships with leading global medical, physician, nursing, dental, genomics and research associations and organizations.

2. SNOMED International has integrated SNOMED CT with ten globally-adopted standards, including five different ICD classification schemes, the Global Medical Device Nomenclature, LOINC, ICNP (International Classification for Nursing Practice), Orphanet (rare diseases), and MedDRA (regulatory information for medical products).
Leveraging the unique power of clinical concepts and defining relationships, SNOMED CT helps Researchers and Knowledge Producers integrate other classification schemes and quickly create data, information, evidence, and knowledge. These artefacts can then support point-of-care analytics, population analytics, management analytics, as well as research to benefit the decision-making of Policy Makers, Care Providers, Patients/Citizens and other stakeholders. Using SNOMED CT also allows Researchers to accelerate the publication of their research and the actioning of their findings.

**The SNOMED CT Value for Researchers & Knowledge Producers:**

- SNOMED CT allows you to create ‘the one language of health’ and accelerate data, information, evidence and knowledge creation.
- SNOMED CT allows you to support a wide range of analytics and research activities to benefit the decision-making of policy makers, care providers and other health care stakeholders.

**Data Points for Researchers & Knowledge Producers:**

1. OHDSI, one of the world’s largest health care research collaborations, with access to over 100 databases and half a billion patient records sourced from 19 countries, uses SNOMED CT as a key terminology in its data platform.

2. The MyHarmony data platform in Malaysia uses natural language processing to transform unstructured data from hospitals and clinics to SNOMED CT-structured data for national reporting, dashboard and ad-hoc analytics, GIS, as well as research and statistical analysis.
Implementers (e.g. CMIO, health informaticians) use SNOMED CT to ensure that Policy Makers, Care Providers, Patients/Citizens and other stakeholders can all leverage the high-quality clinical data for self care, patient care, health information sharing, analytics, research and management decision-making purposes, as required. SNOMED CT enables Implementers to deploy the most comprehensive, scientifically validated, health care terminology available globally. Implementers work closely with Vendors and Care Providers to support the adoption and use of SNOMED CT during the deployment of clinical information systems, health data & analytics platform and interoperability solutions.

The SNOMED CT Value for Implementers:

- SNOMED CT is the most comprehensive, scientifically validated, health care terminology available globally.
- SNOMED CT allows you to collect data once and reuse it for a diverse range of clinical, management and research purposes.
- SNOMED CT enables you to support a diverse range of stakeholders, including care providers, patients/citizens and others.

Data Points for Implementors

1. Over 95% of the HIMSS Stage 7 global hospitals use SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information systems.

2. The vast majority of HIMSS Davies Award winners which recognize healthcare organizations that demonstrate sustainable improvements in patient outcomes use SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information systems and health data & analytics platforms.
SNOMED CT helps Vendors open global markets and expand market share by enhancing the information quality in their clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions. SNOMED CT enables Vendors to implement a stable, multi-lingual, quality-assured, clinical terminology with a consistent release cycle that allows clinical data to be entered once but used many times. SNOMED CT allows vendors to easily enhance their products with advanced analytics features so they can support the highest growth segment in the clinical information systems and health data platform markets.

Data Points for Vendors:

1. Over 70% of the inpatient and outpatient clinical systems products in Europe and North America use SNOMED CT.

2. The launch of a new SNOMED CT-enabled EMR for primary care, the only one of its kind in New Zealand, has allowed the vendor to capture 20% market share within 3 years.

3. Given its power to support analytics, vendors of leading commercial and open source health data & analytics platforms are already leveraging SNOMED CT. Without SNOMED CT, Vendors will struggle to launch competitive health care analytics solutions.

The SNOMED CT Value for Vendors:

- SNOMED CT allows you to sell your product using a global standard that is deployed in over 80 countries.
- SNOMED CT helps you open new markets for your software products.
Developed by clinicians, SNOMED CT helps Care Providers access a comprehensive source of high-quality patient information and evidence to improve patient outcomes. When embedded in clinical information systems with point-of-care and population analytics, SNOMED CT enhances the quality and timeliness of the clinical data that is available to Care Providers to make patient care decisions. Furthermore, Care Providers, when using interoperability solutions, can more easily share clinical information and documents with both the extended health care team and patients, both locally and across borders.

The SNOMED CT Value for Care Providers:

- SNOMED CT allows you to improve patient outcomes by being knowledgeable about your patient's health and their options for care.
- SNOMED CT allows you to have a more informed and collaborative relationship with your patient for critical care decisions.
- SNOMED CT allows you to provide the best care possible, in association with the rest of the care team.

Data Points for Care Providers

1. By introducing a SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information system the University of California (SF) Medical Centre was able to reduce ED triage time, by 53%, and allow 17% more high acuity patients to be seen within recommended timeframes, with no impact on quality.

2. Using SNOMED CT-embedded CPOE and evidence-based order sets the North York General Hospital in Toronto, Canada was able to reduce mortality from pneumonia and COPD exacerbation by 56%.
SNOMED CT helps Patients/Citizens achieve better health by being more knowledgeable about their health and their self-care options. Through an informed and collaborative decision-making relationship with their Care Providers, Patients/Citizens can also select the best options for care. Further, SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information systems support the patient’s clinical information being placed into the Patient/Citizen’s personal health record and used by the Patient/Citizen to support information empowerment, information sharing with their Care Provider, patient/citizen self-care, and overall health data portability.

Kaiser Permanente (US) completed its SNOMED CT-embedded Epic clinical information systems deployment in 2010, and its ‘My Health Manager’ patient portal in 2012. A recent 2020 research study showed that diabetes patients who used the Kaiser Permanente patient portal and mobile phone app improved medication adherence and blood glucose levels (i.e. patient outcomes).

Patients/Citizens at Barts Health NHS Trust in London, England, which is a SNOMED CT-enabled health organization, were able to enroll in a COVID-19 clinical trial within one hour of having tested positive for the virus.

The SNOMED CT Value for Patients/Citizens:

- SNOMED CT allows you to control your health information and be knowledgeable about your health and your self-care options.
- SNOMED CT enables you to collaborate with your Care Providers so that you can receive the best care available.
The Case for Investment in SNOMED CT
The Case for Investment in SNOMED CT has been developed using:

- The SNOMED CT value framework and its focus on how SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions can contribute to improving patient service outcomes (i.e. access and productivity) and patient health outcomes (i.e. patient safety, morbidity and mortality).

- The domains where SNOMED CT is used (e.g. data entry/integration, information sharing, analytics and research).

- A combination of ten case studies, a benefits model, an economic analysis, and the case for investment in SNOMED CT.

- Both quantitative and qualitative analysis.
The Symbiotic Relationship
- SNOMED CT must be embedded in a clinical information system, health data & analytics platform or an interoperability solution for it to function.
- Conversely, clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions must use clinical terminologies like SNOMED CT to operate effectively.

Patient Outcome Benefits
- SNOMED CT is only one of many contributing factors to improving patient outcomes.
- There are no studies that demonstrate improved patient outcomes benefits directly attributable to SNOMED CT.
- However, studies do show that the use of SNOMED CT-embedded systems do provide significant qualitative and quantitative patient outcome benefits.

Benefits Measurement
- Patient outcome benefits are described as patient service outcomes (e.g. improvements in access and productivity) and patient health outcomes (e.g. improvements in patient safety, morbidity and mortality).
- Benefits are measured in both financial terms (e.g. dollars saved) and non-financial terms (e.g. bed days reduced, deaths avoided).
- The case studies and quantitative models provide directional estimates of select benefits enabled (in part) by SNOMED CT.
The Case for Investment Identifies why a country would invest resources to implement SNOMED CT

SNOMED CT
Case for Investment

1. **What value** does a country or healthcare entity **desire** from a clinical terminology?

2. **What potential value** does SNOMED CT provide to a specific country or a healthcare entity?

3. **What demonstrated value** has SNOMED CT provided to a country or a healthcare entity in the past?

4. **What are the future opportunities** for SNOMED CT?

5. **Why would a country or a healthcare entity** invest resources to implement SNOMED CT?
SNOMED CT
Case for Investment

“SNOMED CT is the best available core reference terminology for cross-border, national and regional eHealth deployments in Europe”

ASSESS CT 2016
The Symbiotic Relationship

- SNOMED CT must be embedded in a clinical information system, health data & analytics platform or an interoperability solution for it to function.
- Conversely, clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions must use clinical terminologies like SNOMED CT to operate effectively.

Patient Outcome Benefits

- SNOMED CT is only one of many contributing factors to improving patient outcomes.
- There are no studies that demonstrate improved patient outcomes benefits directly attributable to SNOMED CT.
- However, studies do show that the use of SNOMED CT-embedded systems do provide significant qualitative and quantitative patient outcome benefits.

Benefits Measurement

- Patient outcome benefits are described as patient service outcomes (e.g. improvements in access and productivity) and patient health outcomes (e.g. improvements in patient safety, morbidity and mortality).
- Benefits are measured in both financial terms (e.g. dollars saved) and non-financial terms (e.g. bed days reduced, deaths avoided).
- The case studies and quantitative models provide directional estimates of select benefits enabled (in part) by SNOMED CT.
When a country or healthcare entity evaluates a clinical terminology they do it from two perspectives:

- the value of the terminology itself, and
- the on-going management of the terminology.

To assess the value of the SNOMED CT to a country we considered frameworks from three separate studies:

1. The desired features of a controlled terminology (vocabulary) as outlined by Cimino. The presence of these features in a controlled vocabulary (terminology) demonstrate whether the terminology is fit-for-purpose, or not.
2. The data quality management criteria used by the American Health Information Management Association to critique the similarities and differences between SNOMED CT and ICD-10.
3. The criteria developed by ASSESS CT through research, interviews and focus groups to evaluate the suitability of SNOMED CT for large scale e-health deployments within the E.U.
**Case for Investment**

**Q1. What Value Does a Country Desire From a Clinical Terminology?**

1. **SNOMED CT meets all the Cimino criteria that determine whether a terminology is fit-for-purpose.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminology Criteria</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SNOMED CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Content</td>
<td>Does the terminology have comprehensive content?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Concept Orientation</td>
<td>Do the terms correspond to at least one meaning (nonvagueness) and no more than one meaning (non-ambiguity), and that meanings correspond to no more than one term (non-redundancy)?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Concept Permanence</td>
<td>Is the meaning of a concept, once created, inviolate (does not change)?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Non-Semantic Concept Identifier</td>
<td>Do the concepts have a unique identifier, without any meaning built into the identifier?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Poly-Hierarchy</td>
<td>Is the terminology organized into multiple hierarchies?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Formal Definitions</td>
<td>Does the terminology have formal definitions, including the expression of relationships among concepts that can be manipulated with a computer?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Reject “Not Classified Elsewhere”</td>
<td>Does the terminology NOT include catch-all terms (e.g. not classified elsewhere) which can be used to encode information that is not represented by other existing terms.</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Multiple Granularities</td>
<td>Does the terminology allow multiple granularities (i.e. coarse-grains and fine-grained) to serve different uses of the terminology?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Multiple Consistent Views</td>
<td>Does the terminology provide multiple views so that it is suitable to be used for different purposes?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Context Representation</td>
<td>Does the terminology contain context representation through formal, explicit information about how concepts are used?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Evolve Gracefully</td>
<td>As the content and structure of the terminology changes are clear, detailed descriptions provided of what changes occur and why?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Recognize Redundancy</td>
<td>Does the terminology permit the same information to be stated in two different ways (synonyms)?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q1. What Value Does a Country Desire From a Clinical Terminology?

2. The American Health Information Management Association data quality management criteria was used to compare SNOMED CT and ICD-10. SNOMED CT met the requirements of all the criteria and out-performed ICD-10 on all counts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminology Criteria</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SNOMED CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Accessibility</td>
<td>Does the terminology easily support data accessibility?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT provides standardized data for use at the point-of-care, for data sharing and interoperability, as well as for analytics and research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Accuracy</td>
<td>Is the terminology coded accurately?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT is an automated clinical terminology where clinical representations are automatically encoded using a variety of coding applications. This reduces the opportunity for human error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Comprehensiveness</td>
<td>Is the terminology comprehensive in its breadth (i.e. the number of concepts and hierarchies)?</td>
<td>With 350,000 concepts in 19 hierarchies SNOMED CT is the most comprehensive clinical terminology available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Consistency</td>
<td>Are the terminology concepts consistent among different users and across all clinical applications?</td>
<td>Concepts in SNOMED CT are the same among different users and across all clinical applications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Currency</td>
<td>Is the content of the terminology kept current?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT in its current form was developed in 2007 and is updated twice per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Definition</td>
<td>Is the content of the terminology logical and well defined?</td>
<td>Developed by clinicians SNOMED CT’s logical structure is easy for clinicians to understand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Granularity</td>
<td>Does the terminology have the depth necessary to support its intended use?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT is the most fine-grained clinical terminology available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Precision</td>
<td>Does the terminology describe clinical expressions precisely?</td>
<td>Concepts have the same values in SNOMED CT; studies have shown up to 93 percent precision of SNOMED CT for identifying clinical expressions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Relevancy</td>
<td>Is the terminology relevant for multiple uses?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT directly supports clinical care, information sharing and interoperability, point-of-care, population and management analytics, as well as research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Timeliness</td>
<td>Is the input of the terminology content in real-time?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT data is automatically coded in real-time. It is not coded by humans after-the-fact.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Q1. What Value Does a Country Desire From a Clinical Terminology?

**3. The ASSESS CT suitability evaluation of SNOMED CT for large scale e-health deployments within the E.U.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal Terminology Criteria</th>
<th>SNOMED CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The terminology provides representational units (“concepts”) in sufficient granularity across all areas of health care and of biomedical research</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The terminology is explicit regarding its scope.</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The terminology is independent regarding language, but supports the connection to language and context specific vocabularies</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The terminology provides precise definitions of all representational units (“concepts”)</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The terminology has a compositional architecture that allows fine-grained representations</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The terminology can be harmonized with other terminological and semantic interoperability assets in use</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The terminology governed by a non-for-profit body that is controlled by end users and stakeholders and can provide a forum for terminology knowledge sharing and collaboration</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The terminology catches up with the progress of the domain by periodic updates</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The terminology meets quality criteria for standards</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. The terminology supports sophisticated navigation and post-coordination</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. The terminology supports cross-border information and knowledge exchange</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. The terminology follows current specifications for semantic interoperability assets</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. The terminology is supported by user-friendly tools and is easily implementable</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. The terminology supports computer processing and is rooted in a rigid, understandable upper-level model</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. The terminology has a maintenance process.</td>
<td>SNOMED CT meets this requirement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. The ASSESS CT suitability evaluation of SNOMED CT for large scale e-health deployments within the E.U.

### External Terminology Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SNOMED CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>The terminology is used internationally on other continents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>The terminology supports cross-border use cases (epSOS Patient summary).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>The terminology is in use in EU Member States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>The cost of licenses, implementation and maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>The terminology has a compositional architecture that allows fine-grained representations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASSESS CT Identified Challenges

- In 2016, SNOMED CT was not in widespread use within, or across, EU countries.
- The SNOMED CT license policy and cost is perceived as a critical barrier in the decision/start-up phase.
- The direct costs of adopting SNOMED CT (e.g. licensing costs) only constitute a small part of the overall costs to deploy the terminology within a country (note: this applies to all terminologies).
- The actual, or perceived, complexity of SNOMED CT is an initial barrier to adoption and use.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminology Management</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SNOMED CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Validated Content</td>
<td>Are any updates or changes in the terminology scientifically validated?</td>
<td>All SNOMED CT updates and changes are scientifically validated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Extent of Use</td>
<td>Does the terminology have a wide base of use and support, either within the country, or globally?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT is used in over 80 countries and is strongly supported by a 40 national member base.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Language</td>
<td>Is there support to translate the terminology into the home language?</td>
<td>This is supported by SNOMED International. SNOMED CT has currently been translated into two languages (i.e. English and Spanish), with refsets in four additional languages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Extensions</td>
<td>Can the terminology be extended with additional concepts, definitions and relationships?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT supports national, regional, or health entity extensions (e.g. Nebraska Lexicon).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Tools</td>
<td>Are there tools available to ease the burden of implementing and managing the terminology within a country or healthcare entity?</td>
<td>SNOMED International has developed open-source tools to support SNOMED CT deployment and management (e.g. authoring tool, SNOMED CT browser, mapping tool, Refset management and translation tool and the SNOMED CT managed service),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Education</td>
<td>Are there a variety of education programs to be available for implementers and users of SNOMED CT.</td>
<td>SNOMED International provides forums, EXPOS, focused education programs, and an extensive knowledge-base of documents and artefacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Participation</td>
<td>Are there opportunities countries to be involved in the governance of the terminology organization, and do they get the opportunity to interact with their peers?</td>
<td>SNOMED International is governed by the 41 Member General Assembly which sets the future direction for the organization. Through regular meetings and annual EXPOs SNOMED International provides opportunities for all countries to interact with their peers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Cost†</td>
<td>Is the terminology free to use?</td>
<td>SNOMED CT is free to use only for countries, healthcare entities and other organizations who have paid for a national or affiliate license.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Terminologies such as LOINC, RxNorm and ICD do not require a license fee and are free-to-use globally. However, like SNOMED CT these terminologies require funding to be maintained and enhanced. LOINC and RxNorm are primarily funded through U.S. Government agencies (e.g. Dept. of Health and Human Services), often in the form of project grants. ICD is funded through the World Health Organization’s 194 member country contributions plus private donors.
The Terminology

- SNOMED International has designed the SNOMED CT clinical terminology so that it is fit-for-purpose. It meets all the 12 desired features of a controlled terminology as defined by Cimino.
- SNOMED International has designed the SNOMED CT clinical terminology so that it meets all the 10 quality data management criteria, as defined by the American Health Information Management Association.
- SNOMED International has designed SNOMED CT so that meets the suitability requirements of the E.U. community as determined by ASSESS CT through literature reviews, interviews and focus groups.

Management of the Terminology

- SNOMED International manages the SNOMED CT clinical terminology product and services in a professional manner.

The Desired Value of SNOMED CT is further Reinforced by:

- **E.U. Assessment** - after extensive stakeholder input and analysis, Assess CT (2016) evaluated SNOMED CT for use in large scale eHealth deployments in the European Union and recommended that:

> “SNOMED CT is the best available core reference terminology for cross-border, national and regional eHealth deployments in Europe”. - Assess CT
Q2. What Potential Value Does SNOMED CT Provide to a Country?

SNOMED CT

Case for Investment

- **Productivity (Model 1)**
  - Cost savings from improvements in inpatient nursing time, lab tests, drug utilization, length of stay and medical records; plus outpatient transcription use, chart pulls, lab/radiology tests, & drug utilization.

- **Disease Prevention (Model 2)**
  - Reduced bed days, workdays missed, as well as increased deaths avoided and life years gained from immunizations and cancer screening.

- **Patient Safety (Model 3)**
  - Reduction in adverse drug events, inpatient bed days, and deaths avoided from CPOE use.

- **BCM & IRR (Model 4)**
  - The Benefits Cost Multiple and the Internal Rate of Return from investing in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions.

- **Impact on GNI (Model 5)**
  - The average annual increase to a nation’s GNI as a result of the investments in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions.

---

**SNOMED CT Break-Even Analysis**

**Data from Five Studies**

**validated by**

**SNOMED CT Case for Investment**

FULL REPORT
Q2. What Potential Value Does SNOMED CT Provide to a Country?

- Potential value is derived from modelling the quantitative impact of SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information systems and interoperability solutions (note: robust studies for health data & analytics platforms are not yet available). The U.S. RAND study used 2005 as a baseline and projected potential benefits to 2020 (i.e. for 15 years). The findings showed:

Productivity Gains

Inputs:
- The 2005 annual expenditure for healthcare in the United States was USD$2,024 billion.
- The adoption rate for integrated, clinical information systems was 15% in 2005 and this increased to 99.9% by 2020 (i.e. a significant investment in clinical information systems over the past 15 years).

Outputs:
- The estimated, potential annual mean savings as a result of the integrated, clinical information systems investment was USD$58.25 billion (i.e. approximately 3% of the 2005 annual U.S.A. healthcare expenditure).
- The estimated, potential cumulative savings over the 15 years was USD$875.8 billion.
- This was a result of patient service outcome benefits from inpatient care (i.e. nursing time, lab tests, drug utilization, length of stay and medical records) and outpatient care (i.e. transcription, chart pulls, lab tests, drug utilization and radiology).
Disease Prevention Benefits

Inputs:
• Total annual deaths (2005) from influenza, pneumococcal diseases, breast cancer, cervical cancer and colorectal cancer was 20,000, 40,000, 41,394, 4,100, and 57,000 respectively.
• The population over 65 with an annual (2005) diagnosis of influenza was 1,220,641 and pneumococcal diseases was 1,389,907.
• The proportion of people vaccinated (2005) for influenza and pneumococcal diseases was 65% and 53%.
• The percent of the population screened (2005) for breast cancer 70%, for cervical cancer 85% and for colorectal cancer 34%.

Outputs:
• Influenza vaccination resulted on average in 292,424 reduced bed days, 51,508 reduced workdays missed, and 1,298 deaths avoided.
• Pneumococcal vaccination resulted on average in 458,515 reduced bed days, 33,358 reduced workdays missed and 956 deaths avoided.
• Breast Cancer screening resulted in a mean of 1,976 deaths avoided.
• Cervical Cancer screening resulted in 338 deaths avoided and 8,437 life-days gained.
• Colorectal Cancer screening resulted in a mean of 1,392 deaths avoided and 39,654 life-days gained.
Patient Safety Benefits

The patient safety benefits are derived from the introduction of CPOE functionality in clinical information systems in the U.S. The HITECH Act of 2009 enabled significant investment to be directed toward CPOE as part of the Meaningful Use requirements. We have elected to use a recent CPOE adoption rate of 74% based on HIMSS EMRAM ratings. However, there are studies that indicate that e-prescribing in the U.S. may be higher than this number (i.e. 90+%).

**Inputs:**
- The total annual inpatient days in the U.S. in 2005 was 167,199,099.
- The total annual outpatient visits in the U.S. in 2005 was 823,541,999.
- The CPOE adoption in the U.S. in 2005 was 4% and had risen to 74% by 2016.

**Outputs:**
- Inpatient benefits from increased use of CPOE functionality in clinical information systems resulted in:
  - A median of 100,974 reduced adverse drug events, 314,176 reduced bed days and 2,037 deaths avoided.
- Outpatient benefits from using CPOE functionality in clinical information systems resulted in:
  - A median of 1,078,953 reduced adverse drug events, 6,135,644 reduced bed days and 6,387 deaths avoided.
Benefits to Cost Model and Internal Rate of Return

Based on a range of studies the U.S.A. could anticipate a Benefits to Cost Multiple of 1.8 - 4.1 from an investment in SNOMED CT embedded clinical information systems and interoperability solutions. Alternatively, the Internal Rate of Return for the same investment would be in the range of 10-42% (i.e. the higher the IRR the more attractive the investment).

Economic Benefits

An increase in GNI has been empirically correlated with higher living standards, higher real incomes and the ability to devote more resources to areas like health care, education, research and development and capital investment. These measures in turn are correlated to higher literacy, life expectancy and higher technological innovation.

Inputs:

- The 2005 annual expenditure for healthcare in the United States was USD$2,024 billion.
- The 2005 GDP for the United States was USD$13,040 billion.
- The 2005 GNI for the United States was USD$13,170 billion.

Outputs:

- The average annual increase to U.S. GNI as a result of investments in integrated, clinical information systems was 0.23%.
- Further, the average annual increase to U.S. GNI was USD$30.71 billion.
In Summary

For the United States the potential cost savings, patient service outcome and patient health outcome benefits that can result from a targeted investment in integrated clinical information systems and interoperability solutions over 15 years are:

- Potential mean savings of USD$58.25 billion per year from improvements in inpatient nursing time, lab tests, drug utilization, length of stay and medical records and outpatient transcription use, chart pulls, lab tests, drug utilization and radiology services.
- Over 750,000 reduced bed days, approximately 85,000 reduced workdays missed and over 2,200 deaths avoided from influenza and pneumococcal disease immunizations.
- Over 3700 deaths avoided and 50,000 life-years gained from breast, cervical and colorectal cancer screening.
- A reduction of over 1.1 million adverse drug events and 6.4 million bed days, as well as 8,300 deaths avoided from CPOE use.
- A Benefits to Cost Multiple of 1.8 - 4.1 and an Internal Rate of Return for the same investment of 10 - 42%.
- The average annual increase to U.S. GNI as a result of the investments in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions was 0.23% or USD$30.71 billion.
- The estimated cost of implementing a SNOMED CT license in the USA for the study period was USD$87M. Using only the Model 1 cumulative benefits of USD$875.8B the breakeven percentage is 0.01%.

In conclusion, the potential value that SNOMED CT can provide a country is significant when it is embedded in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions.

5. Note: Potential Value should be viewed as directional, rather than absolute benefits. Ideally, potential value needs to be considered together with demonstrated value as a way to understand and project future patient outcome benefits. As such potential value should not be considered in isolation from other ways to determine benefits.
Q2. What Potential Value Does SNOMED CT Provide to a Country?

SNOMED CT
Potential Case for Investment

- **Productivity (Model 1)**: Potential mean savings of USD$58.25 billion per year in improved inpatient and outpatient services.

- **Disease Prevention (Model 2)**: Over 750,000 reduced bed days, approximately 85,000 reduced workdays missed and over 2,200 deaths avoided from immunizations, and over 3700 deaths avoided and 50,000 life-years gained from cancer screening.

- **Patient Safety (Model 3)**: A reduction of over 1.1 million adverse drug events and 6.4 million bed days, as well as 8,300 deaths avoided from CPOE use.

- **BCM & IRR (Model 4)**: A Benefits to Cost Multiple of 1.8 - 4.1 and an Internal Rate of Return for the same investment of 10-41% from investing in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions.

- **Impact on GNI (Model 5)**: The average annual increase to U.S. GNI as a result of the investments in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions was 0.23% or USD$30.71 billion.

The estimated cost of implementing a SNOMED CT license in the USA for the 15-year study period was USD87M.

Using only the Model 1 cumulative benefits of USD$875B the breakeven percentage is 0.01%.
Patient Service Outcome Improvements

- Patient (Panel) Management
- Health Record Management
- Diagnostic Tests
- Patient Safety
- Infection Control
- Referral Management
- Population Health
- Data Sharing
- Efficiencies and Cost Savings

Patient Health Outcomes Improvements

- Patient Safety
- Infection Control
- Population Health
- Analytics and Research
The *demonstrated value* of SNOMED CT is derived from the ten case studies where exemplar, SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information system, health data & analytics platform and interoperability solution deployments were reviewed. Throughout this report the demonstrated value has been presented as *patient service outcome* and *patient health outcome* benefits.
Q3. What Demonstrated Value Has SNOMED CT Provided a Country?

Note: The analytics and research examples shown here are an extremely small subset of what has been completed by healthcare organizations around the world. Further, with the more recent deployment of advanced analytics capabilities (i.e. point-of-care analytics, population analytics and management analytics), the impact on patient safety, infection control, population health and other areas of healthcare is expanding rapidly and may not be reflected in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Health Outcome Benefits</th>
<th>Population Health</th>
<th>Analytics and Research (some examples)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient Safety</td>
<td>Population Health</td>
<td>Analytics and Research (some examples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Reduction in patient harm</td>
<td>10. Improved control of diabetes</td>
<td>19. Improved chlorthalidone vs hydrochlorothiazide hypertension safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Reduction in mortality due to best practice review of ventilator tidal volumes</td>
<td>13. Improved control of breast cancer</td>
<td>22. Increased precision in identifying cancers (AI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Reduced risk of exposure to infection</td>
<td>15. Improved control of colon cancer</td>
<td>24. Improved identification of antibiotic resistance (AI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Reduction in sepsis mortality rates</td>
<td>17. Reduction in morbidity and mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The analytics and research examples shown here are an extremely small subset of what has been completed by healthcare organizations around the world. Further, with the more recent deployment of advanced analytics capabilities (i.e. point-of-care analytics, population analytics and management analytics), the impact on patient safety, infection control, population health and other areas of healthcare is expanding rapidly and may not be reflected in this table.
Q3. What Demonstrated Value Has SNOMED CT Provided a Country?

Patient Outcome Benefits

- The use of SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information systems have demonstrated a wide range of patient service outcome benefits: health records management, patient/panel management, patient safety, diagnostic tests, infection control, referral management, data sharing/interoperability, population health, efficiencies and direct cost savings.

- The use of SNOMED CT-embedded clinical information systems have resulted in patient health outcome benefits: patient safety, infection control, referral management, population health, and the impacts of analytics and research studies.

- Therefore, SNOMED CT when embedded in clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions has demonstrated value that enable improved patient outcomes, and in part mirror the potential value shown in the modified RAND study of the U.S.

The Proof of Demonstrated Value of SNOMED CT is further Reinforced by:

1. **Size of the Existing User Base** - 41 member countries and over 80 countries where the terminology is used.

2. **Clinical Information System Products** – By one count (i.e. KLAS), SNOMED CT is available in approximately 72% of the clinical information system products globally (note: this does not include the China or Russia markets).

3. **Exemplar Implementations** - In healthcare organizations like: Veterans Health Administration (U.S.), Kaiser Permanente (U.S.), BARTS NHS Trust, ELHCP and OneLondon (U.K.), and Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (U.K.).
1. Huge Interoperable Longitudinal Cohorts - Over the last 20 years, national cohorts (e.g. UK Biobank), have amassed huge populations with genomic, laboratory, and lifestyle assessments as well as longitudinal follow-up on health outcomes. The breadth and depth of data is staggering, as is the opportunities for discovery.

2. Diversity and Inclusion - With a growing depth of data, we have an opportunity to replace adjustments for race and ethnicity with more specific measures.

3. Big Data and AI - AI approaches in medicine have been limited by the (un)availability of large, commonly structured datasets. Looking forward, biomedical datasets will become increasingly ready for analyses.

4. Routine Clinical Genomics – Moving forward, whole genome approaches will become a routine, early step in the understanding, prevention, detection, and treatment of common and rare diseases.

5. Electronic Health Records – Many site-based and national research cohorts now use EHRs and other health data to provide up to decades of disease and treatment information that can be repurposed for research. This use will continue to expand.

6. Phenomics and Environment – Continued growth of research and clinical uses for different ways to measure clinical phenotypes, exposures, and lifestyles.

7. Privacy, Trust and Return of Value - The utility of precision medicine is dependent on broad participation, and broad participation of large populations requires trust, protection of privacy, and a return of value to the participants.
The healthcare industry is ever evolving. The future opportunities for SNOMED CT will be driven by new healthcare data sources and new healthcare technologies. From a health care industry-wide perspective the following new data sources and technologies are seen as significant.

**New Data Sources** – unstructured-to-structured data, “omics” data.

**New Technologies** – machine learning, artificial intelligence, deep learning, blockchain, biosensors, advanced semantic interoperability, differential privacy, quantum computing.

However, ‘omics’ data coupled with artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning and other technologies are drawing the most attention globally given their contribution to the field of personalized, precision medicine.
Q4. What are the Future Opportunities for SNOMED CT Use?

Personalized, Precision Medicine

The ability to digitize the medical essence of a human being is predicated on the integration of multiscale data, akin to a Google map, which consists of superimposed layers of data such as street, traffic, and satellite views. For a human being, these layers include demographics and the social graph, biosensors to capture the individual’s physiome, imaging to depict the anatomy (often along with physiologic data), and the biology from the various omics (genome-DNA sequence, transcriptome, proteome, metabolome, microbiome, and epigenome). In addition to all of these layers, there is one’s important environmental exposure data, known as the “exposome.”

Q4. What are the Future Opportunities for SNOMED CT Use?

Personalized, Precision Medicine 2030

1. **Huge Interoperable Longitudinal Cohorts** - Over the last 20 years, national cohorts (e.g. UK Biobank), have amassed huge populations with genomic, laboratory, and lifestyle assessments as well as longitudinal follow-up on health outcomes. The breadth and depth of data is staggering, as is the opportunities for discovery.

2. **Diversity and Inclusion** - With a growing depth of data, we have an opportunity to replace adjustments for race and ethnicity with more specific measures.

3. **Big Data and AI** - AI approaches in medicine have been limited by the (un)availability of large, commonly structured datasets. Looking forward, biomedical datasets will become increasingly ready for analyses.

4. **Routine Clinical Genomics** – Moving forward, whole genome approaches will become a routine, early step in the understanding, prevention, detection, and treatment of common and rare diseases.

5. **Electronic Health Records** – Many site-based and national research cohorts now use EHRs and other health data to provide up to decades of disease and treatment information that can be repurposed for research. This use will continue to expand.

6. **Phenomics and Environment** – Continued growth of research and clinical uses for different ways to measure clinical phenotypes, exposures, and lifestyles.

7. **Privacy, Trust and Return of Value** - The utility of precision medicine is dependent on broad participation, and broad participation of large populations requires trust, protection of privacy, and a return of value to the participants.8

---

Q4. What are the Future Opportunities for SNOMED CT Use?

**Personalized, Precision Medicine 2030**

Personalized, precision medicine promises improved health by accounting for individual variability in genes, environment, and lifestyle. It will continue to transform healthcare in the coming decade, and beyond, as it expands in key areas: huge cohorts, artificial intelligence (AI), routine clinical genomics, phenomics and environment, and returning value across diverse populations.

**SNOMED CT** as a core reference clinical terminology is well-positioned the enable the semantic ‘interoperation’ and knowledge representation of massive, diverse health data sets, using advanced technologies (e.g. AI/ML), that need to be positioned for personalized, precision medicine, analytics and research.
## SNOMED CT

### Why would a Country Invest in SNOMED CT?

| Desired Value | SNOMED CT is a best-in-class, core clinical reference terminology that is well-designed, comprehensive, serves multiple uses, is widely adopted, and enables improved patient outcomes – it clearly passes the bar for the value that a country desires from a clinical terminology and as such makes for a Strong Case for Investment. |
| Potential Value | Through the modelled deployment in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions SNOMED CT has clearly shown strong potential value and as such makes for a Strong Case for Investment. |
| Demonstrated Value | The ten Case Studies clearly demonstrate the value that SNOMED CT can bring when used in clinical information systems, health data & analytics platforms and interoperability solutions, and again make for a Strong Case for Investment. |
| Future Value | Future opportunities, especially in personalized, precision medicine and research, using advanced technologies, are a perfect fit for SNOMED CT, which in turn bolsters its Strong Case for Investment. |
| **The SNOMED CT Case for Investment** | Desired Value + Potential Value + Demonstrated Value + Future Value = Strong Case for Investment |
A Strong Case for Investment in SNOMED CT
There is a demonstrated STRONG CASE FOR INVESTMENT in SNOMED CT

A Strong Case for Investment in SNOMED CT
1. The objective is to develop ten case studies that cover the six domains – data entry and integration through to research – and can demonstrate how SNOMED CT is used.

2. Each detailed case study provides an overview of the organization involved, the use of SNOMED CT and the benefits realized.

3. The case studies are not equivalent in scope, scale and/or benefits achieved.

4. The benefits achieved in each case study are shown as patient service outcomes and patient health outcomes as outlined in the SNOMED International Vision.

5. The benefits described in the case studies are also used to validate the assumptions, and in some instances the data points, in the benefits model and the economic analysis.

6. The Case Studies-at-a-Glance are summarized in this section of the final report. The detailed Case Studies are provided in Appendix 2.
## Case Studies

### Overview of the ten case studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Study</th>
<th>Data Entry and Integration</th>
<th>Clinical Information Sharing</th>
<th>Point of Care Analytics</th>
<th>Population Analytics</th>
<th>Management Analytics</th>
<th>Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Veterans Health Administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Kaiser Permanente</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. North York General Hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. BARTS and ELHCP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. University of Cambridge Hospitals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Northern Queensland PHN and Mackay H&amp;HS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. University of Nebraska Medical Centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. OHDSI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Honghu Public Health Surveillance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. AEHRC and CSIRO Artificial Intelligence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The timeline below depicts the approximate starting point over the past twenty-plus years for each of the ten case studies: pre-2000; 2000-2009; 2010-2019; 2020 and beyond. Each Case Study is presented in the order of deployment.
SNOMED CT

A Demonstrated Case for Investment: Real World Use

Veterans Health Administration: the cost benefit analysis of the SNOMED CT-embedded VistA system, as well as the benefits derived from the Veterans Health Information Exchange (VHIE).

Kaiser Permanente: the benefits derived from a SNOMED CT-embedded HealthConnect clinical information system and patient portal, as well as analytics and research.

North York General Hospital: the benefits obtained from a SNOMED CT-embedded eCare clinical decision support system.

Barts NHS Trust and the East London Health and Care Partnership: the benefits derived from a SNOMED CT-embedded Cerner clinical information system and a regional EHR and data & analytics platform.

University of Cambridge Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust: the benefits derived from a SNOMED CT-embedded eHospital clinical information system, patient portal and a health data & analytics platform.

Northern Queensland Primary Health Network and the Mackay Hospital and Health Service: an economic evaluation of the Mackay SNOMED CT-embedded HealthPathways implementation.

AEHRC and CSIRO (Australia): a look into the current and future possibilities for SNOMED CT use in artificial intelligence.

Honghu Public Health Surveillance (COVID-19): a description of the SNOMED-CT-embedded Honghu Hybrid System that supported policy makers and public health officials with COVID-19 surveillance and control.

OHDSI: the SNOMED CT-embedded OMOP CDM, and the benefits obtained from research projects using the OHDSI research collaborative.

University of Nebraska Medical Centre: the benefits obtained from the SNOMED CT-embedded i2B2 data warehouse and its use for clinical and translational research.
In the mid 1990’s, CEO Dr. Ken Kizer set out to transform the VHA “from a hospital system to a health care system”. Technology use was a key component of the transformation, leading at that time, to the world’s largest deployment of an integrated clinical information system (VistA), including SNOMED CT.

The SNOMED CT–embedded VistA clinical information system and patient portal was custom-developed and implemented at a cost of USD$3.6 billion. VistA is currently used in 1,250 health care facilities, has over 450,000 users, and routinely has had the highest user satisfaction levels among U.S. clinical information systems.

A cost benefit analysis for the VistA deployment was completed for the period 2004-2007:

- **Adoption and Use** – Near 100% adoption and use of the SNOMED CT-embedded VistA EHR across all VHA facilities.
- **Benefits** – Benefits realized were primarily due to the reduction of adverse drug events (65%) and duplicate testing (27%) and productivity gains (e.g. elimination of chart pulls, reduction in order processing time).
- **Net Value** – The breakeven point for the VistA EHR investment occurred in 2003. By 2007 the net value exceeded $687 million per year, with annual benefits being three times greater than annual costs.
- **Comparative Performance** – the VHA out-performed the U.S. private healthcare system in the control of diabetes, including glucose testing compliance (15% higher), cholesterol control (17% higher), and more timely retinal exams.

The Veterans Health Information Exchange (VHIE) was deployed to enable care coordination via system interoperability, using SNOMED CT-supported Continuity of Care documents that are shared among 220 participating providers nation-wide. The VHIE has resulted in an eight-fold increase in the allergy documentation rate, a reduction in travel for veterans to receive immunizations, and a reduction in CBC & renal profile ordering, liver tests and imaging orders.

For the detailed Veterans Health Administration Case Study see Appendix 2 [here](#).
Kaiser Permanente (KP) is the largest nonprofit healthcare plan in the United States, with over 12 million members. In 2002 KP hired George Halvorson as its CEO with the urgent need to integrate care across the entire KP organization by leveraging health information technology, and as a way for KP to obtain a competitive advantage in healthcare delivery.

KP selected Epic Systems to deploy the HealthConnect clinical information system and the My Health Manager patient portal in all KP locations. The KP HealthConnect deployment became the reference SNOMED CT deployment in the U.S. and globally.

The use of HealthConnect provided immediate benefits to clinicians and patients:

- Improved patient safety with comprehensive, legible electronic patient health records.
- More efficient inpatient and outpatient care with 24/7 access to complete patient health records.
- Elimination of duplicate tests (e.g., laboratory, radiology) through availability of electronic orders and results.
- Improved patient engagement by KP clinicians demonstrating that “we know you”, and patients don’t have to repeat the same information about allergies, medications, and other elements of their medical history.

The Harvested Value from the SNOMED CT–embedded HealthConnect system that required policy changes, workflow re-design, committed leadership, and an openness to innovations by knowledgeable clinicians. For example:

- Improved patient safety due to the implementation of level 1 drug-drug interactions.
- Reduced cost of medical records operations.
- Re-engineered workflows to improve quality outcomes while reducing waste and costs. For example, the use of population and management analytics that resulted in a significant drop in patient harm, and an improvement in HEDIS and cost of care rates. By 2009 KP was above the 90th HEDIS percentile across the U.S. for breast and colorectal cancer screening; controlling high blood pressure; cardiovascular LDL control; and diabetes LDL control.
The Transformation of Care benefits from the SNOMED CT-embedded HealthConnect system included:

- Improved capability to identify, support and disseminate health care innovations, for example: Panel Management resulted in a decrease in office visits, an increase in telephone visits and an increase in secure messaging communications and patient portal interactions. Over a 3-year period physicians saw on average 6% more of their panel of patients, thereby increasing capacity or throughput. Physician work satisfaction increased significantly, and the patient-physician “relationship” measure improved by up to 64%.

- Increased opportunity for collaboration and cultural transformation, for example: Patient Portal – clinicians initially felt that patients were not ready to see their health data without the physician acting as an interpreter. A cultural change was needed. This was achieved through the required clinician leadership, communication and collaboration. In addition, KP now uses a 30,000 person virtual advisory group to advise on it My Health Manager patient portal direction.

- The ability to conduct better manage population health, for example: Collaborative Cardiac Care Service (CCCS) was developed by KP Colorado to improve the health of patients with Coronary Artery Disease (CAD). By 2010 CCCS was following over 12,000 CAD patients and demonstrated improvements in cholesterol screening and reduction in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. The CCCS has achieved a 76% reduction in all-cause mortality associated with CAD in the patients followed by the service.

- Identification and dissemination of best practices and clinical guidelines, for example: KP accelerated its patient safety performance by: closing the loop of diagnostic test results; enhancing CPOE and decision support; creating drug surveillance features and new ways to detect harm. It reduced Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia rates by 60% in the first year and has a sustained reduction of 36% below the pre-intervention rate.

- For the detailed Kaiser Permanente Case Study see Appendix 5 here.
North York General Hospital (NYGH) is a community academic hospital affiliated with the University of Toronto in Canada. NYGH deployed their eCare project in 2007, using the SNOMED CT-embedded Cerner clinical information system, and in 2010 deployed CPOE, clinical decision support, and electronic medication management. The introduction of CPOE and over 850 SNOMED CT evidence-based order sets at NYGH shifted the organization to evidence-based practice.

Using SNOMED CT evidence-based order sets NYGH achieved the following clinical benefits.

- **100% user adoption of the CPOE system;** 92% of physician orders and 86% of medication orders entered by MDs.
- **Approximately 50% of physician order volume was generated from evidence-based order sets.**
- **Increased use of evidence-based admission order sets from 36.5% pre-CPOE to 97.4% post-CPOE.**
- **Medication turnaround time for STAT antibiotics improved by 83% which leads to improved patient health outcomes.**
- **Inpatient preventable mortality from pneumonia and COPD exacerbation was reduced by 56% using CPOE with a correctly matched evidence based order set.** Over 5 years this amounted to over 120 lives saved, a positive patient health outcome.
- **Appropriate prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism (VTE) – a blood clot in a deep vein - increased from 50% of inpatients to >97% of inpatients, with a corresponding 39% reduction in VTE, a positive patient health outcome.**

The total cost avoidance from improvements in the occurrence of four adverse events was determined to be CAD$38.1M over 5 years, or CAD$7.6M per year. When the total cost of acquiring and implementing the SNOMED CT-embedded eCare clinical information system was taken into account a net savings over the 5-year period of CAD$1.2 million was achieved.

For the detailed NYGH Case Study see Appendix 5 here.
Established in 2012, BARTS NHS Trust (BARTS) operates five hospitals throughout the City of London and East London for over 2.6 million people, in an area characterized by significant diversity and health inequalities. It is one of the largest NHS Trusts.

The BARTS SNOMED CT-embedded Cerner Millennium clinical information system was introduced in 2008, and subsequently expanded and enhanced, with a focus on providing a single system, connectedness, and big data.

A Benefits Deep Dive of the CRS implementation was conducted in 2013. It identified many of the same benefits that we have seen in other clinical information system implementation case studies such as:

- **Emergency Department**: More effective record storage and retrieval; less duplicate data entry; reduction in 4-hour breaches; improvements in ED efficiency and workflow from using an electronic whiteboard.

- **Outpatient Clinics**: More effective record storage and retrieval; reduction in paper referrals due to a centralized e-referral service; improved appointment booking; more effective patient communications by providing letters at the end of the consultation; and an increase in revenues due to improved coding the finished consultant episodes (FCE).

In 2016 BARTS did not meet national legislative requirement to isolate infectious patients appropriately. BARTS deployed a SNOMED CT-embedded system of infection control reporting using patient laboratory results data. Patient Safety Benefits achieved – A 30% reduction in the number of patients inappropriately located in open bays; reduced risk of exposure to infections and infection transmission; and reduction in time spent to locate and isolate infectious patients.

Compared to national benchmarks, there are higher numbers of smokers in east London, especially among the South Asian community. This in turn, results in higher rates for smoking-related disease admissions to hospital and higher mortality rates for cancer and respiratory disease. BARTS uses SNOMED CT to record in the Cerner problem list those patients who smoke and/or chew tobacco. They are immediately referred to a smoking cessation program, which is a requirement for payment under NHS commissioning arrangements.
BARTS is also part of the East London Health and Care Partnership (ELHCP), a region with the highest population growth in London. The population is diverse, with a high percentage of the population relying on benefits, experiencing unemployment, plus living in poor housing and environment. Poor health outcomes for its population including obesity, cancer, mental health, and dementia, with a high reliance on emergency services, access to services issues, particularly in primary care.

The ELHCP East London Patient Record (eLPR) is a consolidated, read only view of a patients' health record, covering a population of about 1.5 million. The eLPR is created and shared among clinicians via two independent Cerner health information exchanges (HIEs), with over 150,000 eLPR views occurring per month in late 2020. Interoperability is achieved within East London by standardizing data entry and coding care using SNOMED CT standards.

A 2017 eLPR Benefits Study Evaluation of clinician users found improvements in:

1. Efficiency - 48% of clinicians felt the amount of paperwork had been reduced, 63% felt there had been a reduction in records notes going missing and 42% recorded a reduction in the number of orders. About 80% of the clinicians stated that the number of phone calls answered or made were reduced.

2. Referrals - Based on the responses to the survey it was concluded that 1,233 referrals are avoided across Waltham Forest, East London and City (WELC) each year. This equates to an annual saving of £133k.

3. Patient Engagement - 62% of clinicians felt that the patient engagement and relationship was improved with eLPR.

4. Clinician Satisfaction - Overall, 81% of clinicians felt eLPR had a positive effect on their working day.
Discovery East London was first established in 2016 to create a linked dataset of real-time clinical data from a myriad of care settings, including BARTS, that has now been scaled across all of London. The ELHCP Discovery program publishes primary care, secondary care (e.g. BARTS), mental health and other care data in a common health data platform so that it can be used for clinical analytics, population analytics, management analytics and research purposes. The data in the Discovery data platform is all encoded in SNOMED CT. At this time there are over 25 projects that are either live or in progress. By way of example eight of these twenty-five projects are sourced from the BARTS NHS Trust and includes: Serious Mental Illness; BARTS Pancreas Tissue Bank; NHS 111 Discovery Frailty Flagging; Childhood Immunizations and 6-Week Check; and East London Genes and Health.

OneLondon is a partnership of NHS organizations and local government across all of London, working together with citizens to transform London’s health and care services by integrating information to support patient care. Both BARTS and the East London Health and Care Partnership are part of the OneLondon program. In short, the OneLondon program will take the digital health successes from the likes of BARTS and the East London Health and Care Partnership and extend that across the entire the City of London and the 32 boroughs with its combined population of over 9 million people.

For example, the OneLondon Patient Record (as per the eLPR), as well as a OneLondon data platform (as per the ELHCP Discovery platform) is being deployed. Currently, the OneLondon Patient Record provides clinician access to the health records of 6 million patients in 3 of the 5 zones within London.

For the detailed BARTS and ELHCP Case Study see Appendix 5 here.
Key Quantitative Benefits

- Chart Pulls - £460,000 saved annually in staff time as paper patient record retrieval is no longer required.
- Nursing Productivity - £1.1m saved annually in nursing time as observations and medication administration are recorded directly into patient records at the bedside, using handheld devices connected to our EHR.
- Adverse Drug Events - 850 significant adverse reactions prevented each year with electronic allergy-related prescribing alerts in our EHR triggering a change in medication prescriptions - saving 2,450 bed days a year, equivalent to £0.98 million/year.
- Medication Management - 100% recording of the indication for antibiotic prescribing leading to more meaningful antibiotic stewardship – antibiotics are only prescribed if they are truly needed.
- Patient Health Outcomes - 42% reduction in sepsis mortality with electronic sepsis alerts built into the EHR by the eHospital team.
Using fully digital out-patient clinics has enabled CUH to improve patient care, safety and experience; and to make the running of the busy clinics much more effective and efficient.

- Elimination of Paper: **100% reduction in paper first referrals** from GPs to the consultant-led clinics/services because the EHR is integrated with the NHS e-Referral service.
- Appointment Efficiency Gains: **4,500 orthopedic clinic appointment slots per year were freed up** because clinicians were able to view clinical notes and x-rays virtually in the EHR to determine whether a patient needs an appointment, or not.
- Effective Patient Communications: **80% of clinic letters in pediatric gastroenterology are given to the parents at the end of clinic** because data from the EHR is automatically combined into a structured letter.
- Improved Clinic Throughput: **20% more patients are being seen** in the surgical pre-assessment clinic as patients are able to complete their own initial documentation on a digital tablet, and save it to the EHR.

Addenbrooke’s Hospital is one of the busiest emergency (A&E) departments in the UK and is a Major Trauma Centre for the region. Quick and easy access to information is essential for all staff working in Emergency due to the high volume of patients being treated, twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week.

- Elimination of Paper: the need to **urgently source paper records** for ED patients has been **completely eliminated**.
- Emergency Department Efficiency Gains: a digital emergency department allows all care providers to gain **rapid access to the patients information in the EHR**.
- Appointment Efficiency Gains: **No waiting for paper notes** from the ED before follow-up appointments can be booked.
- Improved Coordination of Care: **Letters are automatically sent from the EHR to the patients’ GP** when the patient is admitted to an inpatient area from the emergency department. **Discharge summary letters are sent electronically** from the EHR to the patient’s GP **within 24 hours of discharge** from the emergency department.
In high dependency areas, like operating theatres and intensive care, all of the physiological monitors and ventilators, in all 40 theatres, 148 high-dependency areas and critical care beds, are connected to the EHR.

- **Staff Efficiency Gains:** data generated from medical devices is being automatically and continuously recorded directly into the EHR removing the need for manual transcription - a staff time saving equivalent to £2.6 million a year.
- **Theatre Throughput:** 18% increase in main theatre case volume through faster theatre turnaround/analytics in the EHR.
- **Clinical Efficiency Gains:** a 30 minute reduction in our Rapid Response Team getting to patients.
- **Improved Patient Outcomes:** 2-3 avoidable deaths prevented each year with electronic routine review of best practice for ventilator tidal volumes in the EHR.

Sepsis is a life-threatening condition that arises when the body responds to an infection by attacking its own tissues and organs. Every year in the UK approximately 250,000 people are affected by sepsis and it accounts for around 50,000 deaths. Research shows that for every hour delay in receiving antibiotics the risk of sepsis mortality increases by 8%.

- **Improved Patient Care:** 100% sepsis screening now occurs in the Emergency department.
- **Improved Patient Care:** 70% increase in patients receiving antibiotics for sepsis within 1 hour of arrival in Emergency with electronic sepsis alerts in our EHR.
- **Improved Patient Care:** 80% increase in patients receiving antibiotics for sepsis within 90 minutes of arrival in the ED.
- **Improved Patient Care:** a 50% increase in adult inpatients receiving antibiotics for sepsis within both 60 and 90 minutes of the sepsis alert being triggered in the EHR.
- **Improved Patient Health Outcomes:** 42% reduction in sepsis mortality across the Trust. At least 64 lives saved in 2018 with sepsis alerts created in the EHR.
The eHospital system interoperates with the West Suffolk Hospital’s Cerner Millennium EHR (they share 30% of patients). This digital link also connects Cambridge University Hospitals with all hospitals across the world that use an Epic EHR to advance the care of their internationally shared patients. Separately, CUH has been working with NHS Digital to develop and test a new FHIR medication specific message that will be used to share medication information between GPs and hospitals. Some elements of the message are human readable text, but there is also coded data using SNOMED CT and dm+d codes.

At CUH, a patient’s eHospital information is available to them electronically via Epic MyChart instead of being posted to them: appointment letters /past appointment details; current health problems/conditions; clinic letters/clinical correspondence; vital signs (weight, height, blood pressure, temperature, pulse, respiratory rate); test results; medications; known allergies.

- Access 24x7 - Patients can access their information in MyChart anytime and anywhere.
- Effective Appointments - CUH patients can also complete pre-appointment questionnaires electronically within MyChart, with the results then being discussed during their next clinic appointment. This makes appointments much more effective as our patients and clinicians spend more time discussing care and treatment plans together.
- Reduce Patient Visits - Empowering CUH patients to contribute to their health record, MyChart encourages our patients to contribute to their health information without having to make unnecessary visits to CUH hospitals.
- As of December 2019 23,000+ patients were using CUH MyChart.

For the detailed Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Case Study see Appendix 5 here.
HealthPathways is an evidence-based clinical pathway designed to improve GP confidence in managing complex conditions, improve referral appropriateness, and reduce unnecessary care. It was originally developed in 2008 by the Canterbury Initiative (New Zealand) and now has 40 deployments in New Zealand, Australia and the UK. Over 600 clinical pathways have been developed collaboratively by general practitioners, specialists, nurses, and allied health professionals across all sectors and are then tailored to the local context. HealthPathways uses SNOMED CT concepts, synonyms and hierarchies.

HealthPathways is widely used in Australia due to the popularity among general practitioners and its ease of use. The Mackay (Queensland) HealthPathways went live in June 2015, a joint implementation by the Northern Queensland Primary Health Network and the Mackay Hospital and Health Service.

Following the deployment of SNOMED CT–embedded HealthPathways there had been reductions in diabetes and cardiology referrals from both primary care and specialist referral sources, and the percentage of appropriate referrals for diabetes had increased significantly.

There was early evidence in Mackay of reduced demand for specialist services. The short-term impact was the reduction in waiting lists by up to 67% for fully implemented pathways such as Diabetes. The researchers predict that if the Diabetes gold-standard implementation was replicated across other disease groups an average annual systemic cost saving of approximately $110,500 per pathway is possible. Further, it was estimated that a gold-standard implementation is required for just 4 Pathways before the program is cost-saving, and 6 gold-standard HealthPathways implementations will pay off the initial investment within a year.

For the detailed Northern Queensland PHN and MacKay Hospital and Health Service Case Study see Appendix 5 [here](#).
The University of Nebraska Medical Center (UNMC) is one of four campuses of the University of Nebraska and is located in Omaha, Nebraska. UNMC has over 4,200 students in a variety of healthcare disciplines (e.g. medicine, nursing, pharmacy, dentistry, public health and allied health).

UNMC has a clinical partnership with Nebraska Medicine which covers metro Omaha and region providing access to more than 1,000 doctors and nearly 40 specialty and primary care health centers. Two hospitals, Nebraska Medical Center and Bellevue Medical Center have more than 800 licensed beds.

Nebraska Medicine implemented the Epic clinical information system (called One Chart), including a patient portal in 2013. The data from Epic and other sources (e.g. Biobank, Cancer Registry) are extracted and loaded into the i2b2 data warehouse and analytics platform at UNMC and then made available for clinical and translational research.

The challenge with i2b2 is that it very difficult to render poly-hierarchical terminologies such as SNOMED CT in the platform. UNMC is collaborating with the Veterans Health Administration and their SOLOR initiative to integrate the "Big Three" terminologies in the U.S. (i.e. SNOMED CT, LOINC and RxNorm) into a common ontology for use in the i2b2 platform.

UNMC has also created SNOMED CT terminology extensions (i.e. the Nebraska Lexicon) for: genomics data sets supporting care; detailed coding of Cancer Synoptic data, thereby expanding the UNMC cancer registry; expanded SNOMED CT coverage of the organisms hierarchy that is integrated with laboratory coding for microbiology - this feature supports 13 healthcare centers across Nebraska with decision support capabilities for antimicrobial stewardship; and extended analytics capabilities of SNOMED CT observables for laboratory medicine - this feature supports advanced querying of the laboratory database for research and quality improvement.

UNMC and its i2b2 platform supports three streams of research:
1. National PCORnet sponsored research – UNMC provides query response and datasets for approximately 100-125 research projects annually.
2. National COVID Cohort Collaborative - UNMC sends data extracts for national COVID-19 research to a central research repository about 25-30 times a year, since June 2020.
3. Nebraska Medicine – UNMC supports approximately active 25-35 investigator-initiated research projects annually.
OHDSI is an international network of researchers and observational health databases. OHDSI develops health care evidence through methodological research, open-source analytics development, and clinical evidence generation. OHDSI provides access to over 100 different databases, with half a billion patient records from 19 different countries.

Examples of OHDSI search using the SNOMED CT-embedded OMOP CDM include:

• **OHDSI Hydroxychloroquine Safety Study Completed in Four Days** – In March 2020 a team of researchers from around the world analyzed the safety profile of hydroxychloroquine to treat COVID-19. The team used data from 14 datasets to analyze the medical history of over 950,000 patients from 6 countries who had previously taken hydroxychloroquine. They found the medication to be safe for short-term use in doses used for other diseases. But, when prescribed in combination with azithromycin, it may induce heart failure and cardiovascular mortality and they urged caution in using the two together.

• **OHDSI Hypertension Study** - A 2019 OHDSI study compared chlorthalidone and hydrochlorothiazide for treating hypertension using 3 large observational databases of patients from the United States. The findings contrast with current treatment guidelines recommending chlorthalidone over hydrochlorothiazide. The researchers found that patients taking chlorthalidone had nearly three times the risk of developing dangerously low levels of potassium and a greater risk of other electrolyte imbalances and kidney problems compared with those taking hydrochlorothiazide.

OHDSI research studies using SNOMED CT on knee replacements and cervical cancer risks further described in Appendix 5 [here](#).
As a rapid response to the COVID-19 outbreak in China a public health surveillance system was developed and deployed within 72 hours in Honghu, Hubei province, a city of over 900,000 people, and 145 kilometers (90 miles) from Wuhan. This system collected daily, structured electronic medical record data from nine hospitals; real time information about symptoms and personal contact history from the WeChat social media platform; and daily reported case diagnosis information from labs and a public health information system. The high coverage (over 95% of residents) and daily active reports demonstrated the feasibility of intense monitoring during the COVID-19 epidemic.

The data feeds were loaded into a health data platform with a common data model that was built for the storage, management, and analysis of the integrated COVID-19 data. Vocabulary control in the data platform was achieved by using SNOMED CT Chinese synonyms for symptoms and the disease, and LOINC for tests.

The data was used by policy makers to strengthen the checkpoints on the full chain of COVID-19 control, including “early test, early report, early isolation, early support and early treatment” during the outbreak.

In addition, using the medical record data an in-hospital mortality prediction model was created for patients with COVID-19 to improve the clinical care, decrease death risk, and prioritize limited medical resources. About 10% of patients were classified as high-risk. They were either relocated to the single hospital in the area that had an intensive care unit or screened with important biochemical markers more frequently.

The WeChat social media platform was also used to register discharged patients and have them report their symptoms daily in the 2 months after discharge. 100% coverage was achieved within 3 days.

Further details on the Honghu Public Health Surveillance System Case Study are included in Appendix 5 here.
AI has been used in healthcare for decades. However, the increasing capture of data electronically in clinical information systems, the increase in personal data captured through devices, sensors, imaging or genomics and the increase in computing power available – either through cloud-based computing platforms or on the phones in our pockets – is enabling a new generation of applications of AI throughout the healthcare system. AI in healthcare is now a growth market.

Using the Australian experience, SNOMED CT is positioned to support AI/ML in three of the domains:

- Predictive Analytics and Data-Driven Intelligence: Example, using SNOMED CT to help stratify the patient risk for re-hospitalization, thereby providing improved detection and management of patients at risk of readmission.
- Knowledge Representation and Reasoning: Examples, developing AI tools to support SNOMED CT terminology deployment (e.g. Snorocket reasoner); as well as using SNOMED CT for advanced analytics of genomic phenotype data using Pathling.
- Human Language Understanding: Examples, using NLP and SNOMED CT to enhance data quality in cancer registries; using NLP, SNOMED CT and AI solutions to check radiology reports for missed fractures; using NLP and SNOMED CT to review antibiotic prescriptions in discharge summaries and microbiology test results for antimicrobial resistance.

Looking forward, the full power of SNOMED CT comes from using its semantic network, which is perfectly positioned to support Symbolic AI opportunities in healthcare.

Further details on the AEHRC and CSIRO Case Study are included in Appendix 5 here.
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Glossary of Terms

Terms Used

• **Aggregation Terminology**: are systems of non-overlapping classes in single hierarchies, enhanced by classification rules, as commonly used for data aggregation and ordering. Aggregation terminologies are also known as classifications, (e.g. the WHO classifications ICPC, ICD, ICF, ATC and ICHI). Aggregation terminologies are typically used for epidemiological research, health statistics and reimbursement purposes.

• **Algorithm**: set of rules and instructions that an agent (e.g. computer, robot...) follows to solve a problem.

• **Applied Research**: For health care, applied research is the scientific study that seeks to answer specific clinical questions or solve practice-related problems, often in response to questions raised by policy makers (e.g. how do we reduce falls among the elderly so that emergency visits, repeat emergency visits and hospitalizations are also reduced?)

• **Better Health**: The patient/citizen perspective on a health outcome. Patients/Citizens would not use the term ‘health outcome’, but rather would state that they ‘are well’ or ‘are feeling better’ (i.e. they have better health).

• **Care Providers**: the physicians, nurses, pharmacists, therapists, dentists and other health care professionals that provide care to a patient, so that they can prevent or treat an illness or disability.

• **Citizen**: an inhabitant (resident) from a place, such as a town, city, province, state, nation, region.
Glossary of Terms

Terms Used

• Clinical Information System: a computer system(s) used by care providers for collecting, storing, processing and sharing patient clinical information. In this report, the term ‘clinical information system’ is used interchangeably with longitudinal health record, electronic health record (EHR), and electronic medical record (EMR).

• Clinical Research: the analysis of data and information to determine safety and effectiveness (efficacy) of medications, devices, diagnostic products and treatment regimens intended for human use.

• Clinical Terminology: a structured vocabulary used in clinical practice to support care providers with accessible and complete information regarding a patient’s medical history, illnesses, treatments, laboratory results, and similar facts.

• Collaboration Partners: the professional (e.g. American Medical Association) and standards (e.g. Regenstrief Institute, HL7) organizations that work with SNOMED international to expand and extend the SNOMED CT product.

• Core Reference Terminology: is a large reference terminology that plays a pivotal role within a terminology ecosystem, in terms of conceptual coverage and linkage with other terminologies (e.g. SNOMED CT). The term “core” is used to indicate the primordial role of this terminology in the ecosystem. A Core Reference Terminology provides extensive coverage across multiple domains however, it is not expected to cover the totality of concepts.
Glossary of Terms

Terms Used

• **CPOE: Computerized Provider Order Entry** is where Care Providers’ electronically enter and send orders (e.g. lab test order, a prescription, radiology test order) from a computer or mobile device to a laboratory, pharmacy or diagnostic centre for processing. The order is captured in a digital, structured, and computable format.

• **Electronic Health Record**: An electronic health record (EHR) is a digital version of a paper-based medical record for a patient/citizen. The EMR represents a medical record from multiple facilities, such as a doctor’s offices, ambulatory clinics, and hospitals. Also see clinical information system (note: the use of the terms EHR and EMR is not standardized globally and they are often used interchangeably).

• **Electronic Medical Record**: An electronic medical record (EMR) is a digital version of a paper-based medical record for a patient/citizen. The EMR represents a medical record within a single facility, such as a doctor’s office, an ambulatory clinic, or a hospital. Also see clinical information system (note: the use of the terms EHR and EMR is not standardized globally and they are often used interchangeably).

• **Health Data & Analytics Platform**: software and services that leverages data extracted from clinical information systems for point-of-care analytics, population analytics, management analytics and research.

• **Health System Value**: as defined by Michael Porter is “patient health outcomes achieved per dollar spent”.
Glossary of Terms

Terms Used

• **Longitudinal Health Record**: An longitudinal health record is an electronic health record for a patient/citizen, that includes all clinical information recorded over time. Also see clinical information system.

• **ICD**: the International Classification of Diseases, a terminology from the World Health Organization for coding diseases, signs, symptoms, abnormal findings, complaints, social circumstances, and external causes of injury or diseases.

• **Interoperability Solutions**: computer systems or software that can exchange and make use of health data/information through syntactic and semantic interoperability.

• **LOINC**: Logical Observation Identifiers Names and Codes, a terminology from the Regenstrief Institute for identifying health measurements, observations, and documents to facilitate the exchange and aggregation of clinical results.

• **Implementers**: the health care professionals (e.g. CMIO, health informaticians, care providers) that work in health care organizations or care settings (e.g. a hospital or a primary care practice) to implement SNOMED CT, typically as part of a vendor’s clinical information systems or health data & analytics platform deployment.

• **Management Analytics**: conducting trend analysis (e.g. hospital length of stay, surgery wait-times, number of citizens without a GP), and health system value analysis (e.g. patient health outcomes and the cost to achieve those outcomes)
Glossary of Terms

Terms Used

• **Members**: National members are typically a government agency (e.g. Ministry of Health) or another national organization (e.g. Canada Health Infoway) that hold a national license for SNOMED CT and support the adoption and use of the clinical terminology in their respective countries. Members also have a governance role in SNOMED International.

• **Patients**: citizens who receive health care services from care providers in order to achieve better health.

• **Patient Outcomes**: patient outcomes includes both **patient service outcomes** (e.g. improvements in access and productivity) and **patient health outcomes** (e.g. reduction in adverse events, morbidity and mortality). *Note: the mauve and yellow colour designations for patient service outcomes and patient health outcomes respectively, are used throughout the report.*

• **Patient Health Outcomes**: the change in a patient’s health status as a result of a health care intervention or set of interventions. Patient health outcomes can be determined at an individual or population level of analysis.

• **Patient Service Outcomes**: the changes in access to care (e.g. availability of services) and the productivity of the health care system (e.g. efficiency gains from moving from paper to digital records) that are of benefit to patients.

• **Patient Journey**: the sequence of health care events (i.e. the pathway) which a patient follows from the point of entry into the health care system (i.e. typically a primary care physician) triggered by a health condition or illness until the patient is treated and discharged from care to his or her home, a care home, a hospice or in some cases due to death.
Glossary of Terms

Terms Used

• **Personal Health Record**: a patient/citizen’s digital health record that may be either under the control of the patient/citizen, or the custodianship of the health care system.

• **Point-of-Care (Clinical) Analytics**: The analysis and creation of historical summaries, point-of-care reporting (e.g. reminders, identification of high-risk patients, reporting clinical data to disease registries) and clinical decision support (e.g. presenting evidence-based clinical guidelines and care pathways, patient safety alerting, as well as diagnostic support tools and automated order sets).

• **Policy Makers**: the individuals (e.g. elected officials, senior management) responsible for developing and setting the course of action for a government or a health organization.

• **Population Analytics**: conducting trend analysis (e.g. change in the incidence or prevalence of health outcomes, disease, treatment or procedure over time), pharmacovigilance (e.g. monitoring, detection, and prevention of adverse effects from drugs), and clinical audit (e.g. systematic review of care against defined standards) activities.

• **PREMS**: patient reported experience measures. PREMs are typically questionnaires that gather information on patients’ views of their experience whilst receiving care.
Glossary of Terms

Terms Used

- **PROMS**: patient reported outcome measures. PROMs are standardized questionnaires that are completed by patients' to ascertain perceptions of their health status, perceived level of impairment, disability, and health-related quality of life.

- **Reference Terminology**: describe the meaning of terms in a domain, together with the properties of the objects that these terms denote, in a neutral sense (i.e. uncommitted to any specific purpose). Representational units of reference terminologies are commonly called “concepts”. If underpinned by a formal foundation, Reference Terminologies (e.g. SNOMED CT) coincide with what is called formal ontologies.

- **Researchers and Knowledge Producers**: the individuals who take relevant data from clinical information systems and other sources to create value-added information, evidence and knowledge for point-of-care analytics, population analytics, management analytics and research to support the decision making of policy makers, care providers, patients and others. Researchers also focus on getting their research findings published in peer-reviewed journals (e.g. The Lancet, New England Journal of Medicine).

- **RxNorm**: RxNorm, which is produced by the National Library of Medicine, is a normalized naming system for generic and branded drugs; and a tool for supporting semantic interoperation between drug terminologies (e.g. SNOMED CT) and pharmacy knowledge base systems (e.g. First DataBank, Multum, Micromedex).
Glossary of Terms

Terms Used

- **UMLS**: the Unified Medical Language System consists of a meta-thesaurus and semantic network that integrates and distributes 150+ terminology (e.g. SNOMED CT, RxNorm, LOINC), classification and coding standards (e.g. ICD-10), to create effective and interoperable biomedical information systems and services, including electronic health records.

- **User Interface Terminology**: are collections of terms that are used in written and oral communication within a group of users, for example in a data entry form in a clinical information system or in clinical documents.

- **Value Proposition**: the innovation, features, and services intended to make a company (e.g. SNOMED International) or a product (e.g. SNOMED CT) attractive to its customers. For this project we are developing the segmented value propositions for the product, SNOMED CT.

- **Vendors**: the corporations that sell SNOMED CT-embedded, clinical information systems, health data and analytics platforms and/or supporting products.
Benefits Model
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Purpose

• The objective of the benefits model is to support the realization of SNOMED International’s vision that “By 2025 clinical terminologies will be used globally, which will result in better health and improved patient outcomes, supported by one language of health”.

• The benefits model will produce potential benefits estimates for a current SNOMED CT license holder or a prospective license holder. The model will be:
  • **Limited** to clinical information systems\(^1\) and interoperability solutions\(^2\) but will not include health data & analytics platforms.
  • **Evidence based**, using over 50 studies (see Appendix 4 here) that demonstrate both qualitative and quantitative patient service outcome and patient health outcome benefits from using SNOMED CT within a specific healthcare entity (e.g. healthcare network, healthcare organization) or at a national level.
  • **Generalizable**, so that it provides directional estimates of select benefits supported by SNOMED CT.
  • **Flexible**, and will generate potential benefit estimates for a given country or a sub-national entity, and
  • **Structured**, so some benefits will be measured in financial terms (e.g. dollars/euros saved) and other benefits will be measured in non-financial terms (e.g. deaths avoided).

---

1. Consistent with the definitions in the “Glossary of Terms” section of this report the term Clinical Information Systems includes Longitudinal Health Records, Electronic Medical Records (EMR), Electronic Health Records (EHR) and Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE).
2. The benefits model is based on the RAND study and assumes a fully deployment of clinical information systems and interoperability solutions in the United States.
Benefits Model

Data on the Impacts of Interoperable, Clinical Information Systems

• **Data Required for Developing a Benefits Model**
  - In order to develop an analytical benefits model, quantitative data linking SNOMED CT to patient service outcome and/or patient health outcome benefits is required.

• **Limitations in Data Quantifying the Benefits of SNOMED CT**
  - There are several studies available that describe the benefits of SNOMED CT in qualitative or descriptive terms.
  - However, rigorous studies that quantified SNOMED CT’s direct contribution to patient outcomes were not available.

• **Data Availability**
  - In contrast, several studies were identified that attempt to estimate both the patient service outcome and patient health outcome benefits from clinical information system and interoperability solution adoption for either a healthcare entity or a country.
  - Most studies that report quantified benefits linked to clinical information systems and interoperability solutions are not comprehensive. They most often focus on benefits that would accrue within specific care settings. (e.g. oncology, primary care, radiology, etc.).
  - However, there are a few reports that provide a (near) robust, quantification of the benefits of clinical information system and interoperability solution adoption.

---

3. Rigor requires that the data is derived from healthcare analysis or is based on transparent and validated assumptions from healthcare delivery organizations.
Benefits Model

The Modelling Approach

• The modelling approach uses quantifiable patient outcome benefits through the adoption of clinical information systems and interoperability solutions; benefits that SNOMED CT contributes to but is not exclusively responsible for producing.

• The rationale for using clinical information system and interoperability solution benefits is:
  • The research did not identify any studies that quantified the patient outcomes benefits directly caused by, or explicitly linked to SNOMED CT. In contrast, quantitative data is available on the broad benefits of clinical information systems and interoperability solutions (e.g. RAND Corporation).
  • Clinical information system and interoperability solution benefits can be used to assess the SNOMED CT benefits since:
    a) SNOMED CT (and/or other clinical terminologies) must be embedded in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions for these systems to be effective⁴,
    a) Clinical information systems and interoperability solutions provide significant quantifiable patient outcome benefits.
  • SNOMED CT is embedded in approximately 72%⁵ of the clinical information systems deployments globally
  • SNOMED CT is an important enabler to achieving a broad set of benefits that lead health organizations to invest heavily in clinical information systems and interoperability solutions.

---

⁴. SNOMED CT plays an essential role in healthcare ‘transactions’: facilitating the capture, use and sharing of clinical information to providers at the point of care. SNOMED CT also enables effective clinical information management to support analytics and research.

Benefits Model

Using the Most Comprehensive Data Sources

• For the SNOMED CT benefits model, “Can Electronic Medical Record Systems Transform Health Care? Potential Health Benefits, Savings, and Costs” from the RAND Corporation has been leveraged as the anchor study.
  
  • The RAND model and data are in the public domain, including a detailed data and modelling methodology available for general analysis and use.
  
  • RAND provides a relatively comprehensive and robust estimation of potential patient service outcomes in the form of productivity gains from clinical information systems and interoperability solutions. RAND also provides patient health outcomes impacts, in the form of lower morbidity and mortality for select diseases and from improved patient safety.
  
  • The RAND analysis is limited to the United States for an country-wide clinical information system and interoperability solution adoption based on the 2005 U.S health care spend of $2.024 trillion (i.e. 16% of the 2005 GDP).
  
  • The data assembled by RAND and the modelling framework has been adapted so that our model can provide directional or indicative benefit estimates for certain types of benefit streams at a national or sub-national health entity, and for any level of clinical information system and interoperability solution adoption.

---


7. The majority of evidence was collected from the peer-reviewed literature. The primary search of the peer-reviewed literature was limited to articles published in the years 1995 through 2004. In total, 1,418 articles were screened using the short form, and 202 articles were coded according to taxonomies, yielding 581 preliminary findings, of which 42 were ultimately included in the models.
Benefits Model

Limitations of the RAND Study

• The RAND study has certain limitations that were considered and addressed:

  1. The study was not intended to be an estimate of savings measured against the total rates of adoption, but rather against the level of adoption relative to a 2004 baseline.

  2. It measures the potential impact of widespread adoption of health IT assuming the occurrence of “appropriate changes in health care” rather than the likely impact. This limitation deliberately does not consider present-day payment incentives that would constrain the effective utilization of health IT, even if the technology was widely adopted.

  3. In several specific parts of the RAND analysis, the savings that would accrue from the widespread adoption of health IT appear to be overstated.

• Each of RAND’s model framework/methodology was modified and enhanced.

• The clinical information system benefits estimation study developed by McKinsey and Company was leveraged to pressure test the reasonability of the patient outcomes benefits generated by our adapted, enhanced and refreshed Model 1.

---

8. The U.S. Congressional Budget Office indicated that the RAND analysis was based on empirical studies from the literature that found positive effects for the implementation of health IT systems; it excluded the studies, even those published in peer reviewed journals, that failed to find favorable results. This biases the estimate of the actual impact of health IT on spending.

9. The majority of evidence was collected from the peer-reviewed literature. The primary search of the peer-reviewed literature was limited to articles published in the years 1995 through 2004. In total, 1,418 articles were screened using the short form, and 202 articles were coded according to taxonomies, yielding 581 preliminary findings, of which 42 were ultimately included in the models.
The red-shaded domains represent the RAND-modelled healthcare ‘transaction’ capabilities enabled by clinical information systems and interoperability solutions, used in in-patient and out-patient care settings.

The RAND Model consists of three sub-models:

1. **Productivity Gains** - the patient service outcome benefits from efficiency gains associated with the adoption of clinical information systems in both inpatient and outpatient settings.

2. **Disease Prevention Benefits** - the patient service outcome and patient health outcome benefits from disease prevention improvements resulting from select vaccination and screening protocols.

3. **Quality of Care Benefits** - select patient service outcome and patient health outcome benefits from the decision support capabilities in a clinical information system that improves patient safety.
The Structure of the SNOMED CT Benefits Model

- The three SNOMED CT benefits sub-models (i.e. productivity gains, disease prevention benefits and quality of care benefits) are each structured as follows:

1. **The Model Purpose** – Identification of the type of patient service and health benefits estimated by the model.

2. **The Model Inputs** – Country or health entity specific information required to generate the above-mentioned benefits.

3. **The Model Calculation Engine** – Outline of the approach, data manipulation, and assumptions made to estimate the benefits.

4. **The Model Outputs** – Summary of financial savings, beneficial patient service and health outcomes, and corresponding GNI benefits to a country’s economy.

- Further information about the calculation methodology, assumptions and approaches used in the Benefits Model is detailed in a separate 46 page Technical Document.
Model 1: Select Productivity Benefits Enabled by SNOMED CT

1. Model 1 Purpose:
   - The model enables a user to estimate the potential multi-year total patient service outcomes benefits associated with deploying a SNOMED CT-embedded, integrated, clinical information system.
   - SNOMED CT is an important enabler of the integrated, clinical information system benefits.

2. Model 1 Inputs:
   - A User inputs the subject country’s or health entity’s current annual healthcare system spend in the local currency, plus the expected level of clinical information system adoption over time (5, 10 and 15 years).
Benefits Model

Model 1: Select Productivity Benefits Enabled by SNOMED CT

3. Model 1 Calculation Engine:

- Leverages RAND data and a modified analytical framework to create a multi-year clinical information system adoption curve and the estimated potential patient service outcome benefits that could accrue to a country or a health entity over time.

- Converts the RAND estimated patient service outcome benefits from subject activities into a series of independent factors eliminating the need for a user to normalize for currency, GDP, population, per capita income, or other unique national characteristics.
Model 1: Select Productivity Benefits Enabled by SNOMED CT

4. Model 1 Outputs:

- Provides a range of both the estimated potential annual mean and potential cumulative patient service outcome benefits in the country’s local currency.

- The distribution of benefits are estimated for the reduction in administrative and other health system costs for both Inpatient (i.e. nurse shortage, lab tests, drug utilization, length of stay and medical records savings) and Outpatient services (i.e. transcription, chart pulls, lab and radiology tests, and drug utilization savings).

- Results are expected to be generalizable (e.g. across nations).

- Results should be considered directional/indicative of potential benefits.
Model 1: Addressing Key Limitations of the RAND Study

• The RAND study has certain limitations that we have addressed in our modelling approach to enhance the reliability of the benefits estimates generated by our models. Key limitations and their proposed solutions are as follows:

• **Limitation 1** – The RAND model was not intended to be an estimate of benefits measured against the total rates of adoption, but rather against the level of adoption relative to a 2004 baseline.

• **Solution 1** – Our Model 1 has been modified to provide the total gross annual benefits of the adoption rate at 5-, 10- and 15-years.

• **Limitation 2** – The RAND model was based solely on empirical studies from the literature that found positive effects for the implementation of clinical information systems and interoperability solutions; it excluded studies, even those published in peer reviewed journals, that failed to find favorable results.

• **Solution 2** – We have addressed this bias by:
  • Introducing estimates/data from other studies (ignored or unavailable to RAND) that show lower, zero or negative benefits, and by allowing the possibility that our estimates will have zero and negative benefits.
  • Leveraging Monte Carlo simulations to generate a range of patient benefits outcomes (from the 1st to 67th percentile) retaining low probability downside scenarios and discarding the top third of the upside scenarios.
Model 1: Validate the Reasonability of the Benefits Estimates

- We used the clinical information system benefit estimation study developed by McKinsey and Company for Canada Health Infoway, in 2015, to test the outputs of our Model 1.

- The RAND study defines clinical information systems as:
  1. Electronic Medical Record (EMR) including current and historical patient information.
  2. Central Data Repository (CDR), which stores the EMR information.
  3. Information technology–enabled functions such as Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE), which facilitates orders tied to patient information and treatment pathways.

- The McKinsey study defines clinical information systems as:
  1. EMR, EHR, inpatient CPOE (i.e. hCPOE), and
  2. Digital health solutions (e.g. e-visits, e-booking, e-views, e-referral, e-ICU, RFID, bar-coding etc.).
1. Solutions were defined based predominantly on literature review.

2. Specific modes of action (a function of the technology, or the mechanism of how it impacts the provision of healthcare: e.g. CPOE helps to reduce test spend) were attributed to each solution based on literature review.

3. Modes of action were mapped to health care settings based on literature review and available quantifiable and reliable evidence.

4. Three different care settings were defined for the model: Hospital and Mental Healthcare; Primary Care & Community and Social Care.

5. An evidence scan was completed for each solution through a literature review and research process.

6. For every benefit, a baseline reference of the Canadian healthcare costs was used to determine spending.

7. The benefit ranges were applied to the Canadian healthcare costs to determine total gross annual benefits for all technologies.

---

### Savings from Computerized Information Systems for Canada’s National Healthcare System at Target Adoption & Maturity Levels per McKinsey Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Empowered Patients</th>
<th>Annual Gross Savings Range ($B)</th>
<th>Target Adoption &amp; Maturity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e-Visits</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-Booking</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-Views</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote patient care</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual video calls</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic disease management</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seamless Services</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e-Referral</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMR</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-Prescribing</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPPOE</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eICU</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teleophthalmology</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telepathology</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Informed Care</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient Flow Management</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparency of clinician performance</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic Prosperity</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bar-coding</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine Inventory Management</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Total**          | 7.64                           | 11.16                      |

---

1. Based on 2015 Canadian National Healthcare Expenditure of $214B
## Benefits Model

**Model 1: Mapping Between RAND and McKinsey**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAND Categories</th>
<th>Annual Gross Savings Range ($B)</th>
<th>McKinsey Categories</th>
<th>Annual Gross Savings Range ($B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient - Transcription</td>
<td>0.10 - 0.21 - 0.26</td>
<td>EMR and EHR</td>
<td>1.00 - 1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient - Chart pulls</td>
<td>0.09 - 0.21 - 0.26</td>
<td>EMR and EHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient - Laboratory tests</td>
<td>0.09 - 0.25 - 0.34</td>
<td>EMR and EHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient - Drug utilization</td>
<td>0.73 - 1.57 - 1.95</td>
<td>e-Prescribing</td>
<td>0.61 - 1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient - Radiology</td>
<td>0.15 - 0.52 - 0.76</td>
<td>EHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outpatient - Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.16 - 2.76 - 3.57</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient - Nurse time</td>
<td>(1.05) - 0.84 - 1.75</td>
<td>EMR and EHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient - Laboratory tests</td>
<td>0.19 - 0.63 - 0.93</td>
<td>EMR and EHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient - Drug utilization</td>
<td>0.26 - 0.49 - 0.57</td>
<td>hCPOE, vaccine inventory management, bar-coding</td>
<td>1.18 - 1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient - Reduction in Length of Stay</td>
<td>0.49 - 4.73 - 7.25</td>
<td>Chronic disease management, patient flow management, transparency of clinician performance</td>
<td>1.43 - 2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient - Medical records</td>
<td>0.16 - 0.42 - 0.56</td>
<td>EMR and EHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inpatient - Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.05 - 7.11 - 11.06</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.21 - 9.87 - 14.63</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>4.22 - 6.92</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Inpatient</strong></td>
<td>1.82 - 2.76 - 3.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Outpatient</strong></td>
<td>2.42 - 7.10 - 9.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td>5.05 - 9.87 - 12.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Benefits excluded in mapping $3.5 - 4.2B.
- Functionalities and corresponding benefits that do not map from the McKinsey to the RAND study are:
  - e-visits ($1.26 – 1.44B),
  - e-booking ($0.10B),
  - e-views ($0.40B),
  - remote patient care ($0.90 -1.18B),
  - e-referral ($0.35 - 0.36B),
  - e-ICU ($0.26 – 0.45B)
  - teleophthalmology ($0.01B),
  - telepathology ($0.04B),
  - RFID ($0.13-0.26B).

---

2. Saving ranges generated from Model 1 (Productivity Gains) based on Canadian National Healthcare Expenditure of $214B in 2015 at a targeted adoption of 100%.
3. Saving ranges based on Canadian National Healthcare Expenditure of $214B in 2015 at a targeted adoption rate of 100% for EHR, EMR, Inpatient CPOE.
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Model 1: Mapping the Benefits to the McKinsey Study

- The RAND study had minimal line of sight into the new generation of digital health solutions (e.g. e-visits, e-booking, e-views, e-referral, e-ICU, RFID, bar-coding etc.) in 2005.

- The savings from these capabilities were not incorporated into the RAND study and are therefore not captured in our Model 1.

- Given that the McKinsey study took place in 2015, it was able to estimate savings from the new generation of digital health solutions (e.g. e-visits, e-booking, e-views, e-referral, e-ICU, RFID, bar-coding etc.).
When Model 1 is run with the same parameters as the McKinsey model (i.e. 2015 Canadian national healthcare expenditure of $214B) the results are generally consistent.

The greater dispersion of Model 1 is by design to allow for a broader range of outcomes across nations and healthcare entities.

4. The McKinsey truncated benefits remove the benefits from the digital solutions (e.g. e-visits, e-booking, e-views, e-referral, e-ICU, RFID, bar-coding etc.).
Model 1: Benefit Estimates with ACI$^5$

- Each model run generates 10k simulations of the benefits for annual inpatient, outpatient and total savings at 100% adoption.
- An asymmetric confidence interval of the 1$^{st}$ to 67$^{th}$ percentiles was selected from the Monte Carlo simulations in order to retain low probability downside scenarios and discard the top third of the lower probability upside scenarios.

5. ACI = Asymmetric Confidence Interval - An asymmetric confidence interval is one where the point estimate isn’t the center of the confidence interval. For example, the point estimate may be 0.2 but the confidence interval is (0,0.8) because it happens to be that the values can not be less than zero. There are a few reasons a confidence interval could be asymmetric: Random error is included; the data has been transformed; positive or negative systemic bias incorporated.
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Model 2: Select Disease Prevention Benefits Enabled by SNOMED CT

1. Model 2 Purpose:

   • The model enables a user to estimate the potential patient service outcomes and patient health outcome benefits from five disease prevention opportunities associated with deploying an integrated clinical information system, specifically vaccination and screening protocols.

2. Model 2 Inputs:

   • The User inputs the non-compliant subject population across five preventive health services protocols, including:
     1. influenza vaccination,
     2. pneumococcal vaccination,
     3. screening for breast cancer,
     4. screening for cervical cancer,
     5. screening for colorectal cancer.

Note: the RAND study also included benefit estimates for a number of chronic diseases (e.g. diabetes, COPD and Congestive Heart Failure), however their calculation method is not easily generalizable across multiple countries, so was not included in this study.
3. **Model 2 Calculation Engine:**

- The model estimates the potential patient outcome benefits of moving from non-compliance to compliance for the target population (e.g. in the case of the influenza vaccination the non-compliant population age 65+).
- The potential **patient service outcome** and **patient health outcome** benefits of increased compliance are calculated based on the RAND methodology.
- Increased use of clinical information systems and interoperability solutions is modelled as the driver for increased compliance with the disease prevention protocols.

4. **Model 2 Outputs:**

- Provides potential **patient service outcome** benefits (i.e. reduction in workdays missed and reduction in bed days) and **patient health outcome** benefits (i.e. deaths avoided, and life-years gained) from improving compliance to the protocols across five diseases.
- Results are expected to be generalizable (e.g. across nations).
- Results should be considered directional/indicative of potential benefits.
Benefits Model

Model 2: Addressing Key Limitations\(^1\) of the RAND Study

- The RAND study has certain limitations that we have addressed in our modelling approach to enhance the reliability of the benefits estimates generated by our models. Key limitations and their proposed solutions are as follows:

- **Limitation 1** - The RAND study assumes 100% compliance by the affected groups to clinical information system supported reminders for influenza and pneumococcal vaccination as well as breast, cervical and colorectal cancer screening. This is not a sensible or realistic assumption as there are several reasons why patients would not take the vaccination or get screened despite automated electronic reminders (e.g. anti-vaxxers, travel cost from remote locations, apathy etc.)

- **Solution 1** – Our Model 2 assumes a 25.3% increase in the screening and vaccination compliance from the start rate, in response to clinical information system supported reminders. The model also includes a ceiling on the upper end of the vaccination and screening compliance at 95%. We arrived at a 25.3% growth rate by using a meta-analysis which averaged over 42 studies.

- **Limitation 2** – The RAND report calculates the efficacy of screening and vaccinations using data from 2005 and prior.

- **Solution 2** – We’ve refreshed these estimates with recent sources where possible and used the recent sources to generate statistical ranges at a 95% confidence interval. This refresh of data sources resulted in more conservative efficacy rates than the ones used by RAND.

---

\(^1\) For example, as indicated by the U.S. Congressional Budget Office, the RAND study estimates health IT benefits based on certain assumptions that in some cases are not practical or pragmatic.
Model 3: Select Patient Safety Benefits Enabled by SNOMED CT

1. Model 3 Purpose:
   - The model enables a user to estimate the potential patient service outcome and patient health outcome benefits from the deployment and adoption of integrated and Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE) functionality in both inpatient and outpatient settings.
   - Increased safety results largely from the alerts and reminders generated by CPOE systems for medications. Such systems provide immediate information to physicians (e.g. warning about a potential adverse reaction with the patient’s other drugs).

2. Model 3 Inputs:
   - The User inputs the total annual number of national or entity inpatient patient days, outpatient patient visits, the desired confidence interval, and the CPOE adoption levels.
3. Model 3 Calculation Engine:

- The model estimates the potential patient service outcome and patient health outcome benefits of deploying CPOE across a nation or an entity (e.g. hospital or health authority) thereby reducing the number of adverse drug events (ADEs) in both inpatient and outpatient settings.

- The inpatient component of the model takes the total number of inpatient hospital days for a nation or entity and then converts them into susceptible patient days.

- The outpatient component of the model takes the number of total annual outpatient visits and estimates the number of susceptible visits that present a risk of an ADE.

- The model then estimates the number of preventable ADEs with current and future CPOE adoption. The model uses the difference in preventable ADEs to estimate reduced bed days and deaths avoided.

- Monte Carlo simulations are used to generate range estimates of reduced ADEs, reduced bed days and deaths avoided in response to increased CPOE adoption.
4. Model 3 Outputs:

- Provides potential patient service outcome and patient health outcome benefits (i.e. deaths avoided, as well as reduced ADEs, reduced bed days).
- There could also be significant reduction in litigation and punitive damages as a result of the reduction in ADEs. However, we could not identify any studies that we could leverage to quantify the financial benefits.
- Results are expected to be generalizable (e.g. across nations).
- Results should be considered directional/indicative of potential benefits.
Model 3: Addressing Key Limitations of the RAND Study

- **Limitation 1** – The RAND report calculated the reduction in inpatient preventable ADEs, patient bed days, and deaths avoided based on data prior to 2005. Also, the RAND model provided only point estimates for these benefits.

- **Solution 1** – Model 3 leverages data from more recent studies to estimate inpatient preventable ADEs, reduction in inpatient preventable ADEs from the deployment of CPOE, patient bed days reduced, and deaths avoided. It also leverages Monte Carlo simulations to generate ranges of patient benefits outcomes at varying confidence level intervals.

- **Limitation 2** – In estimating the number of preventable ADEs, the RAND study first estimated the number of serious medical errors (SMEs) per 1000 susceptible patient days. It then assumes that half of the SMEs lead to preventable ADEs.

- **Solution 2** – Model 3 leverages recent data points for inpatient preventable ADEs per 1000 from our literature search. These recent data points are estimated directly by analyzing patient records. Therefore, the inpatient preventable ADEs data used by Model 3 is derived in a more direct way than the indirect approach used by RAND to calculate inpatient preventable ADEs.
Model 3: Addressing Key Limitations of the RAND Study

- **Limitation 3** – The RAND report implicitly uses 100% CPOE adoption. The report also uses point estimates for the fraction of ADEs that are preventable and the reduction in preventable ADEs with CPOE.

- **Solution 3** – The outpatient section of Model 3 uses client input parameters on CPOE adoption making the estimates more realistic. Also, the model uses data from more recent studies, a number of which were not available to RAND, to estimate outpatient preventable ADEs, reduction in outpatient preventable ADEs from the deployment of CPOE, patient bed days reduced, and deaths avoided. Lastly, the model uses Monte Carlo simulations to generate a range of potential benefits outcomes at varying confidence interval levels.
Benefits Model

Benefits to Costs Multiple (BCM)\(^1\) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR)\(^2\)

- Six studies provided sufficient granularity of both the benefits and costs of clinical information system implementations to conduct both Benefits to Costs Multiple and Internal Rate of Return analysis.

---

1. Benefits to Costs Multiple (BCM) BCM is a simple multiple of gross benefits to costs (total benefits/total costs, both OPEX and CAPEX) there is no discounting of benefits or costs to the present.
2. IRR is the internal rate of return on an investment or project. It is the "annualized effective compounded return rate" or rate of return that sets the net present value of all cash flows (both positive and negative) from the investment equal to zero. The term internal refers to the fact that the calculation excludes external factors, such as the risk-free rate, inflation, the cost of capital, or financial risk. The method may be applied either ex-post or ex-ante. Applied ex-ante, the IRR is an estimate of a future annual rate of return. Applied ex-post, it measures the actual achieved investment return of an historical investment.
Benefits Model

Approach to Calculating BCM and IRR Factors

• Limitations to the approach to calculating BCM and IRR estimates for each study
  • The studies leveraged for the BCM and IRR analysis were conducted at different periods and each study had a somewhat different methodology and analysis timeline to estimate the clinical information system benefits and costs. Therefore, some simplifying assumptions were made to ensure the cross comparability of BCM and IRR estimated factors generated.
  • Some studies provided benefit/cost estimates for multiple scenarios, while others provided information to calculate just the “Most Likely Scenario”.

• Simplifying assumptions made:
  1. The timeline of all studies was normalized to 20 years. Annual benefits, OPEX costs and CAPEX were assumed to be for a clinical information system and interoperability solution deployment. Study specific normalizations were made, as necessary.
  2. None (0%) of the annual benefits were recognized in year 1 as the implementation team would be focused on planning, and early-stage implementation activities in year 1. 33% were recognized in year 2, 66% in year 3 and 100% in year 4.
  3. 33% of OPEX (costs) were recognized in year 1 as there would be some OPEX incurred during the planning, and early-stage implementation phases. The OPEX was increased to 66% in year 2 and then to 100% by year 3.
  4. Total implementation CAPEX was spread evenly over years 1 to 3 at the rate of 33% per year.
Benefits Model

Approach to Calculating BCM and IRR Factors

Range Calculation Methodology

- A 1-67% confidence interval\(^1\) (CI) of the BCM and IRR factors was constructed.

1. A 1-67% confidence interval was selected to retain the low probability downside scenarios and discards the top third of upside scenarios. This asymmetric confidence interval helps filter out any upward bias that still may be in the model.
# Benefits Model

## BCM & IRR Estimates by Study for Clinical Information System Deployments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>BCM</th>
<th>IRR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. RAND</strong> - Extrapolating Evidence of HEALTH Information Technology Savings and Costs.</td>
<td>▪ Most Likely Scenario – 7.0</td>
<td>▪ Most Likely Scenario – 64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Booz Allen</strong> - Canada Health Infoway’s 10-Year Investment Strategy – Pan Canadian EHR.</td>
<td>▪ Low Scenario – 3.2</td>
<td>▪ Low Scenario – 35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ High Scenario – 4.1</td>
<td>▪ High Scenario – 47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. The Value Of Health Care Information Exchange And Interoperability.</strong></td>
<td>▪ Low Scenario – 1.7</td>
<td>▪ Low Scenario – 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Most Likely Scenario – 3.4</td>
<td>▪ Most Likely Scenario – 37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ High Scenario – 4.4</td>
<td>▪ High Scenario – 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. The Economic Benefits of Health Information Exchange Interoperability for Australia.</strong></td>
<td>▪ Low Scenario (1) – 1.1</td>
<td>▪ Low Scenario (1) – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Low scenario (2) – 1.3</td>
<td>▪ Low scenario (2) – 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Most Likely Scenario – 2.0</td>
<td>▪ Most Likely Scenario – 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ High Scenario (1) – 2.6</td>
<td>▪ High Scenario (1) – 29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ High Scenario (2) – 2.7</td>
<td>▪ High Scenario (2) – 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Sentara Healthcare eCare System Business Case.</strong></td>
<td>▪ Most Likely Scenario – 1.7</td>
<td>▪ Most Likely Scenario – 24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. McKinsey</strong> - Canada Health Infoway - Methodology to assess the economic impact and potential of digital health solutions on the Canadian healthcare system.</td>
<td>▪ Low Scenario – 4.9</td>
<td>▪ Low Scenario – 77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ High Scenario – 6.9</td>
<td>▪ High Scenario – 114%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Range at a 1-67% Confidence Interval (CI) for not normal distributed small sample**

| 1.4 – 3.5                                                            | 10% - 42%                                 |
Benefits Model

The breakeven analysis model is a tool designed to compute the percentage of cumulative productivity benefits that must be realized in order to break even on the license and implementation costs of a SNOMED CT.

The model user can estimate seven different cost estimates associated with a SNOMED CT license:
1. Membership costs
2. Core operations
3. Investment in tools
4. Reference set development
5. Extension costs
6. Language translation
7. Mapping legacy terminologies

Costs are estimated in local currency units.

In this example, we estimate the costs of implementing a SNOMED CT license in the US to be $87M USD, and our Model 1 cumulative benefits to be $875.8B USD giving us a breakeven percentage of 0.01%.

---

1. Cost categories are taken from the Gevity Study "Building the Business Case for SNOMED CT"
Benefits Model:
Studies Reviewed
# Benefits Model - Studies Reviewed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Link/Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health Benefits, Savings, And Costs</td>
<td>Melli, Richard Scoville, and Roger Taylor - RAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Canada Health Infoway’s 10-Year Investment Strategy – Pan Canadian</td>
<td>Booz Allen</td>
<td>No longer available online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Health Record (EHR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Of Veterans Affairs</td>
<td>Douglas S. Johnston, and Blackford Middleton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>potential of digital health solutions on the Canadian healthcare system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of Serious Medication Errors</td>
<td>E Burdick, M Hickey, S Kleefield, B Shea, M Vander Vliet, D L Seger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. A Cost-Benefit Analysis of Electronic Medical Records in Primary Care</td>
<td>Samuel J. Wang, MD, PhD, Blackford Middleton, MD, MPH, MSc, Lisa A.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.amjmed.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0002-9343%2803%2900057-3">https://www.amjmed.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0002-9343%2803%2900057-3</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prosser, PhD, Christiana G. Bardon, MD, Cynthia D. Spurr, RN, MBA,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patricia J. Carchidi, RN, MSN, Anne F. Kittler, Robert C. Goldszer, MD,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBA, David G. Fairchild, MD, MPH, Andrew J. Sussman, MD, MBA, Gilad J.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kuperman, MD, PhD, David W. Bates, MD, MSc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Benefits Model - Studies Reviewed con’t

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Link/Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11Benefiting from Ambulatory EHR Implementation: Solidarity, Six Sigma, and Willingness to Strive</td>
<td>Michael H. Zaroukian, MD, PhD, FACP; and Arlene Sierra, MPA</td>
<td><a href="https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/7a1a/c83ab493a90652e6c39321bbd28800084ad4.pdf">https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/7a1a/c83ab493a90652e6c39321bbd28800084ad4.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Reducing clinical costs with an EHR: investments in performance management are essential to realizing the full benefits of an EHR system--including reduced costs and improved quality of care</td>
<td>Doug Thompson, Ferdinand Velasco, David Classen and Robert J. Raddemann</td>
<td><a href="https://go.gale.com/ps/anonymous?id=GALE%7CA243277528&amp;sdt=googleScholar&amp;v=2.1&amp;it=r&amp;linkaccess=s=abs&amp;issn=07350732&amp;p=AONE&amp;sw=w">https://go.gale.com/ps/anonymous?id=GALE%7CA243277528&amp;sdt=googleScholar&amp;v=2.1&amp;it=r&amp;linkaccess=s=abs&amp;issn=07350732&amp;p=AONE&amp;sw=w</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Benefits and drawbacks of electronic health record systems</td>
<td>Nir Menachemi and Taleah H Collum</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3270933/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3270933/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPENDIX 3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Link/Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 From promise to reality: achieving the value of an EHR:</td>
<td>Beverly Bell and Kelly Thornton</td>
<td><a href="https://go.gale.com/ps/anonymous?id=GALE%7CA2520057222&amp;sid=googleScholar&amp;v=2.1&amp;it=r&amp;linkaccess=abs&amp;issn=07350732&amp;p=AONE&amp;sw=w">https://go.gale.com/ps/anonymous?id=GALE%7CA2520057222&amp;sid=googleScholar&amp;v=2.1&amp;it=r&amp;linkaccess=abs&amp;issn=07350732&amp;p=AONE&amp;sw=w</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>realizing the benefits of an EHR requires specific steps to establish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goals, involve physicians and other key stakeholders, improve processes,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and manage organizational change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Semantic interoperability, data collation (sharing)</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.researchgate.net/publication/51616286_Integrating_clinical_research_with_the_Healthcare_Engine_Enterprise_From_the_REUSE_project_to_the_EHR4CR_platform/link/00463532094a90f90000000/download">https://www.researchgate.net/publication/51616286_Integrating_clinical_research_with_the_Healthcare_Engine_Enterprise_From_the_REUSE_project_to_the_EHR4CR_platform/link/00463532094a90f90000000/download</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilan Husiascky, and Oren Blumenfeld</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Cost-Benefit Analysis of Electronic Medical Record System</td>
<td>Choi, Jong Soo, Woo Baik Lee, and Poong-Lyul Rhee</td>
<td>Cost-Benefit Analysis of Electronic Medical Record System at a Tertiary Care Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at a Tertiary Care Hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Benefits Deep Dive into Cerner Millennium Implementation</td>
<td>Author: Sarah Overton (Benefits Lead, HSCIC)</td>
<td><a href="https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/download/attachments/57808738/Barts_Health_Case_Study.pdf?api=v2">https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/download/attachments/57808738/Barts_Health_Case_Study.pdf?api=v2</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co Author: Patrick Brady (Programme Manager, Barts Health NHS Trust)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co Author: Alison Ellis (Benefits Analyst, HSCIC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) for preventive management</td>
<td>Filip Velickovski, Luigi Ceccaroni, Josep Roca, Felip Burgos, Juan B</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4255917/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4255917/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of COPD patients</td>
<td>Galdiz, Nuria Marina, and Magí Lluch-Ariet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED patients</td>
<td>Conan MacDougall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribing and Medicines Administration, after full implementation in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Title</td>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Link/Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Clinical Pathways and the Patient Perspective in the Pursuit of Value-Based Oncology Care</td>
<td>Peter G. Ellis, Bert H. O’Neil, Martin F. Earle, Stephanie McCutcheon, Hans Benson, Melinda Krebs, Kathy Lokay, and Amanda Barry</td>
<td><a href="https://ascopubs.org/doi/full/10.1200/EDBK174794">https://ascopubs.org/doi/full/10.1200/EDBK174794</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Clinical Data sharing, standardization of data</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=45525508&amp;preview=45525508/50597033/SNOMED_CT_Expo_Poster_201707_BCH.pdf">https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=45525508&amp;preview=45525508/50597033/SNOMED_CT_Expo_Poster_201707_BCH.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 A Vision for a Person-Centered Health Information System (PCHIS) - Discussion Document</td>
<td>Keith Horvath, Patricia Sengstack, Frank Opelka, Andrea Borondy Kitts, Peter Basch, David Hoyt, Alexander Ommaya, Pamela Cipriano, Kensaku Kawamoto, Harold L. Paz, J. Marc Overhage</td>
<td><a href="https://nam.edu/a-vision-for-a-person-centered-health-information-system/">https://nam.edu/a-vision-for-a-person-centered-health-information-system/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Title</td>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Link/Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOMED CT Generating Cardiology Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)</td>
<td>Md Khadzir Sheikh Ahmad, Ministry of Health Malaysia (MY)</td>
<td><a href="https://drive.google.com/file/d/10kf-Wbgfr5eOR-AqOxUdN7kmVULj3QI/view">https://drive.google.com/file/d/10kf-Wbgfr5eOR-AqOxUdN7kmVULj3QI/view</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOMED CT in an NHS acute hospital - The real deal</td>
<td>Monica Jones – Associate Director of Information Services TRFT &amp; Population Health Management Lead for YHCR</td>
<td><a href="https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B4LrR8p2PlyWWxirU90WXVTzZLUU1aw5s2WE84cmzOFEJ/view">https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B4LrR8p2PlyWWxirU90WXVTzZLUU1aw5s2WE84cmzOFEJ/view</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMH - Order sets,</td>
<td>No authors listed</td>
<td><a href="https://www.himss.org/resources/centre-addiction-and-mental-health-himss-davies-enterprise-award">https://www.himss.org/resources/centre-addiction-and-mental-health-himss-davies-enterprise-award</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) Network: past, present, and future</td>
<td>Omri Gottesman, MD,1,* Helena Kuivaniemi, MD, PhD,2,* Gerard Tromp, PhD,2 W. Andrew Faucett, MS,2 Rongling Li, MD, PhD,3 Teri A. Manolio, MD, PhD,3 Saskia C. Sanderson, PhD,1 Joseph Kannry, MD,1 Randi Zinberg, MS, CGC,1 Melissa A. Basford, MBA,4 Murray Brilliant, PhD,5 David J. Carey, PhD,2 Rex L. Chisholm, PhD,6 Christopher G. Chute, MD, DrPH,7 John J. Connolly, MD,8 David Crosslin, PhD,9 Joshua C. Denny, MD,4 Carlos J. Gallego, MD,9 Jonathan L. Haines, PhD,4 Hakon Hakonarson, MD, PhD,8 John Harley, MD, PhD,10 Gail P. Jarvik, MD, PhD,9 Isaac Kohane, MD, PhD,11 Ifitkhar J. Kullo, MD,7 Eric B. Larson, MD, MPH,12 Catherine McCarty, PhD, MPH,13 Marylyn D. Ritchie, PhD,14 Dan M. Roden, MD,4 Maureen E. Smith, MS,6 Erwin P. Bottiger, MD,1 Marc S. Williams, MD,2 and Buttinger The eMERGE Network</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3795928/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3795928/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Benefits Model - Studies Reviewed con’t

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Link/Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39 SCT EXPO 2019: James Read Memorial Lecture, Carolyn Gullery, Canterbury District Health Board, NZ (eReferrals/pathways, pt self management, targeted high cost areas)</td>
<td>Carolyn Gullery</td>
<td><a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L8wAIZDljdU">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L8wAIZDljdU</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Clinical Pathways Reduce Cost, Increase Clinical Trial Entry for Patients With James L Weese, MD, Aurora Health Care (Milwaukee, WI), and colleagues</td>
<td>James L Weese, MD, Aurora Health Care (Milwaukee, WI), and colleagues</td>
<td><a href="https://www.journalofclinicalpathways.com/news/clinical-pathways-reduce-cost-increase-clinical-trial-entry-patients-nsc">https://www.journalofclinicalpathways.com/news/clinical-pathways-reduce-cost-increase-clinical-trial-entry-patients-nsc</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 SNOMED CT-Based Standardized e-Clinical Pathways for Enabling Big Data Analytics in Healthcare</td>
<td>AYMAN D. ALAHMAR, (Member, IEEE), AND RACHID BENLAMRI, (Member, IEEE)</td>
<td><a href="https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=9093006">https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=9093006</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Title</td>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Link/Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 Clinical Terminology and Data Exchange for Clinical Genomics: Australian Case Studies</td>
<td>Michael Lawley</td>
<td><a href="https://drive.google.com/file/d/1iT5q5hq79wh2zkWHs-Yh3ltADQg9LHV/view">https://drive.google.com/file/d/1iT5q5hq79wh2zkWHs-Yh3ltADQg9LHV/view</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 The Effect of Computerized Physician Order Entry on Medication Errors and Adverse Drug Events in Pediatric Inpatients</td>
<td>W. James King, Naomi Paice, Jagadish Rangrej, Gregory J. Forestell and Ron Swartz</td>
<td><a href="https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/112/3/506.short">https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/112/3/506.short</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 The Influence that Electronic Prescribing Has on Medication Errors and Preventable Adverse Drug Events: an Interrupted Time-series Study</td>
<td>Jasperien E. van Doormaal, Patricia M.L.A. van den Bemt, PhD, Rianne J. Zaal, Antoine C.G. Egberts, PhD, Bertil W. Lenderink, Jos G.W. Kosterink, PhD, Flora M. Haaijer-Ruskamp, Peter G.M. Mol, PhD</td>
<td><a href="https://academic.oup.com/jamia/article/16/6/735777">https://academic.oup.com/jamia/article/16/6/735777</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Reducing Medical Errors and Adverse Events</td>
<td>Julius Cuong Pham, Monica S. Aswani, Michael Rosen, HeeWon Lee, Matthew Huddle, Kristina Weeks, and Peter J. Pronovost</td>
<td><a href="https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/full/10.1146/annurev-med-061410-121352#article-denial">https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/full/10.1146/annurev-med-061410-121352#article-denial</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 The Effect of Electronic Prescribing on Medication Errors and Adverse Drug Events: A Systematic Review</td>
<td>Elske Ammenwerth, PhD, Petra Schnell-Inderst, PhD, Christof Machan, MSc, Uwe Siebert, PhD</td>
<td><a href="https://academic.oup.com/jamia/article/15/5/732256">https://academic.oup.com/jamia/article/15/5/732256</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Benefits Model - Studies Reviewed con't

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Link/Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Facilitation of Reporting in Hospital Ward) Study</td>
<td>Giuseppina T. Russo, Giuseppe Mandraffino, Giorgio Basile, Franco Rapisarda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rosarita Ferrara, Edoardo Spina and Vincenzo Arcoraci</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 Adverse Drug Events in Hospitalized Patients</td>
<td>David C. Classen, MD, MS; Stanley L. Pestotnik, MS, RPh; R. Scott Evans,</td>
<td><a href="https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/413536">https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/413536</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Length of Stay, Extra Costs, and Attributable Mortality</td>
<td>PhD; et al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Nobuo Kuramoto MD, Jinichi Toshiro MD, Junji Murakami MD, Tsuguya Fukui MD,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPH, Mayuko Saito MD, MPH, Atsushi Hiraide MD &amp; David W. Bates MD, MSc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 Adverse Drug Events in Hospitalized Patients</td>
<td>David C. Classen, MD, MS; Stanley L. Pestotnik, MS, RPh; R. Scott Evans,</td>
<td><a href="https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/413536">https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/413536</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Length of Stay, Extra Costs, and Attributable Mortality</td>
<td>PhD; et al</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Economic Analysis

Model 4: National Total Factor Productivity Gains

- In developing an estimate of the SNOMED CT economic benefits consideration was given to the use of an expenditure-based input-output analysis that would show the direct, indirect and induced (multiplier) impacts to GDP, employment and income.

- It is recommended that SNOMED International adopt an alternative framework since the expenditure analysis has some significant shortcomings, including:
  - The analysis cannot consider the alternative uses of a given expenditure and will therefore offer a biased impact assessment.
  - The Input-Output framework is not generalizable across economies limiting the usefulness of a general model.

- An alternative approach is proposed by forecasting the productivity gains, derived from the deployment and adoption of an SNOMED CT-enabled clinical information system and interoperability solution, to the broader economy. The increase to Gross National Income (GNI) can then be estimated as a result of the productivity gains including both inpatient and outpatient health care expenditure savings from Model 1: Select Productivity Benefits Enabled by SNOMED CT.

---

1. GDP is defined as the value of national output produced in a country.
2. GNI is defined as the total income to a nation’s households, businesses, and governments. GNI is a commonly used measure of national income.
Model 4: National Total Factor Productivity Gains

1. Model 4 Purpose:
   - The model estimates the increase in GNI Gross National Income (GNI) per capita as a result of the productivity gains including both inpatient and outpatient health care expenditure savings from Model 1.
   - An increase in GNI has been empirically correlated with higher living standards, higher real incomes and the ability to devote more resources to areas like health care, education, research and development and capital investment. These measures in turn are correlated to higher literacy, life expectancy and higher technological innovation.

2. Model 4 Inputs:
   - The User inputs the national GDP.
   - The model directly picks the total productivity gains (savings) estimate calculated by Model 1.
3. **Model 4 Calculation Engine:**

- The model measures the increase in Multifactor Productivity (MFP)\(^3\) from clinical information systems.
- The model assumes that both the inpatient and outpatient health care expenditure savings/avoided from Model 1 can support incremental consumption and/or investment in the general economy\(^4\).
- In order to estimate the increase in GNI, the model measures the increase in MFP for the country’s economy. It achieves this by scaling the growth in MFP by the share of GDP that is made up by the healthcare industry.
- The model leverages OECD data from 23 countries over the time period of 1996-2019 which shows a positive correlation between the annual increase in MFP and GNI.

3. Multifactor productivity (MFP), also known as total factor productivity (TFP), is a measure of economic performance that compares the amount of goods and services produced (output) to the amount of combined inputs used to produce those goods and services. Inputs can include labor, capital, energy, materials, and purchased services.

4. This is a common neo-classical framework.
Model 4: National Total Factor Productivity Gains

3. Model 4 Calculation Engine con’t:
   - The model runs a series of regressions to quantify the impact of increasing MFP, as a result of a productivity gains from a SNOMED-CT enabled, integrated, clinical information systems. The model then selects the coefficient of determination that best addresses the fixed effects\(^5\) (e.g. noise like the tech – bubble recession of 2000 and the financial crisis of 2007-2008).

4. Model 4 Output:
   - The model provides a range of percentage increase in GNI over the 15-year time horizon.
   - The increase to GNI is in the context of all other factors being held constant.

---

5. Fixed Effects Model - Fixed effects models work to remove omitted variable bias by measuring change within a group. By measuring within a group (across time) you control for a number of potential omitted variables unique to the group. Controlling for unobserved heterogeneity when heterogeneity is constant over time and correlated with independent variables. When there are certain non-random characteristics you don’t want ending up in your error term.
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The Veterans Health Administration (VHA), one of three administrations within the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), is the largest integrated health system in the United States. The VHA is a form of nationalized healthcare service that provides health care benefits and services to military Veterans. As a result all the medical facilities that are part of the VHA are owned by the US Government and all the doctors and workers at the facilities are paid by the government.

In 2020, the VHA employed approximately 350,000 people including over 150,000 medical professionals who provide or support care at 1,255 health care facilities, including 170 medical centers and 1,074 outpatient clinics, serving 9 million enrolled Veterans each year. The 2020 VHA budget is USD$85 billion.

In 1996, the Veterans Health Care Eligibility Reform Act enabled the VHA to be restructured “from a hospital system to a health care system,” as directed by then Under Secretary for Health, Kenneth W. Kizer, MD. Dr. Kizer changed the organization from the previously independent and often competing large hospital medical centers to 22 integrated service networks providing patient-centred care\(^1\).

Change in Care Settings - the transformation facilitated shifting care from the hospital to ambulatory-care facilities and the home environment, allowing a reduction of authorized hospital and long-term care beds from approximately 92,000 to 53,000, with a concomitant decrease in hospitalizations and an increase in ambulatory-care visits and home care services.

Increase in Patient Throughput - From 1996 to 2003, the number of veterans treated annually increased by 75% from approximately 2.8 to 4.9 million, but only with a \(~5\%\) annual increase in budget over the same period.

---
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• As part of this major transformative effort Kizer and the VHA made significant enhancements to its existing SNOMED CT-embedded (e.g. problem list, anatomic pathology, health summary) system called VistA. VistA is very comprehensive and supports all clinical, administrative, and financial functions across the VHA for over 450,000 users.

• Clinically, VistA provides a single patient record across all VHA health care facilities and with new CPOE and clinical decision support capabilities implemented in the late 1990’s, 94% of all pharmacy orders throughout the VHA were electronically entered directly by the prescriber. In addition, the VHA in the early 2000s introduced My HealtheVet that allows veterans to access and update their personal health record, refill prescriptions, schedule appointments, as well as port their health records to institutions outside the VHA health system or keep a personal copy of their health records.

• VistA is a custom built solution that consists of 180 clinical, financial, and administrative applications integrated within a single transactional database. Over 65% of all physicians trained in the U.S. rotate through the VHA and use VISTA, making VistA the most familiar EHR in the U.S. It has continually won awards and in 2014, and again in 2016, national surveys of over 15,000 physician users of EHRs rated VistA with the highest overall satisfaction rating in the U.S.²

• The VistA applications have been placed in the public domain and as an open-source system has been used by other US health care organizations (e.g. Department of Defense Military Health System, Indian Health Service and other non-government hospitals), as well as internationally in at least 15 countries. In 2018 the VHA contracted Cerner to replace VistA as part of a 10-year, $16 billion implementation project with rollout expected to start in 2021 (COVID delayed).
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VistA Cost-Benefit Analysis

• Byrne et al.³ compared health information technology in the VHA to norms in the US private health care sector, plus estimated the costs and benefits of selected VistA applications for the period 2004 to 2007.

• Health IT Spending: On average, the VHA has higher ratios of health IT total spending and IT operations and maintenance costs than the private health care sector. For capital expenses, the VHA is at or below the industry averages.

• Adoption of Health IT: The VA achieved close to 100 percent adoption of selected VistA components (e.g. CPRS or the Computerized Patient Record System) since 2004. In contrast, the private health care sector had not reached significant adoption of any of these applications. In 2007, adoption in the private health care sector of inpatient electronic health records stood at 61 percent; use of inpatient bar-code medication administration was 22 percent; computerized physician order entry adoption was 16 percent; and outpatient electronic medical record adoption 12 percent.

• IT-Related Quality Measure Performance: For preventive care process measures such as cancer screenings, the VHA had higher performance during 2004–2007 relative to the private health care sector. VHA patients with diabetes had better glucose testing compliance (15% higher), more controlled cholesterol (17% higher), and more timely retinal exams when compared to the Medicare health maintenance organization (HMO) private-sector benchmark (see the details on the chart overleaf).
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Selected Outpatient Health Information Technology (IT)-Related Quality Measures For Patients With Diabetes, Department Of Veterans Affairs (VA) And Private Sector, 2004–2007

- HbA1c test
- Timely eye exam
- LDL-C control
- HbA1c poor control

Percent of patients with diabetes

VA
Private sector
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VistA Cost-Benefit Analysis con’t

• Net Value: The total net value of the VHA’s investments in the VistA components modeled exceeds $3.09 billion. By 2003, the benefit projections equaled the costs, with the VHA potentially accruing a net positive value from 2004 through 2007. In 2007, the annual net value was estimated to exceed $687 million, with annual benefits projected to be threefold greater than annual costs.

• Benefits: The gross value of the VHA’s investments in VistA applications was projected to be $7.16 billion. Cumulative reductions in unnecessary care attributable to prevention of adverse drug event–related hospitalizations and outpatient visits as a result of VistA was the largest source of benefit in the projections, with an estimated value of $4.64 billion, or 65 percent of total estimated value. The cumulative value of eliminated redundancies (e.g. duplicate laboratory tests) accounted for $1.92 billion, or 27 percent of projected value. (see more detail in the chart overleaf)

• Costs: The total cost to develop, implement, and maintain the VistA applications, including the Computerized Patient Record System, was estimated at $4.07 billion. The Computerized Patient Record System entailed the largest investment of the VistA applications analyzed, with projected costs of $3.60 billion (which includes $1.56 billion for the earlier Decentralized Hospital Computer Program). The bar-code medication administration, picture archiving and communication systems, and Laboratory Electronic Data Interoperability application were comparatively smaller investments, collectively equaling $470 million.
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**VistA: A Clinical Information System**

### Cost Benefit Analysis - VistA Applications and Sources of Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VistA Component</th>
<th>System Feature</th>
<th>Source of Value</th>
<th>Benefit Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computerized Patient Record System (CPRS; 1997–2007; inpatient, outpatient)</td>
<td>• Electronic capture and reporting of allergies/adverse reactions, problem lists, inpatient and outpatient medications, test results, discharge summaries, provider notes, notifications/patient record flags&lt;br&gt;• Orders for medications, laboratory tests, radiology tests, event delay, diets, consult/request tracking&lt;br&gt;• Clinical decision support through clinical reminders, order checking.</td>
<td>• Reduced inpatient costs for preventable adverse drug events caused by inpatient medications&lt;br&gt;• Reduced inpatient costs for avoided influenza and pneumonia&lt;br&gt;• Reduced inpatient costs for preventable adverse drug events caused by outpatient medications&lt;br&gt;• Reduced outpatient visit costs for preventable adverse drug events caused by outpatient medications&lt;br&gt;• Reduced laboratory and radiology costs for redundant and unnecessary tests&lt;br&gt;• Reduced time spent on chart pulls by file clerks in the inpatient setting&lt;br&gt;• Reduced time spent on chart pulls by file clerks in the outpatient setting</td>
<td>• Avoided utilization&lt;br&gt;• Avoided utilization&lt;br&gt;• Avoided utilization&lt;br&gt;• Avoided utilization&lt;br&gt;• Eliminated redundancy&lt;br&gt;• Reduced workload&lt;br&gt;• Reduced workload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture archiving and communication system (2002–2007; inpatient)</td>
<td>• Exam lists, exam locks, specialized display tools, results-routing capabilities, color imaging, 3D imaging</td>
<td>• Reduced radiological film supply costs&lt;br&gt;• Reduced film processor maintenance costs&lt;br&gt;• Reduced time spent on film processing by radiology department clerks&lt;br&gt;• Reduced floor-space costs for film library</td>
<td>• Decreased expenses&lt;br&gt;• Decreased expenses&lt;br&gt;• Reduced workload&lt;br&gt;• Reduced expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar-code medication administration (1998–2007; inpatient)</td>
<td>• Real-time, point-of-care validation for administration of unit dose and IV medications</td>
<td>• Reduced inpatient costs for preventable adverse drug events caused by inpatient medication administration errors</td>
<td>• Avoided utilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Electronic Data Interoperability (2001–2007; inpatient and outpatient)</td>
<td>• Laboratory order sending and tracking, results transmission and integration into CPRS, standardized electronic communication with non-VistA laboratories</td>
<td>• Reduced time spent on order processing by VA laboratory technicians</td>
<td>• Reduced workload</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Veterans Health Information Exchange

• Because the majority of Veterans receive care at both VHA and private health care facilities, the VHA set up a Veterans Health Information Exchange (VHIE)⁴ to support interoperability between the VHA, other federal agencies and the private health care sector to better manage the coordination of care.

• Currently the VHA and over 220 participating providers can electronically share a variety of health information including: prescriptions and medications, allergies, illnesses, laboratory and radiology results, immunizations, procedures and clinical notes, and other relevant medical information. The health information, including SNOMED CT encoded information from VistA, is extracted to a Continuity of Care document and exchanged securely with the participating providers.

• The participating providers include federal agencies (e.g. Department of Defense, Social Security Administration), health care organizations (e.g. Kaiser Permanente, Johns Hopkins Medicine), state and regional HIEs (e.g. Indiana Health Information Exchange, Maine HealthInfoNet) and the private sector (e.g. Walgreens Pharmacies, CVS MinuteClinic).

• All VHIE participating providers have to be part of the national HIE, eHealth Exchange, which operates in all 50 states. VHIE can exchange information at both an organizational level (i.e. Continuity of Care documents via eHealth Exchange) and at the personal provider level (i.e. direct messaging via DirectTrust)⁵. The eHealth Exchange network is the largest HIE in the US and is connected to 75 percent of all US hospitals, to 61 regional or state health information exchanges, and more than 30 EHR technologies (e.g. Epic, Cerner).

⁴ See https://www.va.gov/VHIE/index.asp
⁵ See https://ehealthexchange.org/ and https://www.directtrust.org/
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Veterans Health Information Exchange

Benefits Achieved

- **Allergy Documentation Rate** - Review of all inbound VHIE transactions in FY14 showed that VHIE use was associated with a nearly eight-fold increase in the allergy documentation rate (7.5% vs. 0.84%)\(^6\).

- **Access to Immunization Services** - The VHIE Retail Immunization Coordination Project established a partnership between the VHA and Walgreens so Veterans could receive their immunizations at a local Walgreens located closer to their home than their nearest VHA facility. Analysis of Veterans immunized at Walgreens between September 2014 and January 2015 showed that 64% of study Veterans now traveled <5 miles to receive their immunization, 12% of study Veterans traveled between 5 to 10 miles, and 24% of study Veterans traveled more than 10 miles. In addition, it was noted that 93% of Veterans traveled less than 54 miles, the average distance rural Veterans traveled to the nearest VHA facility.

- **Laboratory Test an Imaging Ordering** - Participation in the VHIE reduced the ordering of laboratory and imaging tests at inappropriately short intervals in the ambulatory care setting. CBC & Renal profile ordering was reduced by 1.98%; Lipid and Liver tests by 3.19%; and imaging orders by 1.3%. The effect upon potential overuse was realized early, within the first year of implementation of the VHIE.


United States – U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Health Administration, Washington DC

Veterans Health Information Exchange

Benefits Achieved con’t

• **Diabetes Care** - Providers of Veteran patients enrolled in the VHIE had improved access to diabetes data residing in non-VHA health care systems. About 1 in 5 Veteran patients had data identifying diabetes diagnoses in non-VHA clinical systems. However, the VHIE program had no measurable effect upon the quality of diabetes care\(^9\).

• **Prevalence of Medication Data in Non-VHA health Care Systems** – A study was conducted to describe the prevalence of medication dispensing across VHA and non-VHA health care systems among a cohort of Veteran patient population. The data demonstrated that 17.4% of Veterans had medication use identified from non-VHA sources, including prescriptions for antibiotics, antineoplastics, and anticoagulants. These data support the need for the VHIE to improve sharing and coordination of information, with the potential to reduce adverse medication interactions and improve medication safety\(^10\).

---
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- Kaiser Permanente (KP) was founded in 1945 and is made up of three distinct but interdependent groups of entities: the Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc. and its regional operating subsidiaries; Kaiser Foundation Hospitals; and the regional Permanente Medical Groups. KP operates in eight US states (Hawaii, Washington, Oregon, California, Colorado, Maryland, Virginia, and Georgia) and the District of Columbia, and is the largest managed care organizations in the United States.

- Kaiser Permanente is the largest nonprofit healthcare plan in the United States, with over 12 million members. It operates 39 hospitals and more than 700 medical offices, with approximately 300,000 personnel, including more than 85,000 physicians and nurses. In 2019 it had operating revenue of USD$84.5 billion.

- As one of the nation’s earliest adopters of electronic health records (EHRs), KP has achieved organization-wide use and integration of health information technology. HealthConnect, the organization’s clinical information system project using the Epic Care EHR was started in 2004, and fully deployed in 2010, for a total cost of around USD$4 billion.

- The story of the KP HealthConnect implementation is detailed in the book “Connected for Health, Using Electronic Health Records to Transform Care Delivery”¹, the contents of which have been used to create much of this case study.

- KP had a history of digital health excellence that reached back to the 1960’s. However, in 2002 KP hired George Halvorson as its CEO with the urgent need to integrate care across the entire KP organization by leveraging health information technology, and provide KP with a competitive advantage in healthcare delivery.
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• In 2003 Halvorson, among other actions, started the transformation effort with the completion of the Board-approved IT business case. To support the HealthConnect investment KP anticipated that use of the EHR system would result in increased efficiencies, improved clinical decision making, better care coordination, reduced medication errors, and new levels of patient engagement. The business case quantifies 36 financial benefits, which fall under the broad categories of reduced operating costs, increased revenues, and reduced capital expenditure. A positive cumulative net cash flow was calculated and a cost-benefit analysis identified a break-even point 8.5 years after the 2004 project initiation.

• The next step was to “start with the end in mind”, in this case, value realization by improving the quality of care through the power of evidence. A Blue Sky vision was created that had four themes: Home as a Hub; integration of medical and wellness activities; secure and seamless transitions of care; and care that is customized to the patient. Next came the complete re-design and transformation of the health care delivery processes at KP.

• KP also developed 5 principles for its HealthConnect implementation: business-led; common platforms, processes and services; a preference to buy vs build; a single vendor integrated system; a system that can meet 80% of the KP needs.

• KP selected Epic Systems to deploy HealthConnect in emergency, inpatient, outpatient, laboratories, pharmacy, imaging, public health, membership and financial/benefits areas in all KP locations. It also provided bedside documentation, electronic ordering with clinical decision support, a patient portal (My Health Manager aka MyChart) and a suite of population management tools. KP also became a leader in developing interoperability among US healthcare organizations.
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• KP HealthConnect uses an array of international standards, chief among those is SNOMED CT. Others include LOINC (lab), DICOM (imaging), RxNorm (drug) and NIC,NOC,NANDA (nursing). SNOMED CT was chosen over ICD and CPT because it provided a richer, more granular expression of the data that is more familiar to clinicians. Further, coding patient care data using SNOMED CT could then be easily leveraged for clinical decision support, clinical and population analytics, as well as public health interventions. Starting in 2010, KP has generously donated its SNOMED-CT embedded Convergent Medical Terminology to SNOMED International to benefit all health care providers in the US and globally.

• A key component of the KP HealthConnect deployment was the meaningful involvement of clinicians (e.g. physicians and nurses) from the visioning, vendor selection, clinical process re-design, as well as to the system build, go-live, use and the on-going transformation. It was recognized early that the deployment of KP HealthConnect won’t make clinicians necessarily faster in all situations, but they should be better.

• The use of HealthConnect to support the transformation of care delivery at Kaiser Permanente is still viewed by the health care industry as a landmark clinical information system deployment for a large integrated health care system, not just in the U.S., but also globally. Today, a decade later, Kaiser Permanente is recognized as an employer of choice (e.g. a best place to work in IT for the past 10 years), excellence in care (e.g. top scores for quality and service), as well as for its innovative leaders.
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KP took an immediate, medium-term and long-term perspective on realizing the benefits from HealthConnect

1. **Day 1 Benefits of HealthConnect (immediate)**
The clinical use of HealthConnect provides immediate benefits to clinicians and patients.
• Improved patient safety with comprehensive, legible patient health records.
• More efficient inpatient and outpatient care with 24/7 access to complete patient health records.
• Eliminate duplicate tests (e.g. laboratory, radiology) through availability of orders and results.
• Improve patient engagement by KP clinicians demonstrating that “we know you”. Patients don’t have to repeat the same information about allergies, medications, and other elements of their medical history.

2. **Harvested Value from HealthConnect (medium term)**
Many of the benefits of KP HealthConnect have required deliberate policy changes, workflow re-design, committed leadership, and an openness to innovations by knowledgeable clinicians. For example:
• Improved patient safety due to the implementation of level 1 drug-drug interactions.
• Reduced cost of medical records operations.
• Re-engineered workflows to improve quality outcomes while reducing waste and costs (see two examples overleaf)
• Reduced cost of regulatory compliance and other reporting activities.
• Savings from legacy system retirements.
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EXAMPLE I: Re-Engineered Clinical Workflows - KP Hawaii Quality Improvement for Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease

• Specialist nephrologists, such as Dr. Brian Lee at KP Hawaii\(^4\), were used to managing individual patients that had been referred to them by a GP. Specialists had never been involved in driving improvements in care for, in this case, the entire patient population of 10,000 people with chronic kidney disease.

• Dr. Lee and his colleagues used laboratory results to identify and rank by risk all patients diagnosed with chronic kidney disease.

• Using the SNOMED CT-embedded KP HealthConnect Lee then monitored the primary care delivered by primary care clinicians to the most high-risk patients to ensure that it was in line with evidence-based treatment recommendations, and when appropriate, he provided unsolicited e-consults to the patient’s GP.

• In effect Dr. Lee inverted the traditional referral process. This required access to patients’ electronic records, but also dramatic changes in the relationship between specialists and GPs, including the support of the clinical leadership.

• Results of Lee’s initiative showed that it increased early intervention for high-risk patients and reduced by two-thirds the number of late specialist referrals – those occurring within the four months of the onset of end-stage renal disease. Early referral is essential to make the changes that will slow the progression of the disease.

---

Case Study #2
HealthConnect: Enabling the Transformation of Care Delivery

United States – Kaiser Permanente, Oakland, California

EXAMPLE II: Re-Engineered Workflows – Population and Management Analytics

• During 2003-2004 the KP Board and senior executives began to look at performance oversight in the areas of quality, service and patient safety. The accountability shift from a position of “we believe we deliver the highest quality care” to “the numbers tell the real story” took time to develop and evolve.

• Three-year, system-wide goals were introduced at KP including the commitment to reach the 90th percentile on all the NCQA HEDIS (Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set)\(^5\) quality measures and the Joint Commission’s National Hospital Inpatient Quality Measures\(^6\). These objectives were tied to staff compensation and pay-for-performance structures.

• As a result of this focus KP created “Big Q” a organizational dashboard, using management analytics, that reported on quality, service, safety, risk management and resource stewardship in both inpatient and outpatient care settings. The resulting transparency was a catalyst for change.

• The result was a significant drop in patient harm, an improvement in HEDIS and cost of care rates, as well as improvements in hospital and outpatient service performance.

• By the end of 2008 KP was above the 90th HEDIS percentile for breast and colorectal cancer screening; controlling high blood pressure; cardiovascular LDL control; and diabetes LDL control, as well as above the 75th percentile for cervical cancer screening.

---

5. See [https://www.ncqa.org/hedis/](https://www.ncqa.org/hedis/)
6. See [https://www.jointcommission.org/](https://www.jointcommission.org/)
Case Study #2
HealthConnect: Enabling the Transformation of Care Delivery

United States – Kaiser Permanente, Oakland, California

EXAMPLE II: Re-Engineered Workflows – Population and Management Analytics con’t

- Following advice from the Institute for Healthcare Improvement the next step for KP in improving transparency was to move beyond the traditional clinical quality perspective and add information on lives saved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Savings per Decade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol Control</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>1,350 lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Pressure Control</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>4,890 lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1C &lt; 9.0</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>738 lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking Cessation</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>787 lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Cancer Screening</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>565 lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical Cancer Screening</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>38 lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon Cancer Screening</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>3,838 lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>12,206 lives saved</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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EXAMPLE II: Re-Engineered Workflows – Population and Management Analytics con’t

• ... and further, information was translated into cost savings or resource stewardship.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linking Quality Improvements with Financial Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential Savings from Reducing Harm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Savings from reducing LOS cost for Methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), <em>C. Difficile</em>, and urinary tract infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated savings based on extrapolated CMS costs for coded harm from falls and coded pressure ulcers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential savings from medication reconciliation on admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annualized savings estimate by reducing costs associated with BSI, VAP and surgical site infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative savings estimate (10% of admission savings) above from medication reconciliation at admission, discharge and other indirect savings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (projected savings may be incremental because some processes were in place and achieving some impact)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3. Transformation of Care enabled by HealthConnect (long term)

The third area of Value Realization was the longer term Transformation of Care supported by HealthConnect.

• Improved capability to identify, support and disseminate health care innovations.
• Increased opportunity for collaboration and cultural transformation.
• Identification and dissemination of best practices and clinical guidelines.
• The ability to conduct better manage population health.
• Expanded and more responsive research capabilities.

EXAMPLE III: Healthcare Innovations – Managing the Panel

• In the early 2000’s, the primary care physicians at KP, like elsewhere, were caught in the daily grind of providing reactive care to increasingly sick patients. While HealthConnect allowed them to focus more completely on each individual patient, very few had the time or energy to think about the health care needs of the population of patients that they cared for – their patient panel. Many of their patients never came to their clinic, making them effectively invisible.

• Two primary care physicians at the Hawaii Permanente Medical Group felt there had to be a better way – what they called Total Panel Ownership (TPO). TPO focused on the primary care team’s (e.g. physicians, nurses, medical assistants) relationship with the entire patient population. The team needs to “own and manage the panel”, rather than the appointment schedule. This change in focus required a redesign of primary care processes.
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EXAMPLE III: Healthcare Innovations – Managing the Panel con’t.

• To roll-out the TPO approach KP deployed innovation teams and a change package (e.g. data driven workflows, relationship-based care so the team “knows” the patient, more convenient ways to interact with patients including less face-to-face visits and more telephone visits, and collaborative care planning and decision making with the patient).

• KP HealthConnect functionality supported the new TPO workflows. For example: the generation of health maintenance alerts (e.g. vaccinations, disease screening) and appointments scheduled; unlike pre-EHR telephone visits, all relevant patient information is available to the clinician; real time processing of lab and medication orders; completion of clinical notes is completed during the call; and an immediate “After Visit Summary” immediately sent to the patient.

• The net result of TPO was a decrease in office visits – a 9% reduction per 1,000 members. Correspondingly there was an increase in telephone visits (e.g. in 2010 in Hawaii 30% of same day primary care visits were provided by telephone), as well as secure messaging communications and the patient portal interactions. Over a 3-year period physicians saw on average 6% more of their panel of patients, thereby increasing capacity or throughput.

• Almost all primary care innovation teams improved their quality performance, with 50% out-performing their regions. Quality measures also improved for the innovation teams faster than their regional counterparts.

• Finally, physician work satisfaction increased significantly, and the patient-physician “relationship” measure improved by up to 64%.
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EXAMPLE IV: Collaboration and Cultural Transformation – The My Health Manager Patient Portal

• Kaiser Permanente started interacting with patients online (e.g. health advice, discussion groups) in the mid-1990’s in its Northern California region. These innovations were expanded and by 1999 KP Online had 117,000 users. In 2003 with the adoption of HealthConnect new opportunities arose with the Epic MyChart module to provide KP members with secure access to their medical records. KP branded it My Health Manager and made it available to all 8.6 million members.

• My Health Manager features included provision of test results, allergies, diagnoses, immunizations, prescriptions, summaries of past office visits, with the medical data sourced from KP HealthConnect. In addition, appointment booking, health assessment tools and encyclopedias, plus secure messaging services were provided to patients. To assist KP put in place a patient advisory group that by 2010 had expanded to a 30,000 person virtual advisory group.

• As was expected, many clinicians initially felt that patients were not ready to see their health data without the physician acting as an interpreter. Having patients access their records at the click of a mouse was unsettling to many clinicians. A cultural change was needed. This was achieved through required clinician leadership, communication and collaboration.

• By 2010, My Health Manager had 3.3 million users or 63% of KP membership over 13 years of age, with around 80,000 new registrations per month. The most visited features were test results, “email your doctor” and online medication refills with around 72,000 patient visits per day to the portal in 2010.

• In 2020, My Health Manager and the underlying product Epic MyChart remain leaders in the patient portal space, globally.
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EXAMPLE V: Population Health – Coronary Artery Disease

- Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) is one of the top five chronic conditions that account for the majority of health care costs. In 2010 it was the leading cause of mortality in the U.S. contributing to 40% of all deaths. Kaiser Permanente of Colorado developed the Collaborative Cardiac Care Service (CCCS) to improve the health of patients with CAD.

- Within 24 hours of hospital discharge all patients hospitalized with a cardiac event are enrolled in a 3-6 month educational and case management program with a nursing team and a pharmacy team. CCCS works collaboratively with patients, primary care physicians, cardiologists, and other health care professionals to coordinate proven cardiac risk reduction strategies for CAD patients. Activities include lifestyle modification, medication management, patient education, laboratory monitoring, and management of adverse events. The CCCS team uses HealthConnect and HealthTrac to document all interactions with patients, track patient appointments, and collect data for evaluation of both short and long-term patient outcomes.

- By 2010 CCCS was following over 12,000 patients with CAD. CCCS demonstrated improvements in cholesterol screening (55% to 96.3%) and reduction in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) <100 mg/dL (22% to 76.9%). Approximately 85% of these patients were receiving statin monotherapy. The CCCS has shown a 76% reduction in all-cause mortality associated with CAD in the patients followed by the service. Patient and physician satisfaction has been high with CCCS.

- The program received the Care Continuum Alliance’s Leadership Award in 2009 for the best use of technology to improve patient health outcomes.
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EXAMPLE VI: Population Health – Mammography Screening

• In the early 2000’s studies showed that early mammography screening, detection, diagnosis and treatment can reduce the breast cancer death rate by 20 to 50 percent, since 96% of all early stage, localized breast cancers are curable.

• IN 2003 KP set up “Operation Innovation” to identify and contact all women who met the age recommendations for mammograms, but had not been screened in the last 18 months.

• The program included use of the KP HealthConnect clinical information system to create the population cohort, track the mammography screening status of each target member, and record the results and procedures of each women.

• In addition, a wide range of methods were used to contact members, as well as conveniently and rapidly provide their mammograms (e.g. mobile mammography units) and results (e.g. a specialized team of clinicians was used to reduce the time for mammogram result-to-biopsy-to-diagnosis-to-surgical consultation).

• The program achieved a dramatic increase from 79.5% to 92% of eligible women receiving regular mammograms between 2004-2007. In addition there was a reduction in the time from the initial suspicion to the diagnosis of breast cancer from a median of 19 days to 9 days, with 79% of patients diagnosed within the target of 14 days.
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EXAMPLE VII: Best Practices and Clinical Guidelines – Patient Safety

• The Institute of Medicine’s seminal report “To Err Is Human” published in 2000 was a wake-up call to the health care industry, and a call-to-action for Kaiser Permanente. CEO George Halvorson recognized the opportunity to use KP HealthConnect to reduce preventable harm/injury to patients, improve the delivery of evidence-based care, and assist clinicians through the timely provision of information and decision support.

• With the focus on patient safety KP HealthConnect provided immediate benefits: legible, detailed longitudinal patient data, including the problem list, available 24/7; alerts (e.g. drug-drug interactions) and dose restrictions; and evidence-based order sets. KP then accelerated patient safety performance by: closing the loop of diagnostic test results; enhancing CPOE and decision support; creating drug surveillance features, as well as new ways to detect harm.

• Reducing Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) – VAP is the 2nd most common hospital-associated infection, and is preventable. In 2006, the Institute for Health Improvement’s (IHI) ventilator bundle of five best practices were embedded into the KP HealthConnect ICU order sets. As a result the average VAP incidence rate reduced 60% in the first year and has a sustained reduction of 36% below the pre-intervention rate.

• Automated Harm Detection – KP deployed the IHI Global Trigger Tool directly into HealthConnect as a way to identify adverse events, quantify the risk, degree and severity of harm. This adverse event surveillance capability allows KP to search all hospital inpatient records in real time and quickly identify and alert any quality/safety issues, as well as improve patient safety across the entire organization.
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EXAMPLE VIII: Research

• KP has been conducting health care research since 1943. By 2010 it had eight research centres across the U.S. conducting epidemiological and health service research, making it one of the largest research programs in the country. Most of the research is published in the peer-reviewed “Permanente Journal”9 or other leading health care publications.

• With HealthConnect KP is able to easily access longitudinal, standardized clinical data on all its members. This “super-charged” KP’s research efforts. By way of example, a few early EHR-enabled research papers are highlighted below.

  • Population Research – A landmark study on gestational diabetes mellitus (Hillier)10.
  • Patient Safety – Utility of alerts in laboratory and prescription ordering (Raebel)11, and effects of EHR alerts for contraindicated prescriptions among elderly patients (Smith)12.
  • Care Quality – the effectiveness of diabetes management (Schmittdiel)13.
  • Effectiveness – comparing outcomes for 40,000 patients taking Celebrex versus Vioxx (Graham)14.

9. See http://www.thepermanentejournal.org/
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• North York General Hospital (NYGH) is a community academic hospital affiliated with the University of Toronto providing inpatient, ambulatory and long term care services. It was a HIMSS Davies Award of Excellence winner in 2016.

• NYGH commenced the deployment of their eCare project in 2007, using the Cerner clinical information system. In 2010 Phase II of the project was initiated for Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE), clinical decision support, and electronic medication management (i.e. eMAR, bar coding, medication reconciliation and eRx on discharge).

• The introduction of CPOE and SNOMED CT-enabled evidence-based order sets at NYGH was an opportunity to shift the organization to evidence-based practice. However, similar to other CPOE and evidence-based order set implementations the eCare project met with significant resistance, particularly from physicians.

• NYGH initially tried to introduce SNOMED CT-encoded problem lists through drop-down lists, but achieved less than 1% physician adoption because there were too many terms and it took too long (i.e. ~12 seconds) per diagnosis to complete.

• NYGH then changed tack and introduced SNOMED CT using a “stealth approach” by building diagnoses and comorbidities into ordering workflow (increased to 15% adoption), adding diagnoses into documentation workflow for endoscopy, diabetes care, and urology (increased to 30% adoption), and finally into physician in-patient documentation when the vendor upgraded this functionality (100% adoption in the pilot group) which has been rolled out specialty-by-specialty.
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• During this process the NYGH clinicians were invited to develop their own library of evidence-based order sets as a way to both standardize (i.e. use of evidence) and personalize (i.e. patient care plan) care. Once created, the 850 plus NYGH order sets were then made available to other health organizations across Canada.

Significant Patient Outcome Benefits Achieved


• Achieved 100% user adoption of the CPOE system; 92% of physician orders and 86% of medication orders entered by MDs.

• Approximately 50% of physician order volume was generated from evidence-based order sets.

• Increased use of evidence-based admission order sets from 36.5% pre-CPOE to 97.4% post-CPOE.

• Medication turnaround time for STAT antibiotics improved by 83% (291 to 50 mins) which is important for diagnoses like pneumonia, where getting the antibiotic faster vastly improves patient health outcomes.

• In a review of CPOE and evidence-based order sets North York researchers found that inpatient preventable mortality from pneumonia and COPD exacerbation was reduced by 45% using CPOE vs paper orders, and by 56% using CPOE with a correctly matched evidence based order set (even after adjustment for comorbidities, age, sex, diagnosis, length of stay and critical care unit admission). Over 5 years this amounted to over 120 lives saved, a positive patient health outcome.
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Significant Patient Outcome Benefits Achieved

- Appropriate prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism (VTE) – a blood clot in a deep vein - increased from 50% of inpatients to >97% of inpatients, with a corresponding **39% reduction in VTE**, a positive patient health outcome.

Economic Benefits Achieved

- The eCare ROI was determined by applying the Economics of Patient Safety findings for 4 adverse events to the NYGH experience. The four adverse events included: reduction in medication errors, reduction in nosocomial adverse drug events, VTE prevention and prevented recurrences of *C. difficile*.

- The **total cost avoidance** from improvements in the occurrence of the four adverse events was determined to be CAD$38.1M over 5 years, or CAD$7.6M per year.

- When the total cost of acquiring and implementing the eCare clinical information system was also taken into account a **net savings over the 5-year period of CAD$1.2 million** was achieved.

---
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BARTS NHS TRUST

- Established in 2012, BARTS NHS Trust (BARTS) runs five hospitals throughout the City of London and East London. The trust provides community, acute care and specialist services to a population of over 2.6 million people, in an area characterized by significant diversity and health inequalities. The health profile and health needs vary significantly between, and within, individual boroughs, with a distinct difference between the Inner and Outer London boroughs. It is one of the largest NHS trusts in England, and accounts for 1.5% of all hospital activity in the country. It runs the largest cardiovascular centre in the United Kingdom, the second largest cancer centre in London, as well as the leading stroke and renal units.

- While BARTS uses a single instance of its SNOMED CT-embedded Cerner Millennium clinical information system across its five hospitals it is also a key player in the broader East London and London digital health initiatives. This case study will highlight the use of SNOMED CT across the six use domains for:
  - The BARTS clinical information system implementation,
  - The East London Patient Record (EHR) implementation,
  - The East London Discovery program, and
  - The OneLondon program.
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BARTS CLINICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION AND USE

• The BARTS SNOMED CT-embedded Cerner Millennium clinical information system (locally known as the Care Record System or CRS) was introduced in 2008, and subsequently expanded and enhanced, with a focus on
  1. **Single System** - where all BARTS patient data is recorded in a consistent and coherent format, that is easily shareable among clinicians and is open to analysis,
  2. **Connectedness** - where the Trust’s Electronic Health Record (EHR) data is available in real-time to primary care, community care and mental health clinical professionals thereby enabling coordinated health care, and
  3. **Big Data**, the sharing of data enables the creation of central data repositories from which structured analysis is possible across a wide spectrum of circumstances, e.g. patient outcomes, satisfaction, performance monitoring, genomics and research.

• A “Benefits Deep Dive”¹ of the CRS implementation was conducted in 2013. It identified many of the same benefits that we have seen in the other clinical information system implementation case studies such as:

  **Emergency Department:** More effective record storage and retrieval; less duplicate data entry; reduction in 4-hour breaches; improvements in ED efficiency and workflow from using an electronic whiteboard.

  **Outpatient Clinics:** More effective record storage and retrieval; reduction in paper referrals due to a centralized e-referral service; improved appointment booking; more effective patient communications by providing letters at the end of the consultation; and an increase in revenues due to improved coding the finished consultant episodes (FCE).

   [https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/display/CP/Clinical+Use+Cases?preview=%2F57808738%2F96810424%2FBarts_Health_Case_Study.pdf](https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/display/CP/Clinical+Use+Cases?preview=%2F57808738%2F96810424%2FBarts_Health_Case_Study.pdf)
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BARTS CLINICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION AND USE

Infection Control Problem

• in 2016 BARTS was not compliant with national legislative requirement to isolate infectious patients appropriately. Clinicians were unable to obtain daily aggregate data for current inpatients showing: infections, infection status (active vs. inactive), and location (open bay vs. side room).

• A manual data collection process meant scrolling through bed boards and individual patient records. For a trust with 2,100 beds across 110 wards at five different sites, this process was both time-consuming and prone to human error.

• BARTS now has an automated system of infection control reporting using SNOMED CT terms, which pulls in data directly from every patient’s laboratory results. As a result, clinical decisions are now better guided and supported by reliable, up-to-date information. It also allows nurses on the ward and the infection control team to instantly spot patients who should be moved to isolation, and it assists with contact tracing when needed.

• Patient Safety Benefits Achieved – A 30% reduction in number of patients inappropriately located in open bays; reduced risk of exposure to infections; reduced risk of infection transmission; and reduction in time spent by the Infection Control team to locate and isolate infectious patients.
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BARTS CLINICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION AND USE

Smoking Cessation

• Compared to national benchmarks, there are higher numbers of smokers in east London – this in turn, results in higher rates for smoking-related disease admissions to hospital and higher mortality rates for cancer and respiratory disease.

• East London, also has a large South Asian Community. Tobacco chewing is common because tobacco is often added to paan (betel nut, herbs & spices wrapped in betel leaf and chewed). In the local Bangladeshi community, 60% of men and 50% of women use chewing tobacco. Tobacco +/- paan is a public health issue because it increases the risk of oral cancer, cardiovascular disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

• BARTS uses SNOMED CT to record patients who smoke and/or chew tobacco on their problem list. They are immediately referred to a smoking cessation program, which is a requirement for payment under NHS commissioning arrangements.

• BARTS also does data extraction from the Cerner clinical information system using SNOMED CT to determine the number of inpatients on each ward who smoke and/or chew tobacco and have cancer (i.e. 30% to 100%). In the first 8 months the recording of smoking status by clinicians increased from 5% to 50% of patients.

4. Gutteridge C.,“Practical use of SNOMED CT- Real World Examples from BARTS Health” Presentation at SNOMED International Conference. Helsinki March 28th 2019
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BARTS CLINICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION AND USE

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Clinical Audit

- An estimated 3 million people in the UK have COPD, and it is the second most common cause of emergency hospital admission. Further, about a third of those admitted to hospital as a result of their COPD are readmitted within a month of discharge. The total annual cost of COPD to the NHS is over £800 million.

- BARTS is required to collect clinical audit data on COPD patients. It had an opportunity to gain £1.8 million and improve its reputation with funders by bringing COPD emergency spending in line with the best 5 hospitals in its NHS peer group.

- BART’s respiratory clinicians and the ICT team moved from a paper-based system, to continuous data collection using a hybrid of paper-based and SNOMED CT encoded electronic methods, including clinical documentation.

- The next stage is a move to a fully integrated system that will pull data from respiratory teams in all of the Trust’s hospital and community sites, based on SNOMED CT terminology agreed with clinicians. Collaboration with other departments, including acute medicine and mental health, is also vital.

5. Gutteridge C., “Practical use of SNOMED CT- Real World Examples from BARTS Health” Presentation at SNOMED International Conference. Helsinki March 28th 2019
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EAST LONDON HEALTH AND CARE PARTNERSHIP

• BARTS (see the purple and orange boroughs) is also part of the East London Health and Care Partnership (ELHCP).

The ELHCP region has:

• The highest population growth in London.
• A changing population with increasing diversity.
• A high percentage of the population relying on benefits, experiencing unemployment, plus living in poor housing and environment.
• Poor health outcomes for its population including obesity, cancer, mental health, and dementia.
• Service quality issues including a high reliance on emergency services, late diagnoses and treatment and access to services issues, particularly primary care.
• Further, there is significant variation between each borough/place in health and care outcomes, available services, and resources.
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**ELHCP EAST LONDON PATIENT RECORD**

- The ELHCP East London Patient Record (eLPR) has been in place since 2014. It is a consolidated, read only view of a patients’ health record, and has more detailed clinical data than the national Summary Care Record. The record is sourced from 4 Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs), 5 BARTS acute hospital sites, 2 mental health trusts, three sets of community services and almost 200 GP practices, covering a population of about 1.5 million.

- The eLPR is created and shared among clinicians via two independent Cerner health information exchanges (HIEs), with over 150,000 eLPR views occurring per month in late 2020. Interoperability is achieved within East London by standardizing data entry and coding care, pathway by care pathway, using **SNOMED CT** standards.

- In 2017 an eLPR Benefits Study Evaluation was conducted, where clinician users of the eLPR in both primary and secondary care settings were surveyed and interviewed.

**Key Benefits Identified**

- **Efficiency** - 48% of clinicians felt the amount of paperwork had been reduced, 63% felt there had been a reduction in records notes going missing and 42% recorded a reduction in the number of investigations ordered. Similarly, 78% of hospital clinicians state that they could better handle the speed and quality of treatment in their department. About 80% of the clinicians stated that the number of phone calls answered or made were reduced because the information is available in eLPR thereby reducing the need to call a colleague for further information.

---
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**EAST LONDON PATIENT RECORD con’t**

Key Benefits Identified con’t

- **Referrals** - Based on the responses to the survey it was concluded that 1,233 referrals are avoided across Waltham Forest, East London and City (WELC) each year. Taking the cost of first referral, single professional for the lowest cost treatment function (Anesthetics) and a market forces factor of 1.2 (just under both Homerton and Barts Health’s figure), i.e. £111, this equates to an annual saving of £133k.

- **System Consolidation** - In 2017 the Newham Hospital Urgent Care Centre was able to consolidate its use of systems through the eLPR. This brought a number of notable benefits including: elimination of dual-entry and associated training costs and time wasted entering data into multiple systems leading to savings in licensing and support costs. This will save Newham CCG approximately £500k per year.

- **Patient Engagement** - 62% of clinicians felt that the patient engagement and relationship was improved with eLPR.

- **Clinician Satisfaction** - Overall, 81% of clinicians felt eLPR had a positive effect on their working day.
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THE ELHCP DISCOVERY PROGRAM

- Discovery East London was first established in 2016 to create a linked dataset of real-time clinical data from a myriad of care settings, including BARTS, across five boroughs: City of London, Hackney, Newham, Tower Hamlets and Waltham Forest. The service has now been scaled across London, with a potential opportunity to scale it nationally, as part of the NHS Data Discovery Service.

- The ELHCP Discovery program objective has been to publish primary care, secondary care (e.g. BARTS), mental health and other care data in a common health data platform so that it can be used for clinical analytics, population analytics, management analytics and research purposes. By implementing strict data governance and controlled technical access approved users of the data can subscribe to the service and use it for their approved purpose (e.g. research).

- The data in the Discovery data platform is all encoded in SNOMED CT. The data from the source systems either comes as SNOMED CT-encoded (e.g. data from GP systems and the BARTS secondary care system) or is transformed to SNOMED CT as part of the ETL process, if the source system does not use SNOMED CT.

- At this time there are over 25 projects that are either live or in progress. By way of example eight of these twenty-five projects are sourced from the BARTS NHS Trust. Examples of live projects are shown overleaf.

9. See https://www.discoverydataservice.org/Content/Overview.htm
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THE ELHCP DISCOVERY PROGRAM

Examples of Live Projects

1. **Serious Mental Illness (SMI)**, East London Foundation Trust (ELFT): The SMI query reconciles ELFT secondary care mental health data with primary care serious mental health datasets.

2. **BARTS Pancreas Tissue Bank**, BARTS: The Barts Pancreas Tissue Bank (BPTB) is a unique and vital resource for researchers to provide a multitude of specimen types from pancreas disease and cancer patients as well as healthy controls. The samples are mainly collected from the Royal London Hospital and curated at Barts Cancer Institute.

3. **NHS 111 Discovery Frailty Flagging**, Multiple Boroughs: The Discovery Data Service helps to identify potentially frail patients using a frailty algorithm and the results are provided to the NHS 111 London Ambulance Service clinician upon request.

4. **Childhood Immunizations and 6-Week Check**, NE London Child Health Immunization Service: The daily extract provides an update on changes in all immunizations over the past 24 hours, so the data platform and GP systems are in sync.

5. **East London Genes and Health**, Multiple Boroughs: The East London Genes and Health (ELG&H) study aims to improve the health of people of Pakistani and Bangladeshi heritage by analyzing the genes and health of 100,000 local people. A more detailed description of this project is outlined starting on the next page.
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ELHCP DISCOVERY: POPULATION HEALTH ANALYTICS and RESEARCH – East London Genes and Health Study

- Recent genomic advances offer the potential to better understand the genetic causation of disease, and to direct pharmacotherapy to rare loss-of-function gene variants.

- East London Genes & Health (ELGH) is a community based, long-term study of health and disease in British-Bangladeshi and British-Pakistani people in east London. ELGH has a population-based design incorporating cutting-edge genomics with SNOMED CT-embedded electronic health record (EHR) data linkage and targeted recall-by-genotype (RbG) studies. ELGH has >34,000 volunteers with funding to expand to 100,000 volunteers by 2023.

- Almost a quarter of the world’s population, and 5% of the UK population, are of South Asian origin. The risk of coronary heart disease is 3-4 times higher, and type 2 diabetes (T2D) 2-4 times higher in UK South Asians compared with Europeans. East London incorporates one of the UK’s largest South Asian communities (29% of 1.95 million people), of which 70% are British-Bangladeshi and British-Pakistani, and its population live in high levels of deprivation (Tower Hamlets, Hackney, Barking and Dagenham are the 9th, 10th and 11th most deprived local authorities in England).

- Compared to White Europeans, South Asians living in east London have a two-fold greater risk of developing T2D, nearly double the risk of non-alcoholic liver disease, and over double the risk of multimorbidity, with the onset of cardiovascular disease occurring 8 years earlier in men. Determinants of poor cardiometabolic health start early in the life course, with higher rates of overweight and obese children in east London compared to the UK average.
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ELHCP DISCOVERY: POPULATION HEALTH ANALYTICS and RESEARCH – East London Genes and Health Study con’t

• ELGH combines health data science using linked NHS SNOMED CT-embedded EHR data, BARTS SNOMED CT-embedded EHR data as well as local GP systems data (now with SNOMED CT-embedded data) with exome sequencing and SNP array genotyping to elucidate the genetic influence on health and disease, including the contribution from high rates of parental relatedness on rare genetic variation and homozygosity (autozygosity), in the two understudied ethnic groups. Linkage to longitudinal health record data enables both retrospective and prospective analyses.

• Stage 1 entailed the development of the study cohort. ELGH invited voluntary participation of all British-Bangladeshi and British-Pakistani individuals aged 16 and over, living in, working in, or within reach of, east London. Recruitment is largely undertaken by bilingual health researchers, and takes place in: (a) community settings, e.g. mosques, markets and libraries, supported by a third-sector partner organization (Social Action for Health), and (b) healthcare settings, e.g. GP surgeries, outpatient clinics. Stage 1 volunteers complete a brief questionnaire, give consent to lifelong EHR linkage, and donate a saliva sample for DNA extraction and genetic tests. Between April 2015 and January 2019, ELGH has recruited 34,482 volunteers to Stage 1 (currently ELGH has ~50,000 volunteer recruits).

• Through Stage 2 studies, ELGH now offers researchers the opportunity to undertake recall-by-genotype and/or recall-by-phenotype studies on volunteers. Sub-cohort, trial-within-cohort, and other study designs are possible. ELGH is a fully collaborative, open access resource, open to academic and life sciences industry scientific research partners. Eight approved Stage 2 research studies using the ELGH Stage 1 cohort data have been published and sixteen are underway.

11. See http://www.genesandhealth.org/
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**ELHCP DISCOVERY: POPULATION HEALTH ANALYTICS and RESEARCH – East London Genes and Health Study** con’t

By way of example, the ELGH Stage 2 Studies Published to Date12 include:

1. Trans-ethnic and ancestry-specific blood-cell genetics in 746,667 individuals from 5 global populations. Cell 2020 Sept 3. DOI http://www.genesandhealth.org/about-study/scientific-publications


4. Characterizing a healthy adult with a rare HAO1 knockout to support a therapeutic strategy for primary hyperoxaluria. eLife 2020;9:e54363. DOI https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.54363


12. See http://www.genesandhealth.org/about-study/scientific-publications
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ELHCP DISCOVERY: POPULATION HEALTH ANALYTICS – COVID-19 in Ethnic Minority Populations

- The first wave of the London COVID-19 epidemic peaked in April 2020. Attention initially focused on severe presentations, intensive care capacity, and the timely supply of equipment. While general practice saw a rapid uptake of technology to allow for virtual consultations, little was known about the pattern of suspected COVID-19 presentations in primary care.

- A cross-sectional study was undertaken using ELHCP Discovery. Utilizing anonymized data from the SNOMED CT-encoded primary care records of approximately 1.2 million adults registered with 157 practices in four adjacent east London clinical commissioning groups (note: all GP EMRs use SNOMED CT in the UK). The study population includes 55% of people from ethnic minorities and is in the top decile of social deprivation in England.

- General Practitioners recorded 8,985 suspected COVID-19 cases between 10 February and 30 April 2020. Univariate analysis showed a two-fold increase in the odds of suspected COVID-19 for South Asian and black adults compared with white adults.

- Using data from GP primary care records, black and South Asian ethnicity is a predictor of suspected COVID-19, with levels of risk similar to hospital admission reports.

Case Study #4
A Regional Digital Health Initiative


**ONELONDON PROGRAM**

- OneLondon is one of the country’s first Local Health and Care Record Exemplars (LHCRE), designated by NHS England. The OneLondon LHCRE is a partnership of NHS organizations and local government across all of London, working together with citizens to transform London’s health and care services by integrating information to support patient care.

- Both BARTS and the East London Health and Care Partnership are part of the OneLondon program. In short, the OneLondon program will take the digital health successes from the likes of BARTS and the East London Health and Care Partnership and extend that across the entire City of London and the 32 boroughs with its combined population of over 9 million people.

- For example the OneLondon Patient Record (similar to eLPR), as well as a OneLondon data platform similar to the East London Health and Care Partnership Discovery platform is being deployed. Currently, the OneLondon Patient Record provides clinician access to the health records of 6 million patients in 3 of the 5 zones in London.

- The first step in the OneLondon program has been citizen engagement which occurred over the 12 month period starting in June 2019. This process resulted in the recent publication of the “Public Deliberation in the Use of Health and Care Data”.

---

14. See [https://www.onelondon.online/](https://www.onelondon.online/)
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Cambridge University Hospitals’ current digital maturity is the highest of any of the trusts visited.

National Advisory Group report on Health Information Technology in England, chaired by Professor Robert Wachter (September 2016)

Cambridge University Hospitals
NHS Foundation Trust
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eHospital: A Clinical Information System

United Kingdom – Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, England

- Cambridge University Hospitals (CUH) is one of the largest healthcare trusts in England, caring for patients through its two hospitals – Addenbrooke’s and The Rosie. Located on the 142 acre Cambridge Biomedical Campus, it is also a leading national centre for specialist treatment, a comprehensive biomedical research centre, one of only six academic health science centres in the UK, and a university teaching hospital with a worldwide reputation for clinical excellence.

- CUH deployed its £200 million eHospital clinical information system from Epic, for both inpatient and outpatient services, across the entire Trust in October 2014 – one patient, one record for all CUH patients. In June 2017 CUH launched the MyChart patient portal. Through 2018 CUH deployed interoperability between eHospital and primary care, diagnostic services and acute care organizations in the UK and internationally. CUH is a HIMSS level 6 EMRAM organization, has won many national and international awards, and is recognized as a NHS Global Digital Exemplar organization.

- eHospital has enabled CUH to transform clinical processes from paper-based to fully digital ways of recording care and accessing information; supported by medical device integration, as well as handheld/mobile device integration to enable care to be recorded in real-time at the bedside. eHospital is connected to national systems such as the NHS Spine (national personal demographics service) and e-Referral Service from primary care to secondary care.

- CUH used SNOMED CT for coding diagnoses, symptoms and problems in their eHospital system, key data that is used for many inpatient and outpatient clinical processes. In addition, this data is used for advanced analytics and research.

---

1. Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, “eHospital – Patients at the Heart of Our Digital Hospital”. See a 28 page summary of the project at [https://www.cuh.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/misc/Brochure_eHospital_Website%20Version_Seperber%202019.pdf](https://www.cuh.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/misc/Brochure_eHospital_Website%20Version_Seperber%202019.pdf)
2. Drumright, O’Neill, Chaudhry “Changing What We Do”. A presentation about the Cambridge University Hospitals eHospital project and the links to the Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre. See [https://community.jisc.ac.uk/system/files/515/cambridge%20implementation%20nhs%20referral%20forum%20june%202019%20FINAL.pdf](https://community.jisc.ac.uk/system/files/515/cambridge%20implementation%20nhs%20referral%20forum%20june%202019%20FINAL.pdf)
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United Kingdom – Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, England

The CUH eHospital implementation has resulted in both a significant number of patient service outcomes (e.g. access and productivity gains) and patient health outcomes (e.g. reduction in adverse events, morbidity and mortality) benefits.

Key Quantitative Benefits Achieved:

- **Chart Pulls** - £460,000 saved annually in staff time as paper patient records no longer require retrieval from medical records.
- **Nursing Productivity** - £1.1m saved annually in nursing time as observations and medication administration are recorded directly into patient records at the bedside, using handheld devices connected to our EHR.
- **Adverse Drug Events** - 850 significant adverse reactions prevented each year with electronic allergy-related prescribing alerts in our EHR triggering a change in medication prescriptions - saving 2,450 bed days a year, equivalent to £0.98 million/year.
- **Medication Management** - 100% recording of the indication for antibiotic prescribing leading to more meaningful antibiotic stewardship – antibiotics are only prescribed if they are truly needed.
- **Patient Health Outcomes** - 42% reduction in sepsis mortality with electronic sepsis alerts built into the EHR by the eHospital team.

3. The CUH benefits detailed on this and subsequent pages are those that would use SNOMED CT encoded data as part of the clinical business process. CUH has also quantified other benefits (e.g. from medical devices) where SNOMED CT would not be used. These types of benefits have not been included in this case study.
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United Kingdom – Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, England

Out-Patient Clinics

Using fully digital out-patient clinics has enabled CUH to improve patient care, safety and experience; and to make the running of the busy clinics much more effective and efficient.

- **Elimination of Paper:** 100% reduction in paper first referrals from GPs to the consultant-led clinics/services because the EHR is integrated with the NHS e-Referral service.

- **Appointment Efficiency Gains:** 4,500 clinic appointment slots per year were freed up in orthopedics for patients who absolutely need to come to hospital for treatment, because clinicians were able to view clinical notes and x-rays virtually (i.e. virtual fracture clinic) in the EHR to determine whether a patient needs an appointment, or not.

- **Effective Patient Communications:** 80% of clinic letters in pediatric gastroenterology are given to the parents at the end of clinic because data from the EHR is automatically combined into a structured letter.

- **Improved Clinic Throughput:** 20% more patients are being seen (i.e. capacity creation) in the surgical pre-assessment clinic as patients are able to complete their own initial documentation on a digital tablet, with the information then saved automatically to their health record within the EHR.
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United Kingdom – Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, England

Emergency

Addenbrooke’s Hospital is one of the busiest emergency (A&E) departments in the UK and is a Major Trauma Centre for the region. Quick and easy access to information is essential for all staff working in Emergency due to the high volume of patients being treated, twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week.

• Elimination of Paper: the administrative burden of urgently sourcing paper records for patients arriving in the emergency department has been completely eliminated.

• Emergency Department Management Efficiency Gains: a digital emergency department allows rapid access to the patients information in the EHR. Staff can see, at a glance, colour-coded information about: each patient; waiting time; which area and bed they are in; acuity level; early warning score with alerts; status of their emergency care pathway; when they were last reviewed by a clinician; and when assessments were completed.

• Appointment Efficiency Gains: Elimination of waiting for paper notes to be released from the emergency department before follow-up appointments can be booked.

• Improved Coordination of Care: Letters are automatically sent from the EHR to the patients’ GP when the patient is admitted to an inpatient area from the emergency department.

• Improved Coordination of Care: Discharge summary letters are sent electronically from the EHR to the patient’s GP within 24 hours of discharge from the emergency department.
Case Study #5

eHospital: A Clinical Information System

United Kingdom – Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, England

Digital Theatres and Critical Care

In high dependency areas, like operating theatres and intensive care, a huge amount of data is created about severely unwell patients who are hooked up to ventilators, monitors, and other medical devices. Prior to having the EHR CHU clinical teams had to manually assimilate data from multiple sources and devices. Now, all of the physiological monitors and ventilators, in all 40 theatres, 148 high-dependency areas and critical care beds, are connected to the EHR.

• **Staff Efficiency Gains**: data generated from medical devices is being automatically and continuously recorded directly into the EHR removing the need for manual transcription and associated errors - a staff time saving equivalent to £2.6 million a year.

• **Theatre Throughput**: 18% increase in main theatre case volume (i.e. capacity creation) through faster theatre turnaround and analytics in the EHR.

• **Clinical Efficiency Gains**: a 30 minute reduction in our Rapid Response Team getting to patients across our hospitals that need them the most.

• **Improved Patient Outcomes**: 2-3 avoidable deaths prevented each year with electronic routine review of best practice for ventilator tidal volumes in the EHR.
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United Kingdom – Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, England

Sepsis “the Silent Killer”

Sepsis is a life-threatening condition that arises when the body responds to an infection by attacking its own tissues and organs. Every year in the UK approximately 250,000 people are affected by sepsis and it accounts for around 50,000 deaths, more than bowel, breast and prostate cancer combined. Research shows that for every hour delay in receiving antibiotics the risk of sepsis mortality increases by 8% - this is the sepsis risk.

• **Improved Patient Care**: 100% sepsis screening now occurs in the Emergency department.

• **Improved Patient Care**: 70% increase in patients receiving antibiotics for sepsis within 1 hour of arrival in Emergency with electronic sepsis alerts in our EHR.

• **Improved Patient Care**: 80% increase in patients receiving antibiotics for sepsis within 90 minutes of arrival in Emergency.

• **Improved Patient Care**: a 50% increase in adult inpatients receiving antibiotics for sepsis within both 60 and 90 minutes of the sepsis alert being triggered in the EHR.

• **Improved Patient Health Outcomes**: 42% reduction in sepsis mortality across the Trust. At least 64 lives saved in 2018 with sepsis alerts created in the EHR.
Case Study #5

eHospital: A Clinical Information System

United Kingdom – Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, England

Clinical Data Sharing Requiring Interoperability of Clinical Information Systems

- **Sharing the EHR beyond CUH** - Located 35 miles apart, approximately 30 per cent of patients attending CUH (i.e. Addenbrooke’s and The Rosie) also present at the West Suffolk Hospital for care and treatment. In 2018 the CUH eHospital EHR (Epic) was connected to West Suffolk Hospital’s Cerner Millennium EHR. At the push of a button, CUH clinicians are able to easily and securely access clinical information (i.e. conditions, treatments, and test results) about a patient that is held within West Suffolk Hospital EHR and vice-versa, enabling real-time information and data sharing to save time and reduce delays to care and unnecessary repeats of tests and procedures.

- This digital link also connects Cambridge University Hospitals with all hospitals across the world that use an Epic EHR to advance the care of their internationally shared patients.

- Finally CUH has integrated eHospital to Royal Papworth Hospital’s Lorenzo system to enable the real-time sharing of test results as soon as they have been verified in CUH laboratories.

- Separately, CUH has been working with NHS Digital to develop and test a new FHIR medication specific message that will be used to share medication information between GPs and hospitals. This has meant testing the functionality and all possible varieties of medication prescriptions to ensure that the structure of the medication data can meaningfully and safely convey the clinical message. Some elements of the message are human readable text, but there is also coded data using SNOMED CT and dm+d codes.
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Patient Portal – clinical information sharing that allows CUH patients digital access to their health information

- **Electronic Record** - A patient’s eHospital information is available to them electronically via Epic MyChart instead of being posted to them: appointment letters /past appointment details; current health problems/conditions; clinic letters/clinical correspondence; vital signs (weight, height, blood pressure, temperature, pulse, respiratory rate); test results; medications; known allergies.

- **Access 24x7** - Patients can access their information in MyChart anytime and anywhere. In the comfort of their own home they can access it on a desktop computer or laptop, or when on the move, at CUH hospitals or abroad via the ‘MyChart’ app for tablet and Smartphone devices. MyChart is also compatible with screen readers for visually impaired patients.

- **Effective Appointments** - CUH patients can also complete pre-appointment questionnaires electronically within MyChart, with the results then being discussed during their next clinic appointment. This makes appointments much more effective as our patients and clinicians spend more time discussing care and treatment plans together.

- **Reduce Patient Visits** - Empowering CUH patients to contribute to their health record, MyChart encourages our patients to contribute to their health information without having to make unnecessary visits to CUH hospitals. For example, if patients have been prescribed new medication by their GP, they can add the medication name, dose and frequency to their record via MyChart for discussion with their clinical team during their next hospital appointment.

- As of December 2019 23,000+ patients are using CUH MyChart. See CUH patient Allan Craig’s experience on the next page.
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I have always played an active role in my own treatment and like to understand my conditions. I have a range of medical problems, which started in 1969 when I was diagnosed with polycystic kidney disease. My blood pressure was controlled for a long time to help delay the need for dialysis treatment before I eventually had a successful kidney transplant in 1989. I was diagnosed with a serious heart condition and underwent a quadruple bypass and aortic valve replacement in 1999. As a result of the drugs I have to take following my transplant, I’ve also suffered with osteoporosis, abdominal hernias, basal cell carcinomas and several hematomas. I like to work with my clinicians in the management of my health conditions, which was why the MyChart patient portal particularly appealed to me. MyChart allows me to view my upcoming hospital appointments, details of past appointments and hospital visits, clinical letters from my doctors and my test results. I like how I can also access a health summary page, either on my computer at home or on my smartphone, which includes a full list of my medications, as well as links to further information to help me to manage my conditions and learn more about the medications that I have been prescribed. More and more people are living with a range of complex health conditions. Having all the information available in one place, explained in plain English, is really useful for patients like me, especially when I am regularly in and out of hospital and using other healthcare services. I can access MyChart from anywhere in the world with an internet connection, which gives me peace of mind when I want to travel because if I were to need medical help in another part of the UK or abroad, I can log in using my smartphone and show my information to those clinicians caring for me. Having my health information to hand has helped me to better manage my conditions and I believe that patient awareness and involvement contributes to a more joined-up health care system.
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Australia – HealthPathways Economic Analysis in Mackay, Queensland

- HealthPathways is an evidence-based clinical pathway that enables general practitioners (GPs) to better manage the interface between primary care, community services, and hospital services. It was originally developed in 2008 by the Canterbury Initiative (New Zealand) and now has 40 deployments in 3 countries (i.e. New Zealand, Australia and UK).

- The pathways (i.e. over 600 clinical pathways have been developed to date) are developed collaboratively by general practitioners, specialists, nurses, and allied health professionals across all sectors and are tailored to the local context. The HealthPathways search function uses SNOMED CT concepts, synonyms and hierarchies.

- HealthPathways is designed to improve GP confidence in managing complex conditions, improve referral appropriateness, and reduce unnecessary care – all patient service outcomes.

- HealthPathways is widely used in Australia due to the popularity among general practitioners and its ease of use. The Mackay (Queensland) HealthPathways went live in June 2015, a joint implementation by the Northern Queensland Primary Health Network and the Mackay Hospital and Health Service. An economic evaluation of the Mackay HealthPathways implementation was conducted by the Australian Centre for Health Services Innovation in 2018.

- The researchers analyzed every outpatient specialist appointment referred from primary care between January and March in 2015 (pre-Pathways) and 2017 (post-Pathways) for diabetes (full implementation), cardiology (partial implementation), respiratory (partial implementation) and urology (no implementation: the control group).

Case Study #6

Care Pathways Economic Analysis

Australia – HealthPathways Economic Analysis in MacKay, Queensland (continued)

Referral Findings

• The analysis found that following implementation there had been reductions in diabetes and cardiology referrals from both primary care and specialist referral sources. Further, the analysis found that the percentage of appropriate referrals for diabetes had increased significantly following the introduction of HealthPathways. For the other disease groups the change in appropriate referrals was not significant.

Economic Impact

• The report concluded that given the difference in patterns between diabetes (full implementation) and urology (the control group), there was early evidence for the long term effectiveness of HealthPathways in Mackay through reduced demand for specialist services. The short-term impact is the reduction in waiting lists by up to 67% for fully and successfully implemented pathways such as Diabetes.

• The report speculates that if the Diabetes gold-standard implementation was replicated across other disease groups an average annual systemic cost saving of approximately $110,500 per pathway is potentially possible. Further, it was estimated that a gold-standard implementation is required for just 4 Pathways before the program is cost-saving, and 6 gold-standard Pathways will pay off its initial investment within a year in system-wide savings.

• As of November 2018, there was 36 different disease groups supported by HealthPathways, and a long-term change to practice involving comprehensive use of HealthPathways could potentially save upwards of $3,600,000 annually in Mackay alone after deducting the costs of maintaining the program. HealthPathways has now been deployed across Queensland.
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HealthPathways – Other Selected Studies
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University of Nebraska Medical Center
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United States – University of Nebraska Medical Center, Nebraska, USA.

- Founded in 1869 and chartered as the Omaha Medical College in 1881, the college became part of the University of Nebraska in 1902. The University of Nebraska Medical Center (UNMC) is now one of four campuses of the University of Nebraska and is located on Omaha, Nebraska. UNMC has over 4,200 students in a variety of healthcare disciplines (e.g. medicine, nursing, pharmacy, dentistry, public health and allied health).

- UNMC has a clinical partnership with Nebraska Medicine which covers metro Omaha and region providing access to more than 1,000 doctors and nearly 40 specialty and primary care health centers. Two hospitals, Nebraska Medical Center and Bellevue Medical Center have more than 800 licensed beds. Nebraska Medical Center is regularly ranked in the top 50 Hospitals in the U.S.

- Nebraska Medicine implemented the Epic clinical information system (called One Chart), including a patient portal in 2013. Clinical data is entered directly or integrated from other sources (e.g. Sunquest COPATH Anatomic Pathology laboratory system). See the architecture example for Structured Pathology Reporting in the diagram to the right.

- The data from Epic and other sources (e.g. Biobank, Cancer Registry) are extracted and loaded into the i2b2 data warehouse and analytics platform at UNMC and then made available for clinical and translational research.

1. University of Nebraska Medical Center. See https://www.unmc.edu/
2. Nebraska Medicine. See https://www.nebraskamed.com/
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United States – University of Nebraska Medical Center, Nebraska, USA.

• i2b2 (Informatics for Integrating Biology and the Bedside)\(^3\) is an open-source health research data warehouse and analytics platform, originally funded by the National Institutes of Health and developed at the Harvard Medical School. It is now used at over 200 healthcare locations worldwide.

• The i2b2 data warehouse and analytics platform consists of a core cell and a number of optional plug-ins (i.e. file repository, identity management, web client application and the workbench application). Ontology management is part of the core cell and is where SNOMED CT is deployed.

• i2b2’s data model is a “star-schema”, but does not use a standardized data model (e.g. as with OMOP\(^3\) and PCORnet\(^4\)). Local implementations develop concept hierarchies (called “ontologies”) that provide a window into the imported data.

• Data in i2b2 can be queried by a cohort query tool with analytics plugins. For example, the query tool is used by Nebraska Medicine investigators to rapidly assess the feasibility of a research project, as well as prototype data management strategies.

---

3. I2b2 Informatics for Integrating Biology & the Bedside. See https://www.i2b2.org/
5. The Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP). See https://fnih.org/what-we-do/major-completed-programs/omop
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United States – University of Nebraska Medical Center, Nebraska, USA.

• The challenge with i2b2 is that it very difficult to render poly-hierarchical terminologies such as SNOMED CT in the platform. Each concept in a path in i2b2 metadata can only have a single parent, whereas the SNOMED CT concept model concepts can have multiple parent concepts. UNMC has had to develop a work-around so that SNOMED CT can be reliably represented as a single hierarchy and used in i2b2 for research purposes.

• UNMC has created SNOMED CT terminology extensions (i.e. the Nebraska Lexicon) for
  • genomics data sets supporting care,
  • detailed coding of Cancer Synoptic data, thereby expanding the UNMC cancer registry,
  • expanded SNOMED CT coverage of the organisms hierarchy that is integrated with laboratory coding for microbiology. This feature supports 13 healthcare centers across Nebraska with decision support capabilities for antimicrobial stewardship.
  • extended analytics capabilities of SNOMED CT observables for laboratory medicine. This feature supports advanced querying of the laboratory database for research and quality improvement.

• UNMC is collaborating with the Veterans Health Administration and their SOLOR® initiative to integrate the “Big Three” terminologies in the U.S. (i.e. SNOMED CT, LOINC and RxNorm) into a common ontology for use in the i2b2 platform. In addition, UNMC has invested significant resources in collaborations with the National Library of Medicine, Regenstrief Institute and SNOMED International to support the integration of these three terminologies and are a leader in this field.

7. SOLOR. See http://solor.io/
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United States – University of Nebraska Medical Center, Nebraska, USA.

- **Research Activities Supported** – UNMC and its i2b2 platform supports three streams of research:
  1. **National PCORnet** (see call-out box) **sponsored research** – UNMC provides query response and datasets for approximately 100-125 research projects annually.  (see https://pcornet.org/)
  2. **National COVID Cohort Collaborative** - UNMC sends data extracts for national COVID-19 research to a central research repository about 25-30 times a year, since June 2020. (see https://ncats.nih.gov/n3c)
  3. **Nebraska Medicine** – UNMC supports approximately active 25-35 investigator-initiated research projects annually.

PCORnet or the *Patient-Centered Clinical Research Network* is a research “networks of networks” across the United States. It includes 8 large Clinical Research Networks, 2 Health Plan Research Networks, and a Coordinating Center. For example, UNMC is part of the Greater Plains Collaborative (GPC), one of the eight clinical research networks. GPC includes 12 leading medical centers in 8 states, for example, University of Kansas Medical Center, Allina Health, Indiana University, Intermountain Healthcare, and the University of Iowa Healthcare.
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Observational Health Data Sciences and Informatics (OHDSI), Columbia University, New York, USA.

• OHDSI is an international network of researchers and observational health databases with a central coordinating centre housed at Columbia University in New York. Currently, OHDSI strives to develop reliable real world, health care evidence through methodological research, open-source analytics development, and clinical evidence generation.

• OHDSI provides access to over 100 different databases, with half a billion patient records from 19 different countries, with more than 200 million patient records from outside the U.S. All its solutions are open source. Observational research using OHDSI solutions starts with observational data, gathered through various populations, care settings, data capture processes, and health systems. By converting that data through the OMOP Common Data Model (CDM), the research can create three types of evidence: clinical characterization; population-level effect estimation, and patient-level prediction.

• OHDSI developed the OMOP CDM, as a global standard for observational research. As part of the CDM, the OMOP Standardized Vocabularies are available for two main purposes: common repository of all vocabularies used in the health care community; as well as standardization and mapping for use in research.

• Similar to SNOMED CT all clinical events in the OMOP CDM are expressed as concepts, which represent the semantic notion of each event. SNOMED CT is used as a standard concept in five of the seven data domains – condition, procedure, measurement, device and observation. Like SNOMED CT, the OMOP CDM represents relationships in a hierarchy through ‘is a” statements, as well as attribute relationships among concept hierarchies, so the OHDSI OMOP CDM is at level 4/5 on the SNOMED CT maturity model.

1. Observational Health Data Sciences and Informatics (OHDSI). See https://www.ohdsi.org/
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**OHDSI Hydroxychloroquine Safety Study**\(^2\) Completed in Four Days

- In the face of rapid spread and escalation of the coronavirus, many decisions are being made quickly and a number of therapies are being trialed for its treatment. One of these is the use of hydroxychloroquine, a drug approved in 1950s. The drug has been used for malaria, lupus and rheumatoid arthritis. However, physicians have been using it off label for COVID-19 and in the past weeks the FDA has approved the use of the drug for compassionate use in the treatment of COVID-19. Despite the lack of evidence of its clinical effectiveness, U.S. President Donald Trump says the drug has shown “very encouraging results” in treating COVID-19. More research needed to be done based on these claims.

- Over 4 days in March 2020, Professor Dani Prieto-Alhambra, Professor of Pharmaco-and Device Epidemiology at the Centre for Statistics in Medicine at Oxford University in England and a team of researchers from around the world set out to analyze the safety profile of hydroxychloroquine. The team used data from fourteen datasets to analyze the medical history of over 950,000 patients who have previously taken hydroxychloroquine. Patient data came from six countries: Germany, Japan, the Netherlands, Spain, the UK and the USA.

- First, they found it to be a **safe medication for short-term use**. When administered at the doses used for current indications like rheumatoid arthritis, they did not detect any worrying side effects. However, **when prescribed in combination with azithromycin, it may induce heart failure and cardiovascular mortality** and they urged caution in using the two together. It was noted that there is a lack of sufficient data at higher doses, and hence it is **too early to understand the clinical effectiveness in treating COVID-19**. **Formal clinical trials in this regard are ongoing.**

---
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OHDSI Hypertension Study - Recommended Diuretic Causes More Side Effects than a Similar Hypertension Drug

• The 2017 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association hypertension guideline recommends thiazide and thiazidelike diuretics as one of the first-line treatment classes for hypertension. Hydrochlorothiazide is the most commonly prescribed member of the class, but the guideline states that chlorthalidone is preferred on the basis of longer half-life and proven trial reduction of cardiovascular disease. However, there are no large, completed randomized clinical trials comparing these medications, although one is in progress.

• A recent OHDSI study3 compared chlorthalidone and hydrochlorothiazide on 55 outcomes in 3 large observational databases of patients from the United States. The findings contrast with current treatment guidelines recommending chlorthalidone over hydrochlorothiazide. Chlorthalidone, the guideline-recommended diuretic for lowering blood pressure, causes more serious side effects than hydrochlorothiazide, a similarly effective diuretic, according to the OHDSI study.

• The researchers found that patients taking chlorthalidone had nearly three times the risk of developing dangerously low levels of potassium and a greater risk of other electrolyte imbalances and kidney problems compared with those taking hydrochlorothiazide. Information from the largest individual database studied by the team revealed that 6.3% of patients treated with chlorthalidone experienced hypokalemia (low blood potassium), compared with 1.9% of patients who were treated with hydrochlorothiazide.

3. Hripcsak et al., “Comparison of Cardiovascular and Safety Outcomes of Chlorthalidone vs Hydrochlorothiazide to Treat Hypertension”. JAMA Internal Medicine, August 10, 2020. See https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/fullarticle/2760777?resultClick=1
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EHDEN-OHDSI Knee Replacement Study

- The IMI European Health Data & Evidence Network (EHDEN) project and OHDSI recently published the results of its first ‘study-a-thon’ in Lancet Rheumatology on the effectiveness and safety associated with uni-compartmental versus total knee replacement. This was the largest study to date with data on more than 250,000 individuals who underwent either procedure in five databases from the US and the UK.

- The choice of which type of knee replacement to recommend remains difficult for surgeons, and there remains insufficient information to inform them and patients of the best approach, dependent on the patient’s personal context.

- The study emulated to the extent possible, the design of the five year Total or Partial Knee Arthroplasty Trial (TOPKAT). The study-a-thon assessed whether the efficacy results seen in the trial translated into effectiveness in real-world settings and provided further consideration of safety outcomes that were too uncommon to assess in TOPKAT.

- Uni-compartmental knee replacement was associated with a reduced risk of complications, in particular venous thromboembolism, and persistent opioid use, possibly indicating a reduced risk of persistent pain after surgery. Total knee replacement was, however, associated with a lower risk of revision procedures, and the need to repair or replace the original replacement.
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OHDSI Cervical Cancer Risk Study - Cervical Cancer Risk Decreases In Users Of Copper IUDs vs. Hormonal IUDs -

- Studies from the 1980s suggested a reduced risk of cervical cancer among women who used an intrauterine contraceptive, though those studies did not differentiate between the varying types of IUDs. Furthermore, much of the data from those studies was collected prior to the availability of most hormonal IUDs.

- By standardizing four decades’ worth of data from the Columbia University Irving Medical Center database through the OMOP Common Data Model and using high-level analytics developed within the OHDSI collaboration, the research team ran a retrospective cohort analysis of more than 10,000 patients who received IUDs.

- Overall, IUD use has become more popular over the past 20 years. Copper IUD use has remained constant whereas hormonal IUD use has increased. The rising popularity of hormonal IUDs may be related to the fact that they decrease the pain and bleeding of menses.

- The study found that the diagnosis of high-grade cervical neoplasia was 0.7% in the copper IUD (Cu IUD) cohort and 1.8% in the hormonal IUD (LNG-IUS) cohort.

- In conclusion, patients who used copper intrauterine devices (Cu IUD) were found to have a lower risk of high-grade cervical neoplasms (cervical cancer) compared to users of the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (LNG-IUS).
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- The outbreak of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in China and many other countries has put huge pressure on the health care system. One method of controlling the communicable diseases is the use of a surveillance system to track the exposed and infected individuals, as well as clinical outcomes. However, traditional surveillance systems have limitations in terms of timeliness, spatial resolution, and scalability. Meanwhile, reporting from these systems tends to be national or regional with insufficient information about diseases at the community or city level, which caused low efficiency for the social distancing and quarantine measures.

- In response to this significant challenge the Honghu Hybrid System (HHS) was developed at a cost of USD$430,000 as a pilot for COVID-19 surveillance and control. It was successfully deployed within 72 hours in Honghu in the Hubei province, a city 145 kilometers (90 miles) away from Wuhan (the capital city of the Hubei province) with a population of over 900,000 people.

- This system (see schematic overleaf) collected daily structured electronic medical record data from nine hospitals; real time information about symptoms and personal contact history from the WeChat platform (one of the largest mobile social network apps in China with more than 1 billion monthly active users); and daily reported case diagnosis information from one third-party polymerase chain reaction lab, one third-party antibody lab, and one public health information system. A novel mini program using the WeChat platform software development kit was created for symptom reporting and spatial data collection.
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China – Public Health Surveillance in Honghu, Hubei.

• The data feeds were normalized temporally and spatially and then loaded into a common data model that had been built for the storage, management, and analysis of the integrated COVID-19 data.

• Vocabulary control was implemented based on the SNOMED CT synonyms in Chinese for symptoms and the disease itself. LOINC was used to code-related tests and ICD-10 CM codes for the diseases based on the coding standards released by the National Health Commission of China.

• Syndromic surveillance was implemented on a mobile phone–based social media platform targeting different groups of individuals (e.g. I am experiencing a cough today). This included the general population, in hospital and discharged patients, people with higher risk of infection (i.e. those with travel history to Wuhan, contact history with confirmed cases, or under medical observation in isolation sites), and health care professionals (i.e., doctors, nurses, public health experts, and social workers).
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• The high coverage (over 95% of the residents) and daily active reports (up to 900,000 person-times) demonstrated the feasibility of intense monitoring during the COVID-19 epidemic.

Policy Making Decision Support

• Monitoring the fluctuation and trends analysis of the syndromic surveillance data supported policy-related decision making. The large population size, plus the stability and fluctuation of the trends provided strong evidence for local authorities to evaluate the effectiveness of disease management and make timely adjustments accordingly. Spatial analyses also played a critical role as clustering of exposed residents indicated by the concentration of patients in a part of the city further illustrated high risk for local outbreaks and would then trigger home visits by social workers.
Case Study #9
Public Health Surveillance


Clinical Decision Support and Resource Management

• A clinical decision support system based on an in-hospital mortality prediction system was built for patients with COVID-19 to improve the clinical care, decrease death risk, and prioritize limited medical resources. Based on the Multilobular Infiltration, Hypo-Lymphocytosis, Bacterial Coinfection, Smoking History, Hyper-Tension and Age (MuLBSTA) scoring system, which is a partially validated prediction system for the in-hospital mortality of patients with COVID-19. About 10% of patients were classified as high-risk (MuLBSTA score ≥12). They were either relocated to the single hospital in the area that had an intensive care unit or screened with important biochemical markers more frequently.

Follow-up of Discharged Patients

• We used the social media platform to register the discharged patients and required the patients to report their symptoms daily in the 2 months after discharge. After the follow-up system was initiated, 100% coverage was achieved within 3 days. The reported recurrence of symptoms such as high fever was linked with home visits by social workers inside communities and readmission to hospital.

Conclusion

• Based on the field study in Honghu city, the Honghu Hybrid System has been observed to be effective and feasible for COVID-19 surveillance and control. It helped strengthen the checkpoints on the full chain of COVID-19 control, including “early test, early report, early isolation, and early treatment” during the outbreak.
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Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare Globally

- Artificial Intelligence (AI) is simply defined by Merriam-Webster online as “1: a branch of computer science dealing with the simulation of intelligent behavior in computers, 2: the capability of a machine to imitate intelligent human behavior”.

- Many nations and regions around the world (e.g. US, Europe, UK, China) have been actively looking at the future role of artificial intelligence generally, as well as its use in healthcare specifically1,2,3,4,5,6.

- As part of these reviews the impact on society (see table on right), organizations and the nations’ workforce have also been considered.
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Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare Globally

- The use of AI in healthcare is not new – it has been used for decades. However, the increasing capture of data electronically in clinical information systems, the increase in personal data captured through devices, sensors, imaging or genomics and the increase in computing power available – either through cloud-based computing platforms or on the phones in our pockets – is enabling a new generation of applications of AI throughout the healthcare system.

- Medical imaging/radiology were recent early adopters of AI given the substantial amount of imaging data available and the fact that early algorithm and model development was focused on images in general (e.g. LUNIT in South Korea).

- IBM Watson Health was an early entrant that initially focused on oncology via massive amounts of medical literature data and through acquisition of Truven Health Analytics and its 100 million patient records.

- In 2016 AI solutions focused on the diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy from a database of 128,000 retinal images. In neurology, AI was used in man/machine interfaces for spinal injury prostheses. In dermatology, a use of current models included an analysis of 129,000 dermatological lesions to distinguish two different skin cancers from seborrheic keratosis.

- In 2016, Mayo Clinic and AliveCor conducted a study utilizing EHR records from 2.8 million 12-lead ECGs from over 20 years of patient records and EKG readings for insights on potassium levels and correlations with T waves in ECGs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Imaging &amp; Radiology</th>
<th>IBM Watson</th>
<th>Diabetic Retinopathy</th>
<th>Dermatology</th>
<th>Mayo Clinic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare Globally

- Arterys was one of the first companies to receive U.S. FDA clearance for a cardiology application, Cardio DL, which provides automated, editable ventricle segmentations from MRI images of the heart.

- Since then there has been over 40 FDA approvals for artificial intelligence-based algorithms in medicine (as of 07/2019). The majority of the approvals have been in radiology, cardiology, oncology, and endocrinology.

- Not surprisingly, the venture capital investment in AI solutions has exploded during the past 5 years with the locus of development activity being in the U.S. (e.g. Recursion Pharmaceuticals), China (e.g. Ping) and Israel (e.g. OrCam) and the UK (e.g. Babylon).

- China leads the world in the number of healthcare AI research studies (41), followed by the US and Europe (28 each).
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Australia – One Nation’s View Into Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare

- For most nations, the introduction of AI into healthcare is seen as providing a wide range of access, quality and productivity benefits during a time when healthcare costs continue to steadily increase. However, for many, the use of AI is also daunting, given the potential workforce impacts and the potential for negative unintended consequences.

- In July 2020 CSIRO and the Australian eHealth Research Centre published “Exemplars of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Healthcare”8. It provides, an overview of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML), where SNOMED CT fits in the AI/ML space, and thirty-four case studies showcasing the use of AI/ML in healthcare in Australia.

- CSIRO divides the use of AL/ML in healthcare into four domains:
  1. Predictive Analytics and Data-Driven Intelligence is concerned with extracting insights from existing data (e.g. SNOMED-CT coded clinical data).
  2. Knowledge Representation and Reasoning is how we represent information about the world (e.g. as in SNOMED CT semantic network) so a computer system can utilize it to solve complex tasks and enabling us to infer(new) knowledge.
  3. Imaging and Vision involves analyzing images or videos to derive insight into the cause and impact of medical conditions.
  4. Human Language Understanding uses AI methods to understand natural language and make it machine-readable.

---
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Australia – One Nation’s View Into Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare

- **Artificial Intelligence** depends on high quality data to either train AI models or for AI based analysis. This includes *clinical data*, genomics data, imaging, administrative data, as well as sensor and wearables data.

- In AI, there have traditionally been two schools with contrasting approaches – symbolic AI and statistical AI.
  - **Symbolic AI** methods make use of curated medical domain knowledge (i.e. facts or rules), such as SNOMED CT.
  - **Statistical AI** takes the opposite approach; rather than predefining the knowledge and rules, it ‘learns’ these from the data itself by extracting patterns and insights.
  - While SNOMED CT encoded healthcare data can support both approaches, the full value of SNOMED CT (i.e. its semantic network capabilities) is realized when symbolic AI is used.

- **Machine Learning (ML)** gives computers the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed. There are two main ML tasks: classification and regression.
  - Classification uses a ML model to ‘classify’ data into categories; for example, classifying the type of cancer found in a pathology report into breast cancer, lung cancer and so on.
  - Regression, in contrast, uses a ML model to predict a value rather than a category. For example, predicting the length of stay for a patient given their condition. ML models learn from data, in either a supervised (i.e. answer choices are provided) or an unsupervised manner (i.e. answer choices are not provided).

- **Deep Learning** uses artificial neural networks for either classification or regression, both supervised and unsupervised.
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Predictive Analytics and Data-Driven Intelligence Case Studies (12)

• **Data Driven Insights from Clinical Information Systems** – In Case Study 1 ML uses clinical data to predict the risk of patient hospitalization or readmission. Case Study 2 optimized elective surgery by modelling all the inter-connected departments requiring access to share surgery resources. Case Study 3 demonstrates how real time analytics is made possible through interoperable data efforts such as SNOMED CT and FHIR. Case Study 4 demonstrates how analytics can be used to predict future demand for services and patient flow. Case Study 5 showed how deteriorating patients can be identified and with an earlier intervention, prevent their condition worsening.

• **Insights from the Human Genome** – Case Study 6 uses random forest models to identify the underlying genetic causes of neurodegenerative diseases, thereby opening up new treatment avenues. Case Study 7 uses ML to help with the laborious curation task that pathologists must perform with genetic data. Case Study 8 uses ML to guide effective gene editing. Case Study 9 presents a cloud architecture with ML to visualize and track the genomic fingerprint of the COVID-19 virus.

• **Insights from Sensors** - Sensors have become ubiquitous in the home environment. Sensors in the home can aid elderly people to live independently in their homes for longer, which has health and economic benefits. Case Study 10 used passive (non-wearable and non-intrusive) sensors to accurately measure how someone is coping at home and identify when they might need assistance. Where multiple people live together, Case Study 11 used ML to identify the different individual people, from the elderly to infants. Case Study 12 used miniature wearable sensors for early identification of infants at risk of Cerebral Palsy.
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Predictive Analytics and Data-Driven Intelligence Case Studies

CASE STUDY 3 HIGHLIGHTED: RE-HOSPITALIZATION RISK STRATIFICATION

• New South Wales (NSW) Health uses the SNOMED CT-embedded Cerner clinical information system. The use of the HL7 FHIR data model and the SNOMED CT terminology has improved the interoperability of these systems, as well as for use by AI algorithms. Leveraging these standards has facilitated the deployment and scalability of real time clinical analytics and decision support applications.

• A predictive risk stratification algorithm developed by CSIRO was added to vendor Alcイオン’s Miya Platform. SNOMED CT data from the NSW Cerner system was sent as FHIR resources to the Alcイオン Miya platform whenever certain trigger conditions were met, e.g. a new pathology report was received (see diagram on the right of this page).

• The CSIRO algorithm then calculated a risk score based on the SNOMED CT clinical data received and was displayed in the Miya platform on dashboards to support real-time decision making. This work demonstrates the potential for improved detection and management of patients at risk of readmission.
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Knowledge Representation and Reasoning Case Studies (6)

• **Knowledge Representation using Ontologies** - Case Study 13 describes the “Snorocket” reasoner, software that uses the Dresden algorithm, to rapidly draws inferences and create new knowledge using the SNOMED CT medical ontology.

• **Extending Medical Ontologies** - One key advantage of the formal logic of ontologies like SNOMED CT and reasoners like Snorocket is that it can be extended to support new domains (e.g. medications). Case Study 14, shows how the Australian Medicines Terminology (AMT) and reasoners can be extended to provide support for medications, including numeric values such as dosages. AMT is included in the Australian edition of SNOMED CT. Case Study 15 solves the problem of keeping medication ontologies up-to-date by analyzing medication lists and automatically generating the appropriate medications knowledge in the AMT medical ontology. Case Study 16, shows how new medical knowledge can be added through ‘post-coordination’, whereby new concepts can easily be defined using the existing formal logic of SNOMED CT.

• **How Knowledge Representation Supports Analytics** - Knowledge about how to use the SNOMED CT ontology, including its rules and properties, supports the use of the ontology in many applications – including data analytics, search engines and NLP. The representation of knowledge in this way is a core part of AI. Case Study 17 demonstrates Pathling, an advanced analytics service that exploits standardized SNOMED CT medical data to provide APIs that enable data visualization, dashboard analytics, patient cohort selection and data preparation services.

• **Integrating AI into Clinical Workflow** - Case Study 18 presents FORTE, a FHIR-based Workflow Platform for integrating AI into a Radiology Clinic. This provides a means of integrating automated methods into an existing clinical workflow.
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Knowledge Representation and Reasoning Case Studies

CASE STUDY 17 HIGHLIGHTED: ADVANCED ANALYTICS OF GENOMIC PHENOTYPE DATA

• Increasingly more data is being collected using SNOMED CT and shared using FHIR. This provides an opportunity to use these two standards to build advanced analytics tools on top of this data. Pathling, is an advanced analytics service that exploits this standardized health data to provide APIs that enable data visualization, analytics dashboards, patient cohort selection and data preparation services.

• Pathling understands the FHIR data model and it can integrate with a FHIR terminology server to enable the use of the description logic underpinning SNOMED CT.

• Pathling was recently used to perform an advanced analysis of genomic phenotype data which was collected using FHIR and SNOMED CT. In this set of data, differential diagnoses were collected at stages through the patient journey using SNOMED CT. As more testing was undertaken (including whole genome sequencing) Pathling was able to use the SNOMED CT semantics to understand the change in diagnosis – from a general diagnosis to a more specific diagnosis, or potentially to a completely unrelated diagnosis (e.g. see the Sankey diagram generated from the data to the right).
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Human Language Understanding Case Studies (6)

• **Natural Language Processing** - There are two main automated approaches to Natural Language Processing (NLP): rule-based and ML based. Case Study 19 is an example of how rule and deep learning approaches can be combined to extract valuable SNOMED CT-encoded information on cancer from a range of free text medical documents. Case Studies 20 and 21 show how machine-learning based NLP and SNOMED CT can be integrated into hospital workflow to detect missed limb fractures and to identify patients with antibiotic resistant infections. Case Study 23 shows how NLP can be used to automatically quantify the semantic similarity between sentences in medical literature for evidence-based medicine.

• **Information Retrieval** - Case Study 22 demonstrates how a range of machine-learning based information retrieval methods can be used to help produce better systematic reviews of the literature.

• **Conversational Agents** - With the rise of social and communication technologies, conversational agents, or chatbots, provide a means for users to become engaged in conversation, continuing and progressing the dialogue in the same way human-to-human interaction occurs. Some examples where chatbots have been implemented include monitoring speech degeneration in patients with Parkinson’s Disease, disease self-management, encouraging behaviour change, and provision of health education. Case Study 24 presents a project to develop a chatbot to assist patients in decision making for the provision of genomic information.
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Human Language Understanding Case Studies

CASE STUDY 19 HIGHLIGHTED – AUTOMATING CANCER REGISTRY TASKS TO ENHANCE CLINICAL DATA QUALITY

- Information about cancers are gathered from a variety of different modalities – including imaging and from biopsy and resections – and then typically written into a narrative report and sent to the treating clinician. CSIRO has worked with Cancer Alliance Queensland to extract information from pathology and radiology reports and death certificates, using AI technologies, for a variety of reporting purposes – including cancer notifications, cancer staging and synoptic reporting.

- The AEHRC Medtex technology uses a mix of symbolic and statistical AI methods to process the clinical reports. A natural language processing (NLP) engine is used to break the discourse of the text into statements and then features are extracted from each statement. The meaning of these features is then inferred through using ML models, which are trained from ground truth (human judgements) data using deep neural networks. For some features a formal logic rule-based approach using the relationships encoded in SNOMED CT is utilized.

- The software now supports the extraction of over 20 different clinical features from the text of the histopathology reports covering a range of cancers. Studies have shown that the accuracy of the AI algorithms is very high. The algorithms have a 96% recall and precision for classifying notifiable cancers. Detailed extraction and coding of specific cancer notification items include basis of diagnosis, histological type and grade, primary site and laterality. Visual explanations and feedback from AI decisions are supporting clinical coders in their cancer abstraction task.
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Human Language Understanding Case Studies

CASE STUDY 20 HIGHLIGHTED: CHECKING RADIOLOGY REPORTS TO PREVENT MISSED FRACTURES

- Patients admitted to a hospital emergency department (ED) with a suspected fracture are X-rayed, treated and then discharged. However, when the X-ray report is later finalized by a radiologist, ED specialists have to manually match the report from the radiologist with the patient’s discharge diagnosis to ensure that subtle fractures were not missed. The manual checking process is an essential but laborious task.

- The Medtex system (See Case Study 19) was used to perform this check automatically and then flag any potential inconsistencies. The solution uses NLP to extract features from the reports. ML models including support vector machines and deep neural networks are then used to find associations between features in the radiology report. SNOMED CT clinical terminology concepts are used as features to reliably identify limb fractures and other abnormalities documented in radiology reports (see diagram to right of this page).

- Medtex automatically matches fractures identified in the radiology reports with patients' ED discharge diagnosis to provide decision support for the current manual checking process. Studies have shown that this checking can be done with high precision and recall across three different hospital ED settings. By fast-tracking diagnoses and streamlining test result reviews, emergency departments can save time and deliver improved patient outcomes.
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Human Language Understanding Case Studies

CASE STUDY 21 HIGHLIGHTED: TACKLING ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE WITH TEST RESULT REVIEW

• Antibiotic overuse contributes to antimicrobial resistance, which could cost the global economy US$100 trillion by 2050 and cause up to 10 million deaths per year. Patients with suspected infections are tested for the presence of bacterial organisms with antibiotic resistance. These test results are then manually reviewed to ensure patient’s infections are not resistant to the antibiotics they are taking. This project aims to automate this process in two parts: 1) streamline Emergency Department microbiology test result review to identify bacterial organisms and their antibiotic sensitivities; and 2) match these with antibiotic prescriptions extracted from Emergency Department discharge letters.

• Our NLP methods extract antibiotic prescriptions detailed in discharge letters. Then we parse microbiology reports for bacterial organisms and antibiotic sensitivities. Given these two sources, we exploit the semantics in SNOMED CT to match antibiotic prescriptions (e.g. generic and trade names) with the bacteria’s sensitivities for a given antibiotic class. This provides clinical decision support to identify patients that have been prescribed an antibiotic for which the bacterial organisms are resistant. The patient can then be contacted for follow-up treatment, such as a change of antibiotic treatment.

• An example scenario is when the discharge letter notes that a patient was prescribed with “ampicillin”. When the microbiology test result returns, it notes the bacteria present was “Escherichia-coli” (E. coli): a bacterium known to be resistant to ampicillin. The system would pick this up immediately and alert the clinician, enabling the patient to be contacted and provided with a more appropriate antibiotic.
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Imaging and Vision (10)

- **Medical Image Analysis** - Medical image analysis employs a range of supervised and unsupervised AI and ML techniques to extract clinically relevant information or knowledge from medical images.

- **Using Imaging for Early Detection of Abnormal Development** - Case Study 25 is a cloud-based ‘Developing Brains’ toolbox using ML to analyze MRI scans of very preterm-born infants to identify biomarkers that predict later motor, neurological and neurobehavioral problems. Case Study 26 describes AssessCP, a clinical support tool for pediatric brain injury.

- **Image Guided Treatment and Disease Monitoring** - Case Study 27 shows how software that integrates with MRI machines can be used to quantify the changes in cartilage indicating osteoarthritis – this guides surgery such as joint replacements. Case Study 28 uses MRI images to help guide the delivery of radiotherapy for prostate cancer. Case Study 29 uses PET imaging to generate quantified measures for risk of Alzheimer’s Disease. In Case Study 30, deep learning methods are used on ocular images for automated detection of macular degeneration that can cause blindness. Case Study 31 uses image processing for segmentation of flecks in the eyes to track Stargardt disease progression.

- **AI-Based Telehealth** - Case Study 32 presents a tele-oral care system that provides AI-driven oral mucosal disease classification and specialist-based clinical decision support. Case Study 33 provides face detection and automated classification of patient emotion from video for tele-health.

- **Robotics** - Case Study 34 shows how socially-assistive robots are used to supplement traditional therapy and education for children with autism.
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IN SUMMARY

• Artificial Intelligence, including Machine Learning and Deep Learning is rapidly being adopted in healthcare systems around the world, as a way to achieve access, quality and productivity gains.

• **SNOMED CT** is uniquely positioned to support the expansion of AI in:
  1. Predictive Analytics and Data-Driven Intelligence (i.e. data driven insights from clinical information systems)
  2. Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (i.e. knowledge representation to support analytics and research)
  3. Human Language Understanding (i.e. natural language processing).

• Looking forward, the full power of **SNOMED CT** comes from using its semantic network, which is perfectly positioned to support symbolic artificial intelligence opportunities in healthcare.
SNOMED CT
Genealogy Example
The Genealogy Analogy

Family Trees

• Family trees are complex, especially when traced back through nine generations (see the family tree diagram to the right). When viewed in totality family trees include both your paternal (father) ancestors and your maternal (mother) ancestors (i.e. your gene pool).

• If you trace the surname of the direct paternal family back through time (i.e. father, grandfather, etc.) a single family tree hierarchy can be created. See the dark blue tree in the red triangle of the diagram.

• This single family tree hierarchy with no relationships to the other family trees is analogous to a clinical classification system (e.g. ICD-10).
The Genealogy Analogy

Family Trees

• If you trace your surname back through time, but include both the paternal and maternal ancestors (e.g. father/mother, grandfather/grandmother etc.) you quickly become part of multiple (i.e. in this case sixteen), interrelated family trees.

• These multiple, interrelated family trees are analogous to clinical terminologies like SNOMED CT.

• Further, we also know that the distinguishing feature of SNOMED CT are the defined relationships among the hierarchies, or in this case among the sixteen family trees, that allow for a deeper and richer analysis of the family tree data.
The Genealogy Analogy

Family Trees

- For a family tree ANCESTOR, OCCUPATION, and PLACE are concepts that can be organized into hierarchies. ‘IS A’ statements connect concepts within a hierarchy.

- Attribute relationships connect concepts among the sixteen interrelated family trees. So for our family tree analogy DOMICILE SITE and ASSOCIATED WITH could be deemed relationships.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cornwall England</th>
<th>domicile site</th>
<th>John Smith</th>
<th>associated with</th>
<th>Farmer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paternal Smith</td>
<td>is a</td>
<td>Edward Smith</td>
<td>is a</td>
<td>Maternal Carter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For example, John Smith ‘is a’ ancestor (father) of Edward Smith. Edward Smith ‘is a’ paternal Smith (father’s surname) and ‘is a’ maternal Carter (mother’s surname).

Both John Smith and Edward Smith are ‘associated with’ being a farmer (occupation).

Both John Smith and Edward Smith have a ‘domicile site’ in Cornwall, England (place).
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Family Trees

- With the Family Tree construct in mind, plus knowing that in this case the sixteen individual family trees are linked through attribute relationships, one could generate a set of computer queries that ask:
  - How many of your ancestors were farmers?
  - How many of your ancestors who were farmers were located in the United Kingdom?
  - How many of your ancestors who were farmers were located in Cornwall, England?

  And.... As one broadens the number and type of concepts and relationships
  - How many of your ancestors immigrated to another country?
  - How many of your ancestors from Cornwall immigrated to Australia or New Zealand? ...... and so on

- The comprehensiveness, richness and consistency of the potential computer queries quickly becomes obvious and as a result extremely powerful for accelerating the family tree analytics process. This is the unique advantage of a terminology like SNOMED CT that is simply not possible with a classification systems like ICD-10.
Clinical Information Systems and SNOMED CT
US Acute Care EHR Market Share
Identification of EHRs with SNOMED embedded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Market Share</th>
<th>SNOMED CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epic</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerner</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meditech</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPSI</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allscripts</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medhost</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

US Acute Care EMR Market Share
Total = 5,457 Hospitals

# US Ambulatory Care EHR Market Share

Identification of EHRs with SNOMED Embedded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Market Share</th>
<th>SNOMED CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epic</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerner</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meditech</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPSI</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allscripts</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eClinicalWorks</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athenahealth</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NextGen</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-US Acute Care EHR Market Share

**Identification of EHRs with SNOMED Embedded**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Market Share</th>
<th>SNOMED CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cerner</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agfa Healthcare</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersystems</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXC Technology</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philips</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedalus</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meditech</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epic</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softway Medical</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allscripts</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>Yes – 6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>66.4%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Global Non-US EMR Market Share 2020**

(Total = 6798 Hospitals)

Experience the value of SNOMED CT

Read the full report and visit the value platform at:

snomed.org/value